Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur – Tuivai road Section(length = 162 km) on NH-102B (Manipur) on Engineering, Procurement and Construction mode in the state of Manipur. (Package No. NHIDCL/DPR/CT-IJ-TP/Manipur/2017)

STAGE: IV

FINAL DETAILED PROJECT REPORT


VOLUME I – MAIN REPORT

PACKAGE – IIIA (69+875 KM TO 88+980 KM)



National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd.

PTI Building, 3rd Floor, 4, Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001









C. E. Testing Company Pvt. Ltd. 124-A, NSC Bose Road, Kolkata -92

CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Rev: R0 April, 2020

CONTENT

	Description	Page No.
Chapter-1	Executive Summary	1-8
Chapter-2	Introduction	9-19
Chapter-3	Project Description	20-86
Chapter-4	Engineering Surveys, Investigation and Analysis	87-104
Chapter-5	Social Analysis	105-226
Chapter-6	Traffic Surveys and Analysis	227-279
Chapter-7	Indicative Design Standards	280-293
Chapter-8	Cost Estimates	294-298
Chapter-9	Environmental Aspects	299-314
Chapter-10	Road Safety Audit	315-331
Chapter-11	Economic and Financial Analysis	332-338
Chapter-12	Conclusion and Recommendation	339-340

CHAPTER-1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

National Highways and Infrastructure Development Corporation (NHIDCL) is a fully owned company of the Ministry of Road Transport & Highways (MoRT&H), Government of India. The company promotes, surveys, establishes, design, build, operate, maintain and upgrade National Highways and Strategic Roads including interconnecting roads in parts of the country which share international boundaries with neighboring countries. The regional connectivity so enhanced would promote cross border trade and commerce and help safeguard India's international borders. This would lead to the formation of a more integrated and economically consolidated South and South East Asia. In addition, there would be overall economic benefits for the local population and help integrate the peripheral areas with the mainstream in a more robust manner.

As a part of the above mentioned endeavor, National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (NHIDCL) has been entrusted with the assignment of Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing preconstruction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur – Tuivai road Section of NH-102B on Engineering, Procurement and Construction mode in the state of Manipur.

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd. is the employer and executing agency for the consultancy services and the standards of output required from the appointed consultants are of international level both in terms of quality and adherence to the agreed time schedule.

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (NHIDCL), MoRT&H, New Delhi has appointed C.E. Testing Company Pvt. Ltd. (CETEST) as consultant to prepare the Detailed Project Report for the above road stretches vide Letter of Acceptance No. NHIDCL/DPR/MANIPUR/C-T/2016/389 dated 26.10.2017.

The project road starts from the Junction of NH -150 at New Lamka Town in Churachandpur and ends near Manipur and Mizoram state border at Tuivai in the district of Churachandpur. The existing length of the project road comes out as 161.349 km (as per topographic survey). The Start co-ordinate of the project is Latitude 24°20′46.44″ N and Longitude 93°42′00.34″ E. The End co-ordinate is Latitude 24°01′22.40″ N and Longitude 93°15′12.64″ E.

The project road lies in Churachandpur district of Manipur. The project road passes through plain/mountainous Terrain. The existing road is passing through Churachandpur, New Lamka, Munnuam, Mata village, Muallam, Bulian, Singngat, Suangdoh, Tuimai, Lungthul, Mualnuam, Sinzawl and ends at Tuivai.

Revision: R0

April, 2020 Page: 1 ASC 805

1.2 OBJECTIVES

The main objective of the Consultancy Service is to prepare the Detailed Project Report (DPR) for up gradation of existing road to 2-lane configuration from Churachandpur - Tuivai road Section of NH-102B in the State of Manipur ensuring:

- ✓ Minimum Land acquisition
- ✓ Enhanced road safety
- ✓ Minimum adverse impact on environment

Project preparation activities mainly comprises of six stages in accordance with Terms of reference (TOR)

- ✓ STAGE 1: Inception Report
- ✓ **STAGE 2**: Feasibility Report
- ✓ **STAGE 3**: LA & Clearances I Report
- ✓ STAGE 4: Detailed Project Report (DPR)
- ✓ STAGE 5: Technical Schedules
- ✓ STAGE 6: LA & Clearances II Report

The Final Detailed Project Report covers the work carried out by the Consultants up to Stage- 4 "Detailed Project Report (DPR)".

1.3 DEFICIENCIES AND ISSUES

The following major deficiencies have been identified and addressed in terms of traffic operation, safety, road conditions and maintenance. A few other issues which contribute to operational deficiencies and safety concerns and which prevent the optimum utilization of the highway capacity to a desirable level of service, e.g. driving discipline and compliance, traffic surveillance, corridor security and management, level of regular road maintenance, maintenance and its road worthiness etc. are beyond the scope of this study.

a) Operation

- ✓ No access control
- ✓ Fast Moving Vehicles conflicts with slow moving vehicles due to bad pavement condition
- ✓ Deficient road surface conditions (roughness)
- √ No proper Geometry of road alignment
- ✓ Uncontrolled roadside developments and encroaching the area under ROW
- ✓ Cross-drainage structure require to be improved through reconstruction.

b) Safety

- ✓ Shoulder drop-off at places.
- ✓ Exposed roadside hazards, specially a protective part throughout the road stretch.
- ✓ Inadequate traffic signs.
- ✓ Blind Curve on the project road.



c) Road

- ✓ Fair Poor pavement condition and structurally inadequate
- ✓ Shoulder functionally and structurally inadequate
- ✓ Cross drainage poor condition and inadequate
- ✓ Curve radii less than what is required for the ruling Design speed of 40 km/hr. in roads for mountainous terrain
- ✓ Deficient curves and reverse curves in roads of plain terrain without transition length for reversal of elevation.

Linear Plan of Churachandpur-Tuivai Road:-

0+0	0 13	+747	32	835	48	587	69	875	88+98	0	103	+525	121	769 1	34+	955 145+98
P	LENGTH- 13.747 KM	PACKAGE-II LENGTH- 19.088 KM		PACKAGE- LENGTH- 15.752 KM		PACKAGE- LENGTH- 21,288 KM		PACKAGE- III/ LENGTH- 19.105 KM	¥,	PACKAGE- LENGTH- 14.545 KM		PACKAGE LENGTH 18.244 K	-	PACKAGE- N LENGTH- 13.186 KM		PACKAGE-IVB LENGTH- 11.029 KM
	- 100,000	KAGE-I - 32.835 KM				I CKAGE-II H- 37.040 KN	A			PACKAGE-II GTH- 51.894	All the second				45.00	AGE-IV 24.215 KM

1.4 SALIENT FEATURES

This relates to the most suitable alignment for 2-laning of road sections and for optimum upgrading of existing road based on field data and detail study involving traffic, geo-technical, topographic, pavement and road condition and socio-economic aspects. Special attention has been given for augmentation of capacity for intended level of service in design period. A few appropriate design applications have been considered for operational efficiency and road safety.

Road side religious structures, graveyards, missionaries etc. have been mostly avoided by adjusting the alignment suitably and/or by eccentric widening. All major and minor junctions/intersections have been analyzed with respect to vehicular movements and vehicular turning movements based on traffic study for providing appropriate grade / grade separated intersections for cross / turning traffic.

TABLE 1.1: SALIENT FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED ROAD

Descriptions		Existing	Proposed		
START POINT	: G. Bualjang Village		At G. Bualjang Village		
END POINT	END POINT : Kangkap Village		Near Kangkap Village		
LENGTH	:	Existing Length = 20.280 Km (As per topographic survey)	Proposed Length =19.105 Km		
TERRAIN	:	Mountainous Terrain – (20.280 km) 73.000 km to 93.280 km	Same as existing		
ALIGNMENT		Alignment consists of Sharp, Zig Zag Curves with presence of Hair Pin bends. The average speed of the corridor is 25kmph. Longitudinal gradient is found steeper than the limiting gradient at many locations in mountainous terrain.	Proposed alignment has been designed based on the design speed adopted for Plain & mountainous terrain as per standard specified in IRC SP 73-2015 and hill road manual. The vertical gradient also restricted to the recommended limiting gradient prescribed to the above said IRC codes		

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

pril, 2020

Page: 3

Descriptions				Existing	;	Proposed	
DESIGN SPEED	:	Avg	g. speed 24 -2	25 kmph		Adopted Design Speed:	
			•	•		 Mountainous Terrain- 40kmp 	h-60kmph
						However, at hair pin bend loo	cations design
						speed restricted to 20kmph	•
CROSS-	:		Chai	nage	Average	For Plain terrain	
SECTION			From		Carriageway	(1) In Built-up Area	
			(km)	To (km)	Width (m)	Carriageway	= 7.0 m
			73.000	82.000	4	Hard Shoulder	= 2 x 1.5 m
			82.000	93.280	3.5	Covered Drain cum Footpath	= 2 x 1.0 m
				00120		Total Roadway Width	= 12.0 m
						(2) In Rural Area	
		Ear	then /Grave	l Shoulder: 0	.50 m −2.0 m	Carriageway	= 7.0m
			-	n width: 4.0 r		Hard Shoulder	= 2 x 1.5m
				1 10101111 4.01	11 (0 14 111	Earthen Shoulder	= 2 x1.0m
						Total Roadway Width	= 12.00m
						For Mountainous terrain	
						(3) In Built Up Area	
						Carriageway	= 7.0 m
						Hard Shoulder	= 2 x 1.5 m
						Covered Drain cum Footpath	= 2 x 1.0 m
						Total Roadway Width	= 12.0 m
						(4) In Rural Area (Both Side Valle	
						Carriageway	= 7.0m
						Hard Shoulder	= 2 x 1.5m
						Earthen Shoulder in Valley Side	= 2 x1.0m
						Total Road Width	= 12.00m
						(5) In Rural Area (One Side Hill &	
						Valley)	
						Carriageway	= 7.0m
						Hard Shoulder	= 2 x 1.5m
						Earthen Shoulder in Valley Side	= 1 x1.0m
						Total Road Width	= 11.00m
						(6) In through Cutting section	11.00
						Carriageway	= 7.0m
						Hard Shoulder	= 2 x 1.5m
						Drain	= 2 x 1.0 m
						Total Road Width	= 10.00m
CBR	:					Design CBR adopted =10%	
TRAFFIC	<u> </u>						
GROWTH RATE						7.5%	
TRAFFIC	:	Bas	se year traffi	c (Yr. 2019)			
			•	numbers = 5	76 nos.	Projected traffic (Yr. 2042)= 357	7 PCU
				PCU = 678		(2-lane configuration recommen	
			al CVPD = 15		-		
PAVEMENT							
DESIGN LIFE	:					Flexible Pavement - 20 Years	
DESIGN MSA	:					Calculated = 2 MSA	
						Adopted = 20 MSA (as per IRC:SF	2:73 guideline)
PAVEMENT	:	Exi	sting Crust Th	nickness varie	es from 100-540 mm	For New/Widening & Strengther	
THICKNESS						BC = 40 mm	-0 F 5
						DBM = 70 mm	STING CO. AL
ı						WMM = 250 mm	W KOLKATA 3
		l				1111111 230 111111	1 700 092 /c

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

l, 2020 Pa

Descriptions		Existing		Pı	roposed		
			GSB= 20				
			Total = 5				
BRIDGES	:	Package - IIIA Minor Bridges (Total = 3 Nos.) ➤ RCC Slab Bridge = 3 Nos.	Package – IIIA Bridges (Total = Nil)				
CULVERTS	:	Package – IIIA ➤ RCC Slab Culvert = 37 Nos. ➤ Hume Pipe Culverts = 36 Nos. ➤ Box Culvert = 5 Nos.	Package - IIIA Total Culvert proposed = 81 Nos. ➤ Reconstruction with Box Culvert = 58 Nos. ➤ Existing culverts avoided= 20 nos. ➤ New Box Culvert proposed = 23 Nos.				
PROTECTION WORK	:	Breast Wall = 8 m Retaining Wall = 40 m Guard Wall = 10 m	Package – IIIA Length of Retaining Wall=1173.8m Length of 1.5m Retaining Wall=47.3m Length of 2.0m Retaining Wall=292.0m Length of 3.0m Retaining Wall=239.8m Length of 4.0m Retaining Wall=150m Length of 5.0m Retaining Wall=47.4m Length of 6.0m Retaining Wall=397.3m Length of Breast Wall = 7921 m Length of Toe Wall = 449.84 m Metal Beam Crash Barrier = 1626.38 m Hydro seeding = 63765 Sq m Turfing = 7273 Sq m				
LONGITUDINAL DRAINS	:	Length of Lined Drain = 530 m Length of Earthen Drain = 19080 m(on hilly side)	_	f Covered D	rain = 2907 m n = 13857 m		
BUS BAY WITH PASSENGER	:	Nil	Package 6 nos. at	– IIIA 3 Locations	(Both Side)		
SHELTER			SI. No	Chainage (km)	Name of the habitation	Side	
			1	70.950	Z. Bualzang Village	Both	
			2	78.420	Lungthul Village	Both	
			3	86.130	Tuima Village	Both	
MAJOR INTERSECTION	:	Package – IIIA Nil	<u>Package</u> Nil	– IIIA			
MINOR INTERSECTION	:	3 Nos.	3 Nos.				
ROW	:	4.0 m to 16 m		Area = 14 m ea = 18 m to			

April, 2020 Page: 5 NSC 805

Descriptions		Existing	Proposed
LAND DETAILS	:	Package - IIIA Available Land = 13.986 Ha.	Package - IIIA Total Land required to implement 2-lane proposal = 40.906 Ha. Land Available within PROW= 13.986 Ha. Balance Land to be acquired = 26.920 Ha.
TOTAL CIVIL COST (RS.)	:	-	Package – IIIA Rs. 141.00 Cr. (Rs. 7.38 Cr/km)

1.5 COST ESTIMATES

For arriving at the unit rate, Schedule of Rate-2018 of Manipur Public Work Department has been adopted. The analysis of rate based on "Standard Data Book", published by ministry of road transport and highways, Government of India (MoRT&H). Leads for various materials considered for the rate analysis, are given in Table below:

TABLE 1.2: LEADS FOR VARIOUS CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS (PACKAGE – IIIA)

SI. No.	Name of Name of Source Material Name of Source Project Road (Km)		Half of length of Project Road (Km)	Total Lead (Km)	
1	Sand (Fine)	Tuivai River (Near Khuanggin Village)	2.5	10	12.05
2	Filling Material	Local	-	-	10.00
3	Stone Metal	Tuivai River (Near Khuanggin Village)	2.5	10	12.05
4	Stone Boulder	Tuivai River (Near Khuanggin Village)	2.5	10	12.05
5	Stone Chips, Aggregate	Tuivai River (Near Khuanggin Village)	2.5	10	12.05
6	Coarse Sand	Tuivai River (Near Khuanggin Village)	2.5	10	12.05
7	Cement	Imphal	140	10	149.55
8	Steel	Imphal	140	10	149.55
9	Bitumen	Imphal	140	10	149.55
10	Bitumen Emulsion	Imphal	140	10	149.55
11	Structural Steel	Imphal	140	10	149.55
12	RCC Pipe	Imphal	140	10	149.55

April, 2020 Page: 0 KOLKATA 700 092

Revision: R0

ABSTRACT OF COST ESTIMATE Package - IIIA (Ch. 69.875 km to Ch. 88.980 km)

Length of Road (KM)

19.105

	DESCRIPTION OF WORKS	TOTAL COST (IN Cr.)	COST PER KM. OF TOTAL ROAD LENGTH	% of Cost of Civil Works (% of C)	
Α.	ROAD WORKS		(IN Cr.)	(% Of C)	
1	Site Clearance and Dismantling	0.76	0.04	0.54%	
2	Earth work , Subgrade and Erosion control	26.61	1.39	18.87%	
3	Sub-Base & Base	35.79	1.87	25.38%	
4	Bituminous Courses	20.78	1.09	14.74%	
5	Junction Improvement (Major & Minor)	0.10	0.01	0.07%	
6	Traffic signs, Road marking & other road appurtenances	2.34	0.12	1.66%	
7	Passenger Shelter	0.12	0.01	0.09%	
8	Bus bay	0.82	0.04	0.58%	
	Drainage and Protective Works				
9	Longitudinal Drains	9.06	0.47	6.43%	
10	Retaining wall	6.27	0.33	4.45%	
11	Breast wall	23.38	1.22	16.58%	
12	Toe Wall	0.41	0.02	0.29%	
В.	BRIDGES & CULVERTS				
13	Culvert	14.56	0.76	10.33%	
C.	COST OF CIVIL WORKS IN LAKHS (AS PER SOR 2018)	141.00	7.38		
D.	Maintenance for 5 years, i.e 2.5% on civil cost (C)	3.53			
E.	GST @ 12% of (C)	16.92			
F.	Contingencies @ 2.8% over Civil Cost (C)	3.95			
G.	Supervision Charges @ 3% of (C)	4.23			
H.	Agency Charges @3% of (C)	4.23			
l.	Escalation Cost @ 10% during Construction Period	14.10			
J.	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST (C+D+E+F+G+H+I)=J	187.96	9.84		
K.	DEPARTMENTAL COST				
a.	LA Cost	14.49			
b.	R&R Cost	10.45			
c.	Utility Shifting(Electrical+PHE)	0.71			
d.	Environmental Budget +FC Clearance	3.07			
e.	Cost of Dumping site for Muck Disposal	11.94			
L.	Sub Total (K)	40.66			
M.	TOTAL PROJECT COST (K+L)=M	228.62	11.97		

Revision: R0

Final Detailed Project Report Executive Summary Package-IIIA

1.6 FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

The project fails to generate the desired level of return, even with a grant of 40% on TPC.

Thus the project does not qualify to be implemented on the BOT - Toll mode. It's recommended that the project to be implemented through EPC mode.

Revision: R0

CHAPTER – 2 INTRODUCTION

2.1 INTRODUCTION& BACKGROUND

THE STATE

Manipur is one of the Border States in the northeastern part of the country having an international boundary of about 352km long stretch of land with Myanmar in the southeast. It is bounded by Nagaland in the north, Assam in the west and Mizoram in the south. It has a total area of 22,327 sqkm. It lies between 23.8°N to 25.7°N latitude and 93.5°E to 94.8°E longitude.

Geographically, the state of Manipur could be divided into two regions, viz. the hill and the valley. The valley lies in the central part of the state and the hills surround the valley. The average elevation of the valley is about 790m above the sea level and that of the hills is between 1500m and 1800m. The hill region comprises of ten districts viz. Senapati, Kangpokpi, Tamenglong, Noney, Churachandpur, Pherzawl, Chandel, Tengnoupal, Ukhrul, Kamjong and the valley region consists of six districts, viz. Imphal East, Imphal West, Thoubal, Jiribam, Kakching and Bishnupur. The hill districts occupy about 90 percent (20,089 sq. km.) of the total area of the state and the valley occupies only about tenth (2,238 sq. km) of the total area of the state.

THE ECONOMY

Manipur has a literacy rate of 79.21% (According to 2011 census) per cent. The main languages of the state are Meitei/Meeteilon (Manipuri), Tangkhul, Kabui Kuki, Hmar, Paite, Thadou, Bishnupriya Manipuri, English, Hindi and local dialects. There are forests of teak, pine, oak, uningthou, leihao, bamboo, and cane. Rubber, tea, coffee, and cardamom are grown in hill areas. Rice and cash crops make up the main vegetation cover in the valley. Agriculture is the main mode of living of the people. People of Manipur are also engaged in handloom sector which is the largest cottage industry in Manipur. The main vegetables and fruits are Cauliflower, Cabbage, Tomato, Pea & Litchi, cashew nuts, walnuts, orange, lemon, pineapple, papaya, peach, pear, banana and plum. The major minerals are Limestone, Asbestos, Copper, Lignite, Nickel, Chromites, and Salts etc. Small industries like Handlooms, Handicrafts, Sericulture, Food Processing, Bamboo Processing, IT, Hydro Power and Tourism are present in the state.

THE ENVIRONMENT

The climate of Manipur is largely influenced by the topography of this hilly region. Lying 790 meters above sea level, Manipur is wedged among hills on all sides. This northeastern corner of India enjoys a generally amiable climate, though the winters can be a chilly. The maximum temperature in the summer months is 32 °C (90 °F). In winter the temperature often falls below 0 °C (32 °F), bringing frost. Snow sometimes falls in hilly regions due to the Western Disturbance. The coldest month is January, and the warmest July.

The state is drenched in rains from May until mid-October. It receives an average annual rainfall of 1,467.5 millimeters (57.78 in). Rain distribution varies from 933 millimeters (36.7 in) in Imphal to 2,593 millimeters (102.1 in) in Tamenglong. The precipitation ranges from light drizzle to heavy downpour. The normal rainfall of Manipur enriches the soil and helps in agriculture and irrigation. The South Westerly Monsoon picks up moisture from the Bay of Bengal and heads toward Manipur, hits the eastern Himalaya ranges and produces a massive amount of rain. The climate is salubrious

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 202

with approximate average annual rainfall varying from 933 millimeters (36.7 in) at Imphal to 2,593 millimeters (102.1 in) at Tamenglong. The temperature ranges from sub 0 to 36 °C (32 to 97 °F).

POVERTY

As per the estimates, Manipur saw the highest rise of 9.2 percentage points in poverty between 2004-05 and 2009-10, followed by Mizoram (5.7 percentage points), Assam (3.5 percentage points) and Meghalaya (1 percentage point).

INDIGENOUS PEOPLE

The Meitei constitute a majority of the state's population. According to 1891 census Meitei were recorded as a forest tribe. In 1901 Meitei were listed as main tribe of Manipur. They live primarily in the state's valley region.

Besides the Meitei people, the Thadous have the second highest percentage of the population. The third is the Nagas who are further sub-divided into sub-tribes: Tangkhul, Maram, Poumai Naga, Sumi, Angami, Ao, Chakhesang, Chang, Khiamniungan, Konyak, Liangmai, Lotha, Pochury, Rongmei, Zeme, and Mao.

Different tribes are speaking in different language. These language are Meitei/Meeteilon (Manipuri), Tangkhul, Kabui Kuki, Hmar, Paite, Thadou, Bishnupriya Manipuri, English, Hindi and local dialects.

HIV/AIDS & HUMAN TRAFFICKING RISKS

Two out of the six high HIV-prevalence states in India – Manipur and Nagaland – are in the Northeast and now feature what epidemiologists call a 'generalized' epidemic with a strong IDU-HIV link. This is a larger challenge for Government and NGOs fighting the epidemic in the region in particular and the world at large.

According to estimates by the National Aids Control Organization (NACO - 2006) there are 50,000 IDUs injecting drug use in the region, the majority of them in Manipur, Nagaland, Mizoram and, of late, Meghalaya. Easy availability of drugs, stress arising from socio-political unrest and frustration born of the lack of employment opportunities for the growing educated youth mass in the region are often cited as the major causes of drug use a serious social disease.

2.2 SOCIAL ASSESSMENT

OBJECTIVE

The objective of Social Assessment report is to present a socio-economic profile of the subproject area with particular reference to indigenous people, communicable diseases especially HIV/AIDS, human trafficking, poverty level, local economy like agriculture, industry, health and educational status in accordance with guidelines and recommendations of Government of India and the State Government of Manipur in association with especially National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (NHIDCL).

PROJECT ROAD

The project road starts from junction of NH-150 at New Lamka town in Churachandpur and ends near Manipur and Mizoram state boder at Tuivai under Churachandpur District. The existing length of the project road is 161.349 km.

The Start co-ordinate of the project is Latitude $24^{\circ}20'46.44''$ N and Longitude $93^{\circ}42'00.34''$ E. The End co-ordinate is Latitude $24^{\circ}01'22.40''$ N and Longitude $93^{\circ}15'12.64''$ E.

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020 Pa

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project road lies in Churachandpur district of Manipur. Major portion of the project road passes through mountainous terrain with remaining portion located in plain terrain. This Road is passing through Churachandpur, New Lamka, Munnuam, Mata village, Muallam, Bulian, Singngat, Suangdoh, Tuimai, Lungthul, Mualnuam, Sinzawl and ends at Tuivai.

PROJECT IMPACT AREA

The Project Impact Area (PIA) has been defined as the 2.5 km width of a band on both sides of the project road. In broad sense the PIA may be considered as Churachandpur district as a whole.

From Starting at New Lamka Town at Churachandpur (junction of NH 150) the road alignment passes through the Munnuam, Mata village, Muallam, Bulian, Singngat, Suangdoh, Tuimai, Lungthul, Mualnuam, Sinzawl and ends at Tuivai in the State of Manipur. The project road passes through about 41 nos. of villages. Though majority the area is not passing through any densely populated settlement, still the strip of land acquisition will affect road side properties.

THE PROJECT DISTRICTS

The project road passes through Churachandpur district of Manipur. Brief features of the district are summarized below:

CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT

Churachandpur District, in the southwestern corner of Manipur, has an area of 4,570 sq. km. The district got its name "Churachandpur" from the Manipur king Churachand Maharaja. It is bounded by North latitudes $23^{\circ}56'20.4''$ and $24^{\circ}36'46.8''$ and East longitudes $92^{\circ}58'12''$ & $93^{\circ}52'58.8''$. It is a hilly district with a very small percentage of the plain area. The district is bounded by Senapati district in the north, Bishnupur and Chandel districts in the east, Assam and Mizoram in the west and Myanmar on the south. The total population of the district as per 2011 census is 2,71,274. This district with its headquarters at Churachandpur has been divided into five blocks, i.e. Churachandpur, Thanlon, Henglep, Singhat and Parbung.

VITAL STATISTICS OF CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICTS

Description	Number (Census 2011)				
Actual Population	274,143				
Male	138,820				
Female	135,323				
Population Growth	20.29%				
Area Sq. Km	4,570				
Density/km2	60				
Proportion to Manipur Population	9.60%				
Sex Ratio (Per 1000)	975				
Child Sex Ratio (0-6 Age)	948				
Average Literacy	82.78				
Male Literacy	86.97				
Female Literacy	78.5				
Total Child Population (0-6 Age)	37,445				
Male Population (0-6 Age)	19,227				
Female Population (0-6 Age)	18,218				
Literates	195,935				
Male Literates	104,013				

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020

Description	Number (Census 2011)
Female Literates	91,922
Child Proportion (0-6 Age)	13.66%
Boys Proportion (0-6 Age)	13.85%
Girls Proportion (0-6 Age)	13.46%

MAJOR INDICATORS OF THE SOCIAL PARAMETERS OF THE DISTRICT

1. Geography						
(i)Temperature	Maximum: 37 ⁰ C		Minir	mum: 10 ⁰ C		
(ii)Location	Latitude: 23°56′20.4″N-24°36	3′46.8″N	Longi	itude: 92 ⁰ 58'12"E-93 ⁰ 52'58.8"E		
(v)Rainfall	The average rainfall recorded	in Churac	handp	ur district is from 3080 mm to 597 mm.		
2. Administrative Un	nits					
i. Sub Division	S	5 Nos.				
ii. Revenue Vil	lages	540 Nos.				
iii. Assembly Ar		6 Nos.				
3. Assembly Constitu	uencies					
(i) 55-Tipaimukh						
(ii) 56-Thanlon						
(iii) 57-Henglep						
(iv) 58-Churachandp	our					
(v) 59-Saikot						
(vi) 60-Singhat						
4. People						
i. Population as per		Male: 1,53,421 Female: 1,17,853 Total: 2,71,274				
ii. Literacy Rate as p	er 2011 census	Male: 86.97% Female: 78.50% Average: 82.78%				
5. Area		4,570 Square km				
6. Forest		4,157 Square km				
7. Roads						
a) National Highway	1	2010-1	l1	270 km		
b) State Highway		2010-1	0-11 58 km			
c) Major District & R	2010-1	1	179.50 km			
d) Other District & R	tural Roads	2010-1	1	20 km		
e) Rural Road/Agricu	ulture Marketing Board Roads	2010-1	1	175 km		
f) Kachacha Road		2010-1	1	340 km		

INDIGENOUS PEOPLE DEVELOPMENT PLAN (IPDP)

Indigenous People Development Plan (IPDP) is an integral part of the Social, Economic and Resettlement Plan of any of the infrastructural projects taken up by the authorities when a considerable number Schedule Tribe population is affected or displaced from their natural habitat. IPDP is also required if after the completion of the project there would be substantial change in the region which might affect the traditional customary right over land of the tribal people or alter their lifestyle in such a manner that they are uprooted or not in a position to follow their tradition, culture or profess their customs or religion.

THE MITIGATION MEASURES OF HIV/AIDS & HUMAN TRAFFICKING RISKS

Awareness campaign should be conducted at regular intervals in the PIA and the road construction sites. Distribution of IEC materials, organizing audiovisual shows and street drama giving a proper idea of the menace of the disease should be undertaken by some reputed NGOs.

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Α

Revision: R0

Village quacks and ANMs to be trained in detecting and responding to cases of HIV/AIDS at initial level. There should facility at PHCs / referral hospital preferably within PIA to detect the infected persons early.

Counseling by NGOs to be given free of charges to the poor migrating families to create awareness against possible spread of HIV/AIDS outside the area.

THE GENDER ISSUE

Along with men in the Project areas, women will benefit from (i) easier access to markets, (ii) increased local retailing opportunities, and (iii) easier access to health care centers and education facilities as well. Women may also benefit more than men from the increased access to schools and health centers.

2.3 PROJECT ROAD BENEFIT

Project Road strategically inter connects with state capital Imphal via NH-150. The development of the road as per 2-lane with hard shoulder can boost the agricultural and industrial development of the surrounding area which can be viewed as boosting economic growth and poverty reduction, bringing substantial social and economic development in the region.

The social benefits arising due to the project will be triggered off due to improved accessibility to various services such as easy access to markets, health facilities, schools, workplace etc which in turn increases the income of the locals, and ultimately elevating their standard of living. The possible direct and indirect positive impacts of the project are listed below.

- Road network will not only link the village communities to better national markets, but also open up wider work opportunities in distant places. People can shuttle to distant worksites and engage in construction, mining, factories, business as well as domestic works.
- The immediate benefits of road construction and improvement will come in the form of direct employment opportunities for the roadside communities and specially those who are engaged as wage labourers, petty contractors and suppliers of raw materials.
- Effective drainage system to ensure that there will be no pooling of water
- Safety measures for Highway signs, Pavement marking, Traffic signals, Truck lay byes, Bus stops and Bus bays
- Rectification of geometric deficiencies (both Horizontal & Vertical).
- Slope protection.
- Provision of crash barrier at Bridge approaches.
- Improvement of all Major and Minor Intersections.
- Facilities for public amenities such as Restrooms, Telephone booths, Toilets, shops and Trauma Centres.

Other benefits:

- It will give a major fillip to the quest for all weather connectivity.
- ❖ It will reduce travel time between towns and cities by 50% to 60%.
- It will enhance the spirit of enterprise.
- Help the locals to ply their trade.
- Provide direct employment in road construction and allied activities.



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020

- ❖ Lower accident and provide quick accessibility to services like hospital, market, office etc.
- ❖ Will help in growth of tourism activities immensely.

2.4 Overview of NHIDCL Organization & Activities

National Highways and Infrastructure Development Corporation is a fully owned company of the Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India. The company promotes, surveys, establishes, designs, builds, operates, maintains and upgrades National Highways and Strategic Roads including interconnecting roads in parts of the country which share international boundaries with neighboring countries. The regional connectivity so enhanced would promote cross border trade and commerce and help safeguard India's international borders. This would lead to the formation of a more integrated and economically consolidated South and South East Asia. In addition, there would be overall economic benefits for the local population and help integrate the peripheral areas with the mainstream in a more robust manner. An approximate aggregate length of 10,000 kms has been identified to begin with for development through this company. The company envisages creating customized and specialized skills in terms of addressing issues like complexities of geographical terrains and addressing extensive coordination requirements with security agencies. The company would also endeavor to undertake infrastructure projects including but not restricted to urban infrastructure and urban or city transport and to act as an agency for development of all types of Infrastructure. The company envisages working towards cross sharing of technical knowhow and enhancing opportunities for business development with other nations and their agencies including the multilateral organizations and institutions.

The company also proposes to improve road connectivity and efficiency of the international trade corridor, by expanding about 500 KMs of roads in the North Bengal and Northeastern region of India to enable efficient and safe transport regionally with other South Asia Sub-regional economic Cooperation (SASEC) member countries. These projects are being funded by ADB (Asian Development Bank).

Mission of NHIDCL

To be a professional company which works in most efficient and transparent manner and designs, develops & **delivers** infrastructure projects in a time bound basis for maximizing benefits to all stakeholders.

Vision of NHIDCL


To be an instrument for creation and management of infrastructure of the highest standard in the country with focus on the North East and Border areas and contribute significantly towards nation building.

Organizational Structure of NHIDCL is given below:



April, 2020 Pag


Revision: R0



Revision: R0

700 092

Page: 15









2.5 Objective

The main objective of the consultancy service is to establish the technical, economical, and financial viability of the project and prepare detailed project reports for rehabilitation and upgrading of the existing road to 2-Lane configuration.

The viability of the project shall be established taking into account the requirements with regard to rehabilitation, upgrading and improvement based on highway design, pavement design, provision of service roads wherever necessary, type of intersections, rehabilitation and widening of existing and/or construction of new bridges and structures, road safety features, quantities of various items of works and cost estimates and economic analysis.

The Detailed Project Report would inter-alia include detailed highway design, design of pavement and overlay with options for flexible or rigid pavements, design of bridges and cross drainage structures and grade separated structures, design of service roads, quantities of various items, detailed working drawings, detailed cost estimates, economic and financial viability analyses, environmental and social feasibility, social and environmental action plans as appropriate and documents required for tendering the project on commercial basis for international / local competitive bidding.

Preparation of detailed project should incorporate aspects of value engineering, quality audit and safety audit requirement in design and implementation.

Feasibility Report, clearly bring out through financial analysis the preferred mode of implementation on which the Civil Works for the stretches are to be taken up. Cost estimates along with feasibility report/detailed Project Report should be given.

2.6 Scope of Consultancy Services

The broad area of scope of consultancy services is highlighted below:

- As far as possible, the widening/improvement work of 2 lane proposal shall be within the existing right of way avoiding land acquisition, with the provision of bypasses wherever in urban areas and improvement to 2 lanes of the existing road is not possible. As will be required proposal for land acquisition to be taken up as per revenue records/maps for further processing of land acquisition.
- Environmental Impact Assessment, Environmental Management Plan and Rehabilitation and Resettlement Studies shall be carried out as per the requirement and suggestion of NHIDCL.
- Preparation of the bid documents including required schedules preferably for EPC mode of documents. To assist the NHIDCL and its Financial Consultant and the Legal Adviser by furnishing clarifications as required for the financial appraisal and legal scrutiny of the Project Highway and Bid Documents.
- Review of all available reports and published information about the project road and the project influence area;
- Environmental and social impact assessment, including such as related to cultural properties, natural habitats, Involuntary resettlement etc.;
- Public consultation, including consultation with Communities located along the road, NGOs working
 in the area, other stake-holders and relevant Govt. departments at all the different stages of
 assignment (such as inception stage, feasibility stage, preliminary design stage and once final design
 are concretized).
- Detailed reconnaissance & reflection in the report;
- Identification of possible improvements in the existing alignment and bypassing congested locations with alternatives, evaluation of different alternatives comparison on techno-economic and other considerations and recommendations regarding most appropriate option;

Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

pril, 2020

- Traffic studies including traffic surveys, Axle load survey and demand forecasting for next thirty years;
- Inventory and condition surveys for road;
- Inventory and condition surveys for bridges, cross-drainage structures, other structures, river bank training/protection works and drainage provisions;
- Detailed topographic surveys using mobile/aerial LiDAR or equivalent technology;
- Pavement investigations;
- Sub-grade characteristics and strength; investigation of required sub-grade and sub-soil characteristics and strength for road and embankment design all sub soil investigation;
- Identification of sources of construction materials;
- Detailed design of road, its x-sections, horizontal and vertical alignment and design of embankment
 of height more than 6m and also in poor soil conditions and where density consideration require,
 even lesser height embankment. Detailed design of structures preparation of GAD and construction
 drawings and cross-drainage structures and underpasses etc.
- Identification of the type and the design of intersections;
- Design of complete drainage system and disposal point for storm water.
- Value analysis / value engineering and project costing;
- Economic and financial analyses;
- Contract packaging and implementation schedule;
- Strip plan indicating the scheme for carriageway widening, location of all existing utility services (both over and underground) and the scheme for their relocation, trees to be felled, reports documents and drawings arrangement of estimates for cutting of trees and shifting of utilities from the concerned department;
- To find out financial viability of project for implementation and suggest the preferred mode on which the project is to be taken up.
- Preparation of detailed project report, cost estimate, approved for construction drawings, rate analysis, detailed bill of quantities, bid documents for execution of civil works through budgeting resources;
- Design of toll plaza and identification of their numbers and location and office cum residential complex including working drawings;
- Design of weighing stations, parking areas and rest areas;
- Any other user oriented facility en-route toll facility;
- Tie-in of on-going/sanctioned works of MORT&H/NHAI/other agencies;

Preparation of social plans for the project affected people as per policy of the lending agencies/Govt. of India R & R policy.

2.7 Stages of Submission

The project mainly comprises of 4 Stages from QAP/IR to DPR,

STAGE 1: Inception Report **STAGE 2**: Feasibility Report

STAGE 3: LA & Clearances I Report

STAGE 4: Detailed Project Report (DPR)



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

April, 2020 Page: 18 VSC 808

2.8 **Structure of Report**

Detailed Project Report (DPR) consists of following volumes:

Volume – I: Main Report

- Chapter -1: Executive Summary
- Chapter -2: Introduction
- Chapter -3: Project Description
- Chapter -4: Engineering Surveys, Investigation and Analysis
- Chapter -5: Social Analysis
- Chapter -6: Traffic Surveys & Analysis
- Chapter -7: Indicative Design Standards
- Chapter- 8: Cost Estimates
- Chapter -9: Environmental Screening
- Chapter -10 : Road Safety Audit
- Chapter -11: Financial Analysis
- Chapter -12: Conclusions & Recommendations

Volume - IA: Appendix to Main Report

- Appendix-4.1: Road Inventory Survey
- Appendix-4.2: Pavement Condition Survey
- Appendix-4.3: Culvert Inventory Survey
- Appendix-4.4: Bridge Inventory Survey
- Appendix-4.5: List of TBM & GPS Point
- Appendix-6.1: Classified Traffic Volume Count
- Appendix-6.2: Turning Movement Count Survey
- Appendix-6.3: Axle Load Survey
- Appendix-6.4: Origin-Destination Survey
- Appendix-6.5: Pedestrian Count Survey
- Appendix-6.6: Speed Delay Survey

Volume - II: Design Report

(Part A: Road Works

Part B: Structure Works)

Volume – III: Materials Report

Volume – IV: Environmental Assessment Report including EMP and RAP

Volume – V: Technical Specification

Volume – VI: Rate Analysis Volume – VII: Cost Estimates Volume – VIII: Bill of Quantities Volume - IX: Drawing Volume

Part A: Road Works Part B: Bridge Works

Part C: Detailed Cross-sections



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

CHAPTER-3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION


3.1 EXISTING SCENARIO

Manipur.

3.1.1 START AND END POINT OF THE PROJECT ROAD

The name of the road as per offer and agreement is Churachandpur - Tuivai section of NH-102B starting from the junction of NH-150 (old NH-2) at New Lamka town at Churachandpur and ends near Manipur and Mizoram state border at Tuivai in the state of Manipur. The project road lies under Churachandpur district in the state of Manipur. The length of the road comes out 161.445 km (As per Topography Survey). The Project Road starts from Churachandpur and passes through major habitation Churachandpur, New Lamka, Singngat, Suangdoh and Sinzawl Village.







Terminating Point at Tuivai (Ch. 161.445 km)

3.1.2 SETTLEMENT

The existing Road passes through village / localities namely G. Bualjang, Lungthul (L), Lungthul (D), and Kangkap. Details are given below in Table 3.1:

TABLE 3.1: DETAILS OF SETTLEMENT/HABITATIONAL AREA

TABLE 5.1. DETAILS OF SETTLEMENT/HABITATIONAL AREA								
SI. No.	Chai	nage	Longth (m)	Name of the				
31. NO.	From (km)	To (km)	Length (m)	Village/ Town				
Package - IIIA								
1	73.000	78.500	5.500	G. Bualjang				
2	78.500	86.650	8.150	Lungthul (L)				
3	86.650	88.900	2.250	Lungthul (D)				
4	88.900	93.280	4.380	Kangkap				
•	Total	Length of Habitation = 3	20.280 km					

CETEST Engineering Consultants Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 2020





Habitation Area

3.1.3 LAND USE PATTERN

The project is located in the moderately high rainfall area with majority of the stretch passing through settlement area and forest area (90% stretch of the road length) in which 48% of the road length is passing through Eco-Sensitive Zone of Kailam Wildlife Sanctuary area. Remaining portion of the road stretch is passing through some settlement area. Details are given in Appendix to main report.

TABLE 3.2: DETAILS OF LAND USE

From (km)	To (km)	Terrain (Plain/Rolling/Hilly)	Land Use (Built- up/Agrt/Forest/Industrial/Barren)			
	Package IIIA					
73.00	74.00	Hilly	Forest(LHS=Hilly RHS=Valley)			
74.00	74.50	Hilly	Built-up(LHS=Hilly RHS=Valley)			
74.50	75.50	Hilly	Forest(LHS=Hilly RHS=Valley)			
75.50	76.50	Hilly	Forest(LHS=Valley RHS=Hilly)			
76.50	82.00	Hilly	Forest(LHS=Hilly RHS=Valley)			
82.00	83.50	Hilly	Built-up (LHS=Hilly RHS=Valley)			
83.50	93.27	Hilly	Forest(LHS=Hilly RHS=Valley)			

CETEST Engineering Consultants Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 2020

3.1.4 RIGHT OF WAY (ROW)

The existing ROW varies from 4 m to 16 m for Churachandpur-Tuivai Road. This existing ROW is not sufficient to accommodate proposed 2-lanning proposal. Therefore additional land has to be acquired for implementing the improvement proposal. Details of existing ROW width of the section has been given below in Table 3.3.

TABLE 3.3: DETAILS OF EROW

- ' .' Al '	Distance from	m ECL to EROW	T . I . I		
Existing Chainage	Left side (M)	Right Side (M)	Total EROW Length (M)		
Package - IIIA					
73.000	4.8	4.5	9.4		
73.100	4.8	4.1	8.9		
73.200	4.3	3.6	8.0		
73.300	4.2	5.0	9.2		
73.400	4.9	4.4	9.2		
73.500	5.1	3.1	8.2		
73.600	3.9	3.4	7.3		
73.700	4.6	7.3	11.9		
73.800	9.5	5.4	14.9		
73.900	5.7	4.9	10.5		
74.000	5.1	3.2	8.4		
74.100	4.3	2.8	7.1		
74.200	5.4	3.0	8.4		
74.300	5.3	2.7	8.0		
74.400	2.5	2.8	5.3		
74.500	5.1	2.8	7.9		
74.600	5.3	3.2	8.5		
74.700	3.2	4.4	7.6		
74.800	4.8	2.7	7.5		
74.900	5.0	2.4	7.4		
75.000	4.4	3.5	7.9		
75.100	3.0	6.6	9.6		
75.200	3.0	5.3	8.4		
75.300	2.4	4.0	6.4		
75.400	3.4	5.1	8.5		
75.500	2.8	4.9	7.7		
75.600	2.9	5.8	8.7		
75.700	3.1	5.3	8.4		
75.800	5.8	2.9	8.7		
75.900	3.8	2.9	6.6		
76.000	4.4	2.5	6.9		
76.100	4.0	2.7	6.7		
76.200	4.8	3.3	8.1		
76.300	7.7	3.2	11.0		
76.400	5.1	3.4	8.4		
76.500	4.4	3.1	7.6		
76.600	5.6	3.4	9.0		

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Fullation Object	Distance from	m ECL to EROW	Total EDOM Law ath (24)	
Existing Chainage	Left side (M)	Right Side (M)	Total EROW Length (M)	
76.700	6.0	3.0	9.0	
76.800	3.6	2.3	5.9	
76.900	4.7	2.9	7.6	
77.000	4.7	2.5	7.2	
77.100	5.1	3.1	8.3	
77.200	4.9	2.3	7.2	
77.300	4.3	2.2	6.5	
77.400	5.6	2.7	8.3	
77.500	6.7	1.9	8.7	
77.600	4.6	3.0	7.7	
77.700	4.4	2.7	7.1	
77.800	4.3	2.7	7.0	
77.900	4.5	2.9	7.4	
78.000	2.6	3.6	6.2	
78.100	4.6	2.7	7.3	
78.200	5.5	2.5	8.0	
78.300	4.6	2.8	7.4	
78.400	6.4	3.1	9.4	
78.500	4.3	6.1	10.3	
78.600	4.3	2.9	7.3	
78.700	4.5	2.6	7.1	
78.800	2.8	2.6	5.4	
78.900	2.6	3.7	6.3	
79.000	3.8	2.6	6.5	
79.100	4.0	3.6	7.5	
79.200	3.4	2.6	6.0	
79.300	4.5	3.5	8.0	
79.400	4.7	2.3	7.0	
79.500	4.2	3.0	7.2	
79.600	5.0	3.8	8.8	
79.700	5.8	3.6	9.4	
79.800	6.1	2.6	8.6	
79.900	4.8	4.6	9.4	
80.000	5.4	3.4	8.9	
80.100	4.6	3.6	8.2	
80.200	4.3	2.6	6.9	
80.300	5.5	5.4	10.9	
80.400	5.1	4.1	9.2	
80.500	5.6	3.0	8.6	
80.600	3.6	2.2	5.7	
80.700	3.0	2.2	5.3	
80.800	5.3	2.9	8.2	
80.900	8.7	3.0	11.6	
81.000	3.5	2.8	6.3	
81.100	5.3	3.0	8.3	
81.200	5.4	2.7	8.1 W/VOLK	

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Eviating Chairean	Distance from ECL to EROW		Total EDOM Lawath /84	
Existing Chainage	Left side (M)	Right Side (M)	Total EROW Length (M	
81.300	5.2	2.7	7.9	
81.400	5.9	2.7	8.6	
81.500	4.7	2.8	7.5	
81.600	5.6	2.5	8.1	
81.700	4.9	2.6	7.5	
81.800	5.1	2.7	7.8	
81.900	6.6	3.0	9.6	
82.000	7.1	3.0	10.1	
82.100	5.4	2.9	8.3	
82.200	6.6	3.2	9.8	
82.300	6.0	2.7	8.7	
82.400	8.6	2.8	11.4	
82.500	5.3	2.7	8.0	
82.600	5.9	2.5	8.5	
82.700	6.0	2.8	8.8	
82.800	21.8	4.7	26.6	
82.900	5.4	3.2	8.6	
83.000	4.1	3.2	7.2	
83.100	6.7	3.7	10.4	
83.200	5.1	3.3	8.4	
83.300	4.6	3.0	7.6	
83.400	4.0	3.8	7.7	
83.500	3.7	2.8	6.5	
83.600	4.8	3.0	7.8	
83.700	4.6	3.0	7.6	
83.800	6.7	2.7	9.3	
83.900	7.4	4.0	11.3	
84.000	5.6	4.5	10.1	
84.100	6.2	3.0	9.2	
84.200	7.5	3.6	11.2	
84.300	3.0	3.1	6.1	
84.400	6.8	2.8	9.6	
84.500	10.5	3.8	14.3	
84.600	6.5	3.4	9.9	
84.700	5.6	2.9	8.5	
84.800	4.3	4.0	8.3	
84.900	3.4	3.0	6.4	
85.000	4.7	2.9	7.6	
85.100	4.4	4.1	8.6	
85.200	4.8	3.2	8.0	
85.300	2.7	3.0	5.7	
85.400	5.4	3.1	8.6	
85.500	6.2	3.1	9.2	
85.600	4.5	2.9	7.3	
85.700	4.7	3.1	7.8	
85.800	4.0	3.5	7.5	

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Fullation Object	Distance from ECL to EROW		Total FDOM Lawath (24)	
Existing Chainage	Left side (M)	Right Side (M)	Total EROW Length (M)	
85.900	5.4	2.8	8.2	
86.000	6.0	3.3	9.3	
86.100	5.3	2.9	8.3	
86.200	5.7	2.8	8.5	
86.300	4.8	2.9	7.7	
86.400	4.2	2.9	7.1	
86.500	2.5	2.3	4.8	
86.600	3.6	3.0	6.5	
86.700	2.5	4.0	6.6	
86.800	3.1	3.3	6.3	
86.900	4.1	2.4	6.5	
87.000	3.5	2.8	6.3	
87.100	4.1	3.0	7.2	
87.200	4.3	2.9	7.2	
87.300	5.9	3.5	9.4	
87.400	3.9	3.8	7.7	
87.500	7.5	2.9	10.5	
87.600	3.9	3.2	7.1	
87.700	4.6	3.3	7.9	
87.800	2.0	2.9	4.9	
87.900	3.3	3.9	7.2	
88.000	3.7	2.3	6.1	
88.100	4.0	3.2	7.1	
88.200	4.9	3.4	8.3	
88.300	1.8	2.3	4.1	
88.400	2.3	2.7	5.0	
88.500	3.7	3.1	6.9	
88.600	4.2	2.9	7.1	
88.700	3.3	3.8	7.2	
88.800	2.3	3.2	5.5	
88.900	7.1	3.2	10.3	
89.000	1.7	2.5	4.2	
89.100	4.1	3.6	7.7	
89.200	4.2	3.5	7.7	
89.300	4.3	2.8	7.1	
89.400	4.2	3.4	7.6	
89.500	3.4	3.6	7.0	
89.600	3.4	2.9	6.3	
89.700	6.3	3.4	9.7	
89.800	4.4	3.3	7.8	
89.900	5.0	3.6	8.6	
90.000	4.6	3.5	8.1	
90.100	3.0	2.9	5.9	
90.200	4.1	3.2	7.3	
90.300	2.8	4.2	7.0 STING	
90.400	5.5	4.6	10.1 KOL	

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Frieting Chairean	Distance from	m ECL to EROW	Total FDOWN Lawath (NA)	
Existing Chainage	Left side (M)	Right Side (M)	Total EROW Length (M)	
90.500	3.2	3.4	6.7	
90.600	4.7	4.0	8.7	
90.700	3.8	3.2	6.9	
90.800	3.7	4.8	8.5	
90.900	3.0	3.0	6.0	
91.000	3.3	2.3	5.5	
91.100	3.6	2.5	6.1	
91.200	4.3	3.2	7.5	
91.300	4.3	2.6	6.9	
91.400	5.8	4.2	10.0	
91.500	4.7	2.9	7.6	
91.600	6.5	4.0	10.5	
91.700	2.8	6.7	9.5	
91.800	5.0	2.9	7.9	
91.900	6.4	2.9	9.4	
92.000	5.9	3.1	9.1	
92.100	5.7	2.9	8.5	
92.200	5.2	2.9	8.1	
92.300	4.6	3.1	7.8	
92.400	4.9	2.3	7.2	
92.500	4.0	3.0	7.0	
92.600	3.7	2.4	6.1	
92.700	4.2	2.8	7.0	
92.800	4.1	2.8	6.9	
92.900	5.2	3.3	8.6	
93.000	4.7	3.2	7.9	
93.100	4.9	2.8	7.8	
93.200	9.0	2.7	11.7	

3.1.5 EXISTING ALIGNMENT

The horizontal alignment of the existing road consists of sub-standard sharp curves including zigzag curves and hair-pin bends. Also, there is no proper transition length for most of the horizontal curves including the zigzag ones require to be providing for super elevation. These deficiencies have been corrected during fixation of the horizontal alignment for the entire project road to conform to MoRT&H standards .The deficiency in gradient is also observed in case of vertical alignment of the existing road . Location of sharp/Blind curves and hairpin bends listed in Table 3.4.

TABLE 3.4: LOCATION OF SHARP/BLIND CURVES AND HAIRPIN BENDS

Type of Curves	Sl. No.	From (km)	To (km)
Sharp Curves/	1	73.000	93.280
Hair - Pin Bends	1	78.000	80.000

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April,

3.1.6 EXISTING PAVEMENT

The existing road is mostly Intermediate/two Lane with earthen shoulder. The carriageway width of the existing road varies from 3.0 - 12.0 meter. Width of earthen shoulder varies from 0.50 - 2.0 meter. Hence, total formation width varies from 4.00 - 14.00 meter. Variation of road width is given below in Table 3.5:

TABLE 3.5: VARIATION OF EARTHEN ROAD WIDTH

Cha	Avorage Carriageway Width (m)	
From (km)	To (km)	Average Carriageway Width (m)
73.000	82.000	4.0
82.000	93.280	3.5

During topographic survey, it has been observed that restoration work was going on to improve present condition of the road. Details of restoration works are given below in Table 3.6

TABLE 3.6: DETAILS OF RESTORATION WORKS

Chai	Longth (Km)	
From (km)	To (km)	Length (Km)
73.000	93.280	20.280
Total	20.280	

The existing pavement condition along the road varies from fair (around 28% of project road) to poor (around 72% of project road). It has been observed that some portion of the stretch the existing pavement is partially damaged with cracks, potholes, raveling, rutting and considerable amount of patching and some stretches have been observed as fully exposed. BBD survey has been conducted at good pavement stretches listed below and according to that average characteristics deflection has been determined. The summary of deflection of existing pavement is given below in Table 3.7

TABLE 3.7: DEFLECTION SUMMARY OF EXISTING PAVEMENT

	Summary of Pavement Deflection					
CHAINA	GE (KM)			AVERAGE		
FROM	то	DEFLECTION (MM/KM) LHS	DEFLECTION (MM/KM) RHS	DEFLECTION (MM/KM)		
21.000	22.000	0.656	0.715	0.685		
33.000	34.000	0.610	0.665	0.638		
80.000	81.000	0.475	0.769	0.622		
81.000	82.000	0.502	0.814	0.658		
82.000	83.000	0.910	0.833	0.872		
108.000	109.000	0.633	0.651	0.642		
109.000	110.000	0.810	0.485	0.648		
116.000	117.000	0.698	0.485	0.592		
117.000	118.000	0.648	0.672	0.660		
118.000	119.000	0.521	0.848	0.685		

CETEST Engineering Consultants

	Summary of Pavement Deflection					
CHAINAGE (KM)				AVERAGE		
FROM	то	DEFLECTION (MM/KM) LHS	DEFLECTION (MM/KM) RHS	DEFLECTION (MM/KM)		
119.000	120.000	0.465	0.568	0.517		





Fair Condition of Pavement

Poor Condition of Pavement

3.1.7 EXISTING JUNCTION

There are 6 nos. major junction and 93 nos. minor junction in the project stretches. Details of junctions are given below in Table 3.8:

TABLE 3.8: LIST OF MAJOR JUNCTIONS

SI. No.	Chainage (Km)	Name of Intersection	Type of Intersection	Leads To			
	Package - IIIA						
	Nil						

3.1.8 EXISTING BRIDGES AND CULVERTS

BRIDGES:

There are 24 nos. of minor bridges (including 17 nos. of RCC slab bridge and 7 nos. of Bailey bridge) and 1 no. of major bridge (steel truss bridge) exist along the project stretch. Details are given in Table 3.9:

TABLE 3.9: DETAILS OF MINOR BRIDGES ALONG THE PROJECT ROAD

SI. No.	Ext. Chainage (km)	Name of River/ Stream	Type of Bridge	Span Arrangement (Expansion joint to Expansion joint) (m)	Clear Roadway between kerbs (m)	Total outer to outer width of bridge	
Package - IIIA							
1	89.428	Nallah	RCC Slab Bridge	1X7.0	6.60	6.90	
2	90.665	Nallah	RCC Slab Bridge	1X6.9	8.00	8.60	
3	90.825	Nallah	RCC Slab Bridge	1X7.0	8.00	8.90	

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

April, 2020 Page 28/5C 905





CULVERTS:

There are 611 nos. of existing culverts (including 345 nos. of Slab Culverts, 251 nos. of Pipe Culverts, 12 nos. of Box culverts and Type of 3 nos. of choked Culverts) on the project road. Details are given in Table 3.10.

TABLE 3.10: DETAILS OF CULVERTS ALONG THE PROJECT ROAD

SI. No.	Survey Chainage (km)	Type of Structures (Pipe/ Slab/ Box/ Arch)	Span Arrangement (No. x Length) (M)	Carriageway Width (M)	Width of Culvert (M)		
	Package - IIIA						
1	73.004	Slab	2X2.49M	2.9	2.9		
2	73.113	HP	1.2M DIA	3.4	3.4		
3	73.805	Slab	1X3.40M	3.6	3.6		
4	73.886	HP	1.2M DIA	3.4	3.4		
5	74.247	Slab	1X2.86M	3.2	3.2		
6	74.736	Slab	1X3.86M	3.4	3.4		
7	75.388	Slab	1X2.58M	2.8	2.8		
8	76.298	Slab	COVERED BY SOIL	3.4	3.4		
9	76.508	HP	1X1.2M	3.2	3.2		
10	76.878	HP	1X1.2M	3.6	3.6		
11	77.244	HP	1X1.2M	3.4	3.4		
12	77.503	Slab	1X1.45M	3.1	3.1		
13	77.813	Slab	1X2.62M	2.8	2.8		
14	77.852	HP	1X1.2M	3.4	3.4		
15	77.865	HP	1X1.2M	2.8	2.8		
16	78.025	Slab	1X2.0M	2.9	2.9		
17	78.386	Slab	COVERED BY SOIL	3.2	3.2		
18	78.453	HP	1X1.2M	3.6	3.6		
19	78.487	Slab	1X2.84M	3.2	3.2		
20	78.567	Вох	1X1.81M	3.5	3.5		
21	78.920	Slab	1X2.20M	3	3		
22	78.953	HP	1X1.20M	3.4	3.4		
23	79.466	HP	1X1.20M	2.8	2.8		
24	79.678	Slab	1X2.66M	2.9	2.9		
25	79.948	HP	1X1.20M	3.2	3.2		
26	80.018	Slab	1X2.95M	3.6	3.6 STING CO. AV		
27	80.172	НР	1X1.20M	3.4	3 (KOLKATA) 3 (KO		

SI. No.	Survey Chainage (km)	Type of Structures (Pipe/ Slab/ Box/ Arch)	Span Arrangement (No. x Length) (M)	Carriageway Width (M)	Width of Culvert (M)
28	80.353	HP	1X1.20M	3.1	3.1
29	80.655	HP	1X1.20M	2.8	2.8
30	80.744	Slab	1X1.260M	2.9	2.9
31	81.029	Slab	1X1.340M	3.4	3.4
32	81.042	Slab	1X1.470M	3.2	3.2
33	81.248	Slab	1X1.20M	3.6	3.6
34	81.738	HP	1X1.20M	3.1	3.1
35	81.860	HP	1X0.9M	2.8	2.8
36	82.079	Slab	1X1.32M	2.9	2.9
37	82.150	HP	1X0.9M	3.4	3.4
38	82.400	Slab	1X1.650M	2.9	2.9
39	82.729	HP	1X0.9M	3.4	3.4
40	83.456	Slab	1X1.340M	3.2	3.2
41	83.530	HP	1X1.200M	3.6	3.6
42	83.662	Slab	1X1.5M	3.2	3.2
43	83.900	HP	1X1.200M	3.5	3.5
44	84.207	Slab	1X3.85M	3	3
45	84.500	Slab	1X4.2M	3.4	3.4
46	84.700	HP	1X1.200M	3.1	3.1
47	84.770	HP	1X1.200M	3.4	3.4
48	84.869	HP	1X1.200M	2.9	2.9
49	85.094	HP	1X1.200M	3.4	3.4
50	85.123	Slab	1X1.76M	3.2	3.2
51	85.316	HP	1X0.9M	3.6	3.6
52	85.900	HP	1X1.200M	3.200	3.2
53	86.648	Slab	1X1.200M	3.500	3.5
54	86.832	Slab	1X1.43M	3.000	3
55	87.456	HP	1X1.200M	3.400	3.4
56	88.051	HP	1X1.200M	3.100	3.1
57	88.347	HP	1X1.200M	3.200	3.2
58	88.886	RCC	1X7.3M	3.600	3.6
59	88.945	HP	1X0.9M	3.200	3.2
60	89.210	Slab	1X2.61M	3.500	3.5
61	89.410	HP	1X1.200M	3.000	3
62	89.514	HP	1X1.200M	3.400	3.4
63	89.844	Slab	1X3.8M	3.100	3.1
64	90.177	Box	1X6.22M	2.900	2.9
65	90.328	Box	1X6.52M	3.400	3.4
66	90.464	Box	1X2.89M	3.200	3.2
67	90.809	Box	1X3.75M	3.600	3.6
68	91.157	PIPE	1 X 1.2M	3.500	3.5
69	91.696	SLAB	1 X 1.210M	3.200	3.2
70	91.867	PIPE	1.2M DIA	3.000	3 STING CO. PLAN
71	91.978	SLAB	1 X 1.220M	3.100	3 1 KOLKATA

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

April, 2020

SI. No.	Survey Chainage (km)	Type of Structures (Pipe/ Slab/ Box/ Arch)	Span Arrangement (No. x Length) (M)	Carriageway Width (M)	Width of Culvert (M)
72	92.267	SLAB	1 X 1.510M	3.000	3
73	92.447	HP	1 X 1.2M	3.000	3
74	92.771	SLAB	1 X 1.2M	3.000	3
75	93.030	SLAB	1 X 3.2M	2.500	2.5
76	93.144	HP	1 X 1.2M	2.700	2.7
77	93.192	SLAB	1 X 2.6M	3.000	3
78	93.278	SLAB	1 X 3.3M	3.400	3.4

3.1.9 FOREST STRETCH

Forest present along 140 km (86% of the total road length) in which 68 km (42% of the total road length) is passing through Eco - Sensitive Zone of Kailam Wildlife Sanctuary area.



Photograph of Road passing through Eco-Sensitive zone of Kailam Wildlife Sanctuary

3.1.10 ROADWAY DRAINAGE

Side drains are present in the few stretches in the built up area & unlined drain is also present in few stretches in the hill side. So, most of the portion of the project road stretch is being affected by rain water and seepage water from hilly portion due to poor condition of existing side drains. Details of existing length of the drain are given below:

- ➤ Length of Covered Drain = 4294 m (both side)
- ➤ Length of Lined Drain = 1100 m
- ➤ Length of Earthen Drain = 125000 m (on hill side)



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

3.1.11 PHOTOGRAPH OF EXISTING ROAD

Photograph of existing road corridor is presented below.

EXISTING ROAD PHOTOGRAPH





Churachandpur (Start point) (Ch:0.000 Km)



Munnuam Village (Ch:4.550 Km)



Mata Village (Ch. 6.420 Km)



Panglian Village (Ch. 16.000 Km)



Muallum Village (Ch. 26.000 Km)

Salem Veng Village (Ch. 29.500 Km)



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

April, 2020







Songtal Village (Ch. 113.500 km)

0 April, 2020

Khuanggin Village (Ch. 136.500 km)



Major Intersection (Churachandpur) (Ch. 0.000 km)

Major Intersection (New Lamka) (Ch. 0.526 km)





Major Intersection (New Laka) (Ch. 1.840 Km)

Major Intersection (Singngat) (Ch. 34.175 km)



Major Junction at Sinzawl(Ch. 149.582 km)



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020 P







Church beside the Road (Ch. 1.701 Km)

School (Ch. 5.453 Km)





Health Centre (Ch. 5.978 km)

Indian Oil Petrol Pump (Ch. 8.985km)





Stone Quarry (Ch. 7.880 Km)

Grave Yard (Ch:22.105 Km)



Forest Beat Office (Ch. 26.435 km)





Assam Rifles (Ch. 33.760 Km)

Church (Ch. 34.120 Km)





Singngat Fire Sub-station (Ch. 34.378 Km)


Singngat Police Sation (Ch:34.865 Km)



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

April, 2020 Page: 6.8.5.1





Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0


April, 2020 Page: WSC 805



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

April, 2020 Page: 88.5c.805



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

April, 2020 Page: \$9.5.0.005





Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

April, 2020

Revision: R0



Photographs of Field Work







Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR



3.2 DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

3.2.1 GEOMETRICS DESIGN

HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

The horizontal alignment has been designed as per the design speed recommended for mountainous terrain (i.e. Ruling speed = 60 Kmph & Limiting speed = 40 Kmph) except for the starting 2 km stretch where design speed has been increased to 80 kmph due to presence of plain terrain. However, design speed has been reduced up to 20 kmph in case of hair pin bends as per guideline of the Road Manual. The total design length of the project road is 145.984 Km.

Details of Horizontal Alignment Report are given below in Table 3.11.

TABLE 3.11: HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT REPORT Package-IIIA (Ch. 69.875 km to Ch. 88.980 km)

RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLE	CTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	P (ION	Z a
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	LENGTH (m)	CHORD LENGTH (m)	RADIUS	HAND (SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN
							Package - IIIA							
						Straight	69721.539	69789.878	68.339					
						Transition	69789.878	69804.878		15				
593	548494.311	2668902.889	93	21	0.89	Arc	69804.878	69838.756		33.878	30	Left	5.9	20
						Transition	69838.756	69853.756		15				
						Straight	69853.756	69890.590	36.834					
						Transition	69890.590	69910.590		20				
594	548423.196	2668966.818	94	50	21.94	Arc	69910.590	69923.695		13.105	20	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	69923.695	69943.695		20				
						Straight	69943.695	69950.248	6.553					
595	548359.121	2668893.954	78	0	11.41	Arc	69950.248	70059.161		108.913	80	Right	5.0	30
						Straight	70059.161	70077.132	17.971					
						Transition	70077.132	70092.132		15				
596	548254.505	2668956.153	146	34	11.31	Arc	70092.132	70128.294		36.163	20	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	70128.294	70143.294		15				
						Straight	70143.294	70145.602	2.308			2)	STING CO. PLY	

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE	1	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	P (NO NO	Z o
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	LENGTH (m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	70145.602	70160.602		15				
597	548313.155	2668979.817	77	43	55.76	Arc	70160.602	70172.736		12.134	20	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	70172.736	70187.736		15				
						Straight	70187.736	70260.328	72.592					
598	548325.256	2669085.217	11	41	49.35	Arc	70260.328	70285.847		25.519	125	Right	3.2	30
						Straight	70285.847	70293.692	7.845					
						Transition	70293.692	70313.692		20				
599	548335.023	2669131.089	47	0	15.88	Arc	70313.692	70326.507		12.815	40	Left	7.0	30
						Transition	70326.507	70346.507		20				
						Straight	70346.507	70364.370	17.863					
						Transition	70364.370	70379.370		15				
600	548306.598	2669187.313	26	8	4.03	Arc	70379.370	70387.177		7.807	50	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	70387.177	70402.177		15				
						Straight	70402.177	70419.134	16.958					
601	548299.941	2669249.795	24	24	13.74	Arc	70419.134	70472.375		53.241	125	Left	3.2	30
						Straight	70472.375	70487.142	14.767					
						Transition	70487.142	70502.142		15				
602	548250.905	2669314.385	146	45	50.71	Arc	70502.142	70538.372		36.23	20	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	70538.372	70553.372		15				
						Straight	70553.372	70610.481	57.109					
						Transition	70610.481	70625.481		15				
603	548214.305	2669171.646	166	17	47.52	Arc	70625.481	70668.530		43.048	20	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	70668.530	70683.530		15				
						Straight	70683.530	70726.652	43.122					
604	548179.256	2669284.611	22	28	57.66	Arc	70726.652	70775.701		49.05	125	Left	3.2	30
						Straight	70775.701	70785.893	10.191					
605	548150.822	2669329.730	16	39	14.44	Arc	70785.893	70822.226		36.333	125	Right	3.2	30
						Straight	70822.226	70837.116	14.890			- (STING CO. AL	



Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(E)	P (ION ION	Z O
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	70837.116	70852.116		15				
606	548138.976	2669382.853	52	57	43.53	Arc	70852.116	70864.847		12.731	30	Right	5.9	20
						Transition	70864.847	70879.847		15				
						Straight	70879.847	70887.011	7.165					
						Transition	70887.011	70902.011		15				
607	548162.743	2669424.563	69	51	1.36	Arc	70902.011	70911.394		9.382	20	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	70911.394	70926.394		15				
						Straight	70926.394	70971.419	45.025					
608	548124.479	2669491.455	18	3	46.9	Arc	70971.419	70996.640		25.221	80	Left	5.0	30
						Straight	70996.640	71011.100	14.460					
609	548081.809	2669526.609	25	20	50.31	Arc	71011.100	71066.400		55.299	125	Right	3.2	30
						Straight	71066.400	71077.833	11.434					
610	548058.712	2669575.760	13	28	19.67	Arc	71077.833	71107.225		29.392	125	Left	3.2	30
						Straight	71107.225	71133.867	26.642					
						Transition	71133.867	71148.867		15				
611	548014.295	2669634.683	35	20	37.62	Arc	71148.867	71183.216		34.349	80	Right	5.0	30
						Transition	71183.216	71198.216		15				
						Straight	71198.216	71304.657	106.441					
						Transition	71304.657	71319.657		15				
612	547999.983	2669794.646	55	3	17.87	Arc	71319.657	71333.484		13.827	30	Left	5.9	20
						Transition	71333.484	71348.484		15				
						Straight	71348.484	71447.042	98.558					
						Transition	71447.042	71467.042		20				
613	547874.998	2669873.519	20	6	7.57	Arc	71467.042	71482.126		15.085	100	Left	4.0	30
						Transition	71482.126	71502.126		20				
						Straight	71502.126	71527.408	25.281					
						Transition	71527.408	71542.408		15				
614	547787.476	2669904.540	148	16	47.68	Arc	71542.408	71579.167		36.759	20	Right	5TH 7.0 PL	20

CETEST Engineering Consultants

RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	PO (N O	Z 0
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	LENGTH (m)	CHORD LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	71579.167	71594.167		15				
						Straight	71594.167	71675.912	81.745					
						Transition	71675.912	71700.912		25				
615	547945.888	2669981.250	58	34	19.74	Arc	71700.912	71757.694		56.782	80	Left	5.0	30
						Transition	71757.694	71782.694		25				
						Straight	71782.694	71800.639	17.945					
						Transition	71800.639	71815.639		15				
616	547960.098	2670103.074	167	22	9.14	Arc	71815.639	71859.062		43.423	20	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	71859.062	71874.062		15				
						Straight	71874.062	71897.106	23.044					
						Transition	71897.106	71932.106		35				
617	547897.031	2670009.988	39	2	4.73	Arc	71932.106	71937.983		5.877	60	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	71937.983	71972.983		35				
						Straight	71972.983	72000.078	27.096					
						Transition	72000.078	72015.078		15				
618	547815.169	2669964.657	19	36	4.72	Arc	72015.078	72042.842		27.763	125	Right	5.7	40
						Transition	72042.842	72057.842		15				
						Straight	72057.842	72123.541	65.700					
619	547709.733	2669949.668	4	32	10.06	Arc	72123.541	72147.293		23.751	300	Left	Normal	40
						Straight	72147.293	72186.004	38.712					
						Transition	72186.004	72201.004		15				
620	547621.827	2669918.128	154	8	15.35	Arc	72201.004	72239.808		38.804	20	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	72239.808	72254.808		15				
						Straight	72254.808	72311.993	57.184					
						Transition	72311.993	72326.993		15				
621	547748.948	2669872.310	25	19	19.12	Arc	72326.993	72367.237		40.244	125	Right	5.7	40
						Transition	72367.237	72382.237		15				
						Straight	72382.237	72486.162	103.926			-	STING CO. AL	



RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLE	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	auann.	(m)	. ОF	NO NO	Z o
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	LENGTH (m)	CHORD LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	72486.162	72506.162		20				
622	547882.496	2669759.685	58	10	24.82	Arc	72506.162	72536.928		30.766	50	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	72536.928	72556.928		20				
						Straight	72556.928	72617.468	60.540					
						Transition	72617.468	72632.468		15				
623	547850.298	2669611.927	172	53	42.47	Arc	72632.468	72677.820		45.352	20	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	72677.820	72692.820		15				
						Straight	72692.820	72734.834	42.014					
						Transition	72734.834	72749.834		15				
624	547830.186	2669743.467	51	10	38.25	Arc	72749.834	72788.427		38.593	60	Left	6.7	30
						Transition	72788.427	72803.427		15				
						Straight	72803.427	72853.373	49.946					
						Transition	72853.373	72868.373		15				
625	547750.350	2669811.487	11	33	28.78	Arc	72868.373	72878.589		10.216	125	Left	5.7	40
						Transition	72878.589	72893.589		15				
						Straight	72893.589	72925.604	32.015					
						Transition	72925.604	72940.604		15				
626	547677.036	2669846.281	60	48	7.16	Arc	72940.604	72968.052		27.448	40	Left	7.0	30
						Transition	72968.052	72983.052		15				
						Straight	72983.052	72986.462	3.410					
						Transition	72986.462	73001.462		15				
627	547628.484	2669821.381	41	25	15.98	Arc	73001.462	73015.380		13.917	40	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	73015.380	73030.380		15				
						Straight	73030.380	73069.564	39.184					
						Transition	73069.564	73089.564		20				
628	547539.040	2669835.171	44	56	40.36	Arc	73089.564	73108.786		19.222	50	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	73108.786	73128.786		20				
						Straight	73128.786	73169.723	40.937				STING CO. AL	



RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	ъ.	NO N	Z o
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	73169.723	73199.723		30				
629	547479.200	2669924.574	90	10	5.29	Arc	73199.723	73216.935		17.212	30	Right	5.9	20
						Transition	73216.935	73246.935		30				
						Straight	73246.935	73320.132	73.197					
						Transition	73320.132	73335.132		15				
630	547582.535	2670011.826	19	48	30.42	Arc	73335.132	73354.704		19.572	100	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	73354.704	73369.704		15				
						Straight	73369.704	73410.107	40.402					
						Transition	73410.107	73430.107		20				
631	547682.427	2670056.712	137	40	3.11	Arc	73430.107	73470.175		40.069	25	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	73470.175	73490.175		20				
						Straight	73490.175	73533.679	43.504					
						Transition	73533.679	73553.679		20				
632	547585.602	2670118.179	19	22	27.45	Arc	73553.679	73567.494		13.815	100	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	73567.494	73587.494		20				
						Straight	73587.494	73606.381	18.887					
						Transition	73606.381	73636.381		30				
633	547532.215	2670182.288	91	15	21.53	Arc	73636.381	73654.162		17.782	30	Right	5.9	20
						Transition	73654.162	73684.162		30				
						Straight	73684.162	73758.461	74.299					
						Transition	73758.461	73773.461		15				
634	547625.408	2670293.160	90	53	40.5	Arc	73773.461	73806.054		32.592	30	Left	5.9	20
						Transition	73806.054	73821.054		15				
						Straight	73821.054	73832.334	11.280					
						Transition	73832.334	73847.334		15				
635	547582.607	2670347.431	39	57	7.17	Arc	73847.334	73867.198		19.865	50	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	73867.198	73882.198		15				
						Straight	73882.198	73895.847	13.648			- 1	STING CO. AL	



RVE .	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	A ()	ION	N Q
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	73895.847	73910.847		15				
636	547556.865	2670434.559	167	51	26.11	Arc	73910.847	73954.440		43.593	20	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	73954.440	73969.440		15				
						Straight	73969.440	73994.394	24.954					
						Transition	73994.394	74014.394		20				
637	547524.675	2670332.828	45	10	9.36	Arc	74014.394	74033.812		19.418	50	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	74033.812	74053.812		20				
						Straight	74053.812	74195.252	141.440					
						Transition	74195.252	74225.252		30				
638	547363.805	2670199.859	37	27	8.23	Arc	74225.252	74241.008		15.757	70	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	74241.008	74271.008		30				
						Straight	74271.008	74551.010	280.001					
						Transition	74551.010	74571.010		20				
639	547254.544	2669854.795	138	53	7.24	Arc	74571.010	74611.610		40.6	25	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	74611.610	74631.610		20				
						Straight	74631.610	74658.305	26.696					
						Transition	74658.305	74673.305		15				
640	547198.913	2669931.377	58	20	7	Arc	74673.305	74688.850		15.544	30	Left	5.9	20
						Transition	74688.850	74703.850		15				
						Straight	74703.850	74719.977	16.127					
						Transition	74719.977	74734.977		15				
641	547126.216	2669951.645	139	15	4.4	Arc	74734.977	74768.585		33.608	20	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	74768.585	74783.585		15				
						Straight	74783.585	74790.481	6.896		_			
						Transition	74790.481	74820.481		30				
642	547177.584	2670008.228	69	51	31.66	Arc	74820.481	74827.059		6.578	30	Left	5.9	20
						Transition	74827.059	74857.059		30	_			
						Straight	74857.059	74869.925	12.867				STING CO. PL	



Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	ъ.,	NO N	Z o
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	74869.925	74889.925		20				
643	547151.246	2670100.964	88	18	21.73	Arc	74889.925	74946.987		57.062	50	Left	7.0	30
						Transition	74946.987	74966.987		20				
						Straight	74966.987	75116.643	149.656					
						Transition	75116.643	75136.643		20				
644	546886.253	2670061.410	140	33	12.26	Arc	75136.643	75202.502		65.859	35	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	75202.502	75222.502		20				
						Straight	75222.502	75352.897	130.395					
						Transition	75352.897	75377.897		25				
645	547005.478	2670260.187	105	19	59.93	Arc	75377.897	75398.857		20.96	25	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	75398.857	75423.857		25				
						Straight	75423.857	75456.406	32.548					
						Transition	75456.406	75471.406		15				
646	546923.751	2670303.997	16	26	47.31	Arc	75471.406	75492.286		20.881	125	Right	5.7	40
						Transition	75492.286	75507.286		15				
						Straight	75507.286	75514.070	6.784					
						Transition	75514.070	75539.070		25				
647	546874.813	2670356.557	123	42	24.9	Arc	75539.070	75568.048		28.977	25	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	75568.048	75593.048		25				
						Straight	75593.048	75625.051	32.003					
						Transition	75625.051	75640.051		15				
648	546960.765	2670398.538	23	38	20.23	Arc	75640.051	75658.058		18.006	80	Left	5.0	30
						Transition	75658.058	75673.058		15				
						Straight	75673.058	75682.498	9.441					
						Transition	75682.498	75707.498		25				
649	547006.954	2670452.741	117	33	34.41	Arc	75707.498	75733.793		26.295	25	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	75733.793	75758.793		25			10.0	
						Straight	75758.793	75831.282	72.489			3.8	STING CO. AL	



Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	ъ ()	ION	Z, a
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	75831.282	75856.282		25				
650	546880.799	2670521.573	99	2	26.16	Arc	75856.282	75874.497		18.215	25	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	75874.497	75899.497		25				
						Straight	75899.497	75934.314	34.817					
						Transition	75934.314	75959.314		25				
651	546915.676	2670622.524	118	34	46.44	Arc	75959.314	75986.054		26.74	25	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	75986.054	76011.054		25				
						Straight	76011.054	76056.418	45.363					
						Transition	76056.418	76071.418		15				
652	546803.461	2670638.543	42	15	23.59	Arc	76071.418	76100.669		29.251	60	Right	6.7	30
						Transition	76100.669	76115.669		15				
						Straight	76115.669	76136.371	20.703					
						Transition	76136.371	76166.371		30				
653	546731.773	2670690.318	90	43	47.98	Arc	76166.371	76183.877		17.506	30	Left	5.9	20
						Transition	76183.877	76213.877		30				
						Straight	76213.877	76284.291	70.414					
						Transition	76284.291	76309.291		25				
654	546620.869	2670589.621	41	46	9.1	Arc	76309.291	76342.612		33.321	80	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	76342.612	76367.612		25				
						Straight	76367.612	76398.725	31.112					
						Transition	76398.725	76418.725		20				
655	546481.405	2670566.682	63	14	13.98	Arc	76418.725	76509.094		90.37	100	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	76509.094	76529.094		20				
						Straight	76529.094	76656.999	127.905					
656	546397.807	2670366.944	7	44	4.6	Arc	76656.999	76697.498		40.498	300	Left	Normal	40
						Straight	76697.498	76787.664	90.167					
						Transition	76787.664	76802.664		15				
657	546365.411	2670225.975	24	22	2.61	Arc	76802.664	76840.826		38.161	125	Left	5TIN5.7. PL	40

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE	1	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	ъ.	NO N	Z 0
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	LENGTH (m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	76840.826	76855.826		15				
						Straight	76855.826	76871.363	15.537					
						Transition	76871.363	76891.363		20				
658	546371.852	2670122.199	122	43	6.04	Arc	76891.363	76946.327		54.964	35	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	76946.327	76966.327		20				
						Straight	76966.327	76990.991	24.664					
						Transition	76990.991	77010.991		20				
659	546259.684	2670149.561	59	42	41.36	Arc	77010.991	77043.099		32.108	50	Left	7.0	30
						Transition	77043.099	77063.099		20				
						Straight	77063.099	77123.076	59.976					
						Transition	77123.076	77138.076		15				
660	546150.929	2670081.570	126	28	28.71	Arc	77138.076	77167.224		29.148	20	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	77167.224	77182.224		15				
						Straight	77182.224	77215.996	33.773					
						Transition	77215.996	77230.996		15				
661	546139.189	2670169.669	22	29	20.59	Arc	77230.996	77247.397		16.401	80	Left	5.0	30
						Transition	77247.397	77262.397		15				
						Straight	77262.397	77270.111	7.714					
						Transition	77270.111	77290.111		20				
662	546111.054	2670225.108	61	11	30.37	Arc	77290.111	77312.831		22.72	40	Left	7.0	30
						Transition	77312.831	77332.831		20				
						Straight	77332.831	77363.433	30.603					
						Transition	77363.433	77383.433		20				
663	546022.776	2670234.551	39	17	55.49	Arc	77383.433	77397.728		14.295	50	Left	7.0	30
_						Transition	77397.728	77417.728		20				
						Straight	77417.728	77441.546	23.818					
						Transition	77441.546	77461.546		20				
664	545947.413	2670196.387	105	47	14.79	Arc	77461.546	77487.704		26.158	25	Right	STINT OF PULL	20



Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	ъ. °	NO N	Z O
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	LENGTH (m)	CHORD LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	77487.704	77507.704		20				
						Straight	77507.704	77522.545	14.841					
						Transition	77522.545	77542.545		20				
665	545910.231	2670268.864	52	14	0.79	Arc	77542.545	77568.128		25.582	50	Left	7.0	30
						Transition	77568.128	77588.128		20				
						Straight	77588.128	77653.816	65.688					
						Transition	77653.816	77678.816		25				
666	545789.988	2670317.309	26	45	53.92	Arc	77678.816	77691.187		12.371	80	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	77691.187	77716.187		25				
						Straight	77716.187	77764.733	48.546					
						Transition	77764.733	77784.733		20				
667	545690.514	2670410.701	51	56	53.45	Arc	77784.733	77855.400		70.667	100	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	77855.400	77875.400		20				
						Straight	77875.400	77953.594	78.195					
						Transition	77953.594	77973.594		20				
668	545524.238	2670395.777	25	17	51.58	Arc	77973.594	77997.747		24.153	100	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	77997.747	78017.747		20				
						Straight	78017.747	78045.970	28.223					
						Transition	78045.970	78060.970		15				
669	545451.257	2670352.802	12	55	9.8	Arc	78060.970	78079.793		18.823	150	Left	4.7	40
						Transition	78079.793	78094.793		15				
						Straight	78094.793	78131.755	36.962					
						Transition	78131.755	78161.755		30				
670	545344.427	2670266.697	91	41	11.21	Arc	78161.755	78243.772		82.016	70	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	78243.772	78273.772		30				
						Straight	78273.772	78315.404	41.632					
						Transition	78315.404	78350.404		35				
671	545224.426	2670371.752	47	12	18.42	Arc	78350.404	78364.837		14.433	60	Left	5TIN 7.90 PZ	40

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	ъ.,	NO NO	Z o
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	78364.837	78399.837		35				
						Straight	78399.837	78442.540	42.703					
						Transition	78442.540	78467.540		25				
672	545106.766	2670383.177	61	17	28.55	Arc	78467.540	78485.330		17.789	40	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	78485.330	78510.330		25				
						Straight	78510.330	78524.710	14.380					
						Transition	78524.710	78539.710		15				
673	545071.336	2670441.522	37	22	36.22	Arc	78539.710	78550.804		11.094	40	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	78550.804	78565.804		15				
						Straight	78565.804	78568.942	3.137					
						Transition	78568.942	78583.942		15				
674	545074.718	2670491.897	43	55	51.48	Arc	78583.942	78607.279		23.337	50	Left	7.0	30
						Transition	78607.279	78622.279		15				
						Straight	78622.279	78641.925	19.647					
						Transition	78641.925	78656.925		15				
675	545036.752	2670554.171	36	5	46.96	Arc	78656.925	78679.725		22.8	60	Right	6.7	30
						Transition	78679.725	78694.725		15				
						Straight	78694.725	78697.495	2.770					
						Transition	78697.495	78712.495		15				
676	545033.965	2670630.274	35	30	33.04	Arc	78712.495	78774.964		62.469	125	Left	5.7	40
						Transition	78774.964	78789.964		15				
						Straight	78789.964	78795.105	5.141					
						Transition	78795.105	78810.105		15				
677	544984.637	2670712.723	57	25	51.91	Arc	78810.105	78865.270		55.165	70	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	78865.270	78880.270		15				
						Straight	78880.270	78949.508	69.238					
						Transition	78949.508	78969.508		20				
678	545037.102	2670861.306	75	27	9.87	Arc	78969.508	79015.353		45.845	50	Left	STIMP O PL	30

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	O.	NO N	2 0
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	LENGTH (m)	CHORD LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	79015.353	79035.353		20				
						Straight	79035.353	79063.629	28.276					
679	544977.733	2670914.874	2	48	13.07	Arc	79063.629	79078.309		14.68	300	Right	Normal	40
						Straight	79078.309	79092.235	13.926					
						Transition	79092.235	79107.235		15				
680	544934.030	2670953.633	19	54	29.19	Arc	79107.235	79151.303		44.069	170	Right	4.2	40
						Transition	79151.303	79166.303		15				
						Straight	79166.303	79170.721	4.418					
						Transition	79170.721	79185.721		15				
681	544881.888	2671021.770	134	39	4.57	Arc	79185.721	79229.474		43.753	25	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	79229.474	79244.474		15				
						Straight	79244.474	79269.047	24.573					
						Transition	79269.047	79289.047		20				
682	544847.614	2670932.672	19	31	51.94	Arc	79289.047	79303.136		14.088	100	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	79303.136	79323.136		20				
						Straight	79323.136	79354.971	31.836					
						Transition	79354.971	79379.971		25				
683	544849.448	2670835.709	36	42	53.22	Arc	79379.971	79406.235		26.264	80	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	79406.235	79431.235		25				
						Straight	79431.235	79467.617	36.382					
						Transition	79467.617	79507.617		40				
684	544794.388	2670731.851	54	8	36.53	Arc	79507.617	79514.866		7.249	50	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	79514.866	79554.866		40				
						Straight	79554.866	79567.926	13.060					
						Transition	79567.926	79587.926		20				
685	544819.096	2670644.151	28	31	52.57	Arc	79587.926	79617.722		29.796	100	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	79617.722	79637.722		20				
						Straight	79637.722	79661.743	24.021				STING CO. AL	



Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE .	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	PO ()	ION	N Q
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	79661.743	79676.743		15				
686	544811.934	2670565.774	11	12	50.91	Arc	79676.743	79686.208		9.465	125	Left	5.7	40
						Transition	79686.208	79701.208		15				
						Straight	79701.208	79793.206	91.998					
						Transition	79793.206	79808.206		15				
687	544820.007	2670425.567	19	25	13.42	Arc	79808.206	79835.575		27.369	125	Right	5.7	40
						Transition	79835.575	79850.575		15				
						Straight	79850.575	79910.018	59.443					
						Transition	79910.018	79935.018		25				
688	544791.807	2670311.943	23	36	50.58	Arc	79935.018	79942.990		7.971	80	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	79942.990	79967.990		25				
						Straight	79967.990	79979.540	11.550					
						Transition	79979.540	80004.540		25				
689	544797.717	2670231.093	40	5	49.77	Arc	80004.540	80035.526		30.986	80	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	80035.526	80060.526		25				
						Straight	80060.526	80227.885	167.359					
						Transition	80227.885	80252.885		25				
690	544666.511	2670025.258	69	30	45.89	Arc	80252.885	80276.414		23.529	40	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	80276.414	80301.414		25				
						Straight	80301.414	80376.135	74.721					
						Transition	80376.135	80401.135		25				
691	544526.344	2670040.203	82	46	56.21	Arc	80401.135	80412.256		11.121	25	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	80412.256	80437.256		25				
						Straight	80437.256	80465.218	27.962					
						Transition	80465.218	80490.218		25				
692	544486.667	2669963.293	77	18	6.62	Arc	80490.218	80498.947		8.729	25	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	80498.947	80523.947		25				
						Straight	80523.947	80587.664	63.718			- (STING CO. PL	



RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	ъ.	ION	Z o
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	80587.664	80622.664		35				
693	544355.190	2669966.018	42	8	22.98	Arc	80622.664	80631.793		9.129	60	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	80631.793	80666.793		35				
						Straight	80666.793	80745.554	78.761					
						Transition	80745.554	80785.554		40				
694	544222.722	2669862.783	71	53	54.39	Arc	80785.554	80808.297		22.743	50	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	80808.297	80848.297		40				
						Straight	80848.297	80858.303	10.006					
						Transition	80858.303	80898.303		40				
695	544238.590	2669755.408	64	34	48.81	Arc	80898.303	80914.660		16.357	50	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	80914.660	80954.660		40				
						Straight	80954.660	80985.247	30.588					
						Transition	80985.247	81015.247		30				
696	544161.435	2669677.790	57	41	39.13	Arc	81015.247	81020.491		5.243	35	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	81020.491	81050.491		30				
						Straight	81050.491	81070.822	20.331					
						Transition	81070.822	81100.822		30				
697	544066.580	2669684.360	106	48	47.78	Arc	81100.822	81126.749		25.927	30	Left	5.9	20
						Transition	81126.749	81156.749		30				
						Straight	81156.749	81205.271	48.522					
						Transition	81205.271	81230.271		25				
698	544050.382	2669557.515	113	17	0.72	Arc	81230.271	81254.700		24.429	25	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	81254.700	81279.700		25				
						Straight	81279.700	81381.026	101.326					
						Transition	81381.026	81416.026		35				
699	543880.771	2669616.226	47	59	21.74	Arc	81416.026	81431.280		15.254	60	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	81431.280	81466.280		35				
						Straight	81466.280	81467.231	0.950			- 1	STING CO. AL	

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE .	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	A (ION	N Q
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	81467.231	81502.231		35				
700	543836.443	2669695.120	56	51	24.25	Arc	81502.231	81526.771		24.54	60	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	81526.771	81561.771		35				
						Straight	81561.771	81568.219	6.448					
						Transition	81568.219	81593.219		25				
701	543754.687	2669721.815	28	42	44.25	Arc	81593.219	81608.309		15.09	80	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	81608.309	81633.309		25				
						Straight	81633.309	81693.235	59.927					
						Transition	81693.235	81708.235		15				
702	543661.344	2669799.789	19	56	10.57	Arc	81708.235	81736.730		28.494	125	Right	5.7	40
						Transition	81736.730	81751.730		15				
						Straight	81751.730	81902.929	151.199					
						Transition	81902.929	81937.929		35				
703	543554.634	2669998.824	54	18	29.87	Arc	81937.929	81959.800		21.872	60	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	81959.800	81994.800		35				
						Straight	81994.800	82015.486	20.685					
						Transition	82015.486	82040.486		25				
704	543585.718	2670096.902	69	0	36.88	Arc	82040.486	82063.664		23.178	40	Left	7.0	30
						Transition	82063.664	82088.664		25				
						Straight	82088.664	82100.986	12.322					
						Transition	82100.986	82125.986		25				
705	543517.130	2670156.478	135	10	40.99	Arc	82125.986	82159.968		33.983	25	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	82159.968	82184.968		25				
						Straight	82184.968	82239.626	54.658					
						Transition	82239.626	82254.626		15				
706	543502.696	2670036.540	9	3	20.42	Arc	82254.626	82271.236		16.61	200	Left	3.6	40
						Transition	82271.236	82286.236		15				
						Straight	82286.236	82325.491	39.255			- (STING CO. PL	



Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLE	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHODD	(m)	PO (NO N	20
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	LENGTH (m)	CHORD LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	82325.491	82345.491		20				
707	543512.596	2669945.248	44	21	19.68	Arc	82345.491	82364.198		18.707	50	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	82364.198	82384.198		20				
						Straight	82384.198	82394.459	10.261					
						Transition	82394.459	82414.459		20				
708	543477.531	2669881.552	74	40	58.59	Arc	82414.459	82440.080		25.621	35	Left	7.0	30
						Transition	82440.080	82460.080		20				
						Straight	82460.080	82543.488	83.407					
						Transition	82543.488	82563.488		20				
709	543564.786	2669761.040	25	46	21.93	Arc	82563.488	82588.469		24.982	100	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	82588.469	82608.469		20				
						Straight	82608.469	82699.843	91.374					
						Transition	82699.843	82724.843		25				
710	543590.660	2669596.433	135	55	35.22	Arc	82724.843	82759.152		34.309	25	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	82759.152	82784.152		25				
						Straight	82784.152	82807.118	22.966					
						Transition	82807.118	82822.118		15				
711	543505.330	2669636.294	18	45	59.23	Arc	82822.118	82848.060		25.942	125	Right	5.7	40
						Transition	82848.060	82863.060		15				
						Straight	82863.060	82900.756	37.696					
						Transition	82900.756	82925.756		25				
712	543442.337	2669710.370	27	23	16.92	Arc	82925.756	82938.997		13.241	80	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	82938.997	82963.997		25				
						Straight	82963.997	82975.623	11.625					
						Transition	82975.623	82990.623		15				
713	543377.911	2669743.203	19	46	52.45	Arc	82990.623	83018.779		28.156	125	Right	5.7	40
						Transition	83018.779	83033.779		15				
						Straight	83033.779	83085.434	51.655				STING CO. AL	



Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE	1	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	9 ()	ION	Z o
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	83085.434	83110.434		25				
714	543297.061	2669823.346	29	39	3.93	Arc	83110.434	83126.835		16.401	80	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	83126.835	83151.835		25				
						Straight	83151.835	83154.296	2.462					
						Transition	83154.296	83189.296		35				
715	543269.834	2669893.004	35	43	13.1	Arc	83189.296	83197.937		8.641	70	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	83197.937	83232.937		35				
						Straight	83232.937	83240.907	7.970					
						Transition	83240.907	83260.907		20				
716	543216.203	2669941.378	17	20	49.48	Arc	83260.907	83271.183		10.276	100	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	83271.183	83291.183		20				
						Straight	83291.183	83325.277	34.094					
						Transition	83325.277	83340.277		15				
717	543155.284	2670011.404	131	54	43.98	Arc	83340.277	83371.323		31.046	20	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	83371.323	83386.323		15				
						Straight	83386.323	83448.207	61.884					
						Transition	83448.207	83463.207		15				
718	543114.637	2669890.158	40	24	36.47	Arc	83463.207	83497.577		34.37	70	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	83497.577	83512.577		15				
						Straight	83512.577	83567.056	54.478					
						Transition	83567.056	83587.056		20				
719	543024.998	2669827.583	75	58	34.61	Arc	83587.056	83593.576		6.521	20	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	83593.576	83613.576		20				
						Straight	83613.576	83624.983	11.407					
						Transition	83624.983	83639.983		15	_			
720	542976.047	2669855.937	85	6	58.14	Arc	83639.983	83654.694		14.711	20	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	83654.694	83669.694		15				
						Straight	83669.694	83673.348	3.654			- 1	STING CO. PL	



Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE	1	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	ъ.,	NO N	Z 0
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	83673.348	83688.348		15				
721	542940.746	2669828.890	28	3	45.24	Arc	83688.348	83695.388		7.04	45	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	83695.388	83710.388		15				
						Straight	83710.388	83736.831	26.443					
						Transition	83736.831	83756.831		20				
722	542864.065	2669795.952	89	52	43.25	Arc	83756.831	83791.735		34.904	35	Left	7.0	30
						Transition	83791.735	83811.735		20				
						Straight	83811.735	83833.003	21.268					
						Transition	83833.003	83853.003		20				
723	542888.252	2669706.562	53	8	54.83	Arc	83853.003	83879.384		26.381	50	Left	7.0	30
						Transition	83879.384	83899.384		20				
						Straight	83899.384	83952.645	53.261					
						Transition	83952.645	83977.645		25				
724	543003.420	2669646.311	135	50	5.85	Arc	83977.645	84011.914		34.269	25	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	84011.914	84036.914		25				
						Straight	84036.914	84072.716	35.802					
						Transition	84072.716	84087.716		15				
725	542916.434	2669592.352	14	35	2.51	Arc	84087.716	84104.534		16.817	125	Right	5.7	40
						Transition	84104.534	84119.534		15				
						Straight	84119.534	84185.583	66.050					
						Transition	84185.583	84200.583		15				
726	542804.277	2669572.873	15	28	58.75	Arc	84200.583	84219.362		18.779	125	Right	5.7	40
						Transition	84219.362	84234.362		15				
						Straight	84234.362	84280.257	45.895					
						Transition	84280.257	84310.257		30				
727	542623.127	2669568.954	128	18	16.4	Arc	84310.257	84428.053		117.796	66	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	84428.053	84458.053		30				
						Straight	84458.053	84573.079	115.025			- 1	STING CO. AL	



Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	ъ.	NO N	Z o
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	84573.079	84603.079		30				
728	542764.240	2669325.505	67	2	21.02	Arc	84603.079	84654.982		51.904	70	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	84654.982	84684.982		30				
						Straight	84684.982	84714.312	29.330					
						Transition	84714.312	84734.312		20				
729	542877.378	2669334.053	39	45	19.44	Arc	84734.312	84749.005		14.693	50	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	84749.005	84769.005		20				
						Straight	84769.005	84776.999	7.994					
						Transition	84776.999	84801.999		25				
730	542933.934	2669306.593	21	40	36.56	Arc	84801.999	84807.266		5.267	80	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	84807.266	84832.266		25				
						Straight	84832.266	84855.760	23.494					
						Transition	84855.760	84870.760		15				
731	543025.077	2669278.315	156	36	0.42	Arc	84870.760	84910.424		39.664	20	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	84910.424	84925.424		15				
						Straight	84925.424	85069.767	144.344					
						Transition	85069.767	85089.767		20				
732	542819.420	2669211.014	21	14	20.84	Arc	85089.767	85106.837		17.069	100	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	85106.837	85126.837		20				
						Straight	85126.837	85250.789	123.953					
						Transition	85250.789	85285.789		35				
733	542629.308	2669234.788	42	12	52.93	Arc	85285.789	85294.997		9.207	60	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	85294.997	85329.997		35				
						Straight	85329.997	85335.984	5.988					
						Transition	85335.984	85360.984		25	_			
734	542576.543	2669284.615	21	9	40.1	Arc	85360.984	85365.531		4.547	80	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	85365.531	85390.531		25				
						Straight	85390.531	85456.618	66.087		_	- 1	STING CO. AL	



Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	9 ()	ION	Z o
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	85456.618	85486.618		30				
735	542458.125	2669337.583	87	4	32.17	Arc	85486.618	85502.211		15.593	30	Left	7.0	30
						Transition	85502.211	85532.211		30				
						Straight	85532.211	85543.787	11.577					
						Transition	85543.787	85558.787		15				
736	542411.213	2669278.437	36	55	17.07	Arc	85558.787	85582.451		23.664	60	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	85582.451	85597.451		15				
						Straight	85597.451	85683.962	86.511					
						Transition	85683.962	85713.962		30				
737	542277.053	2669216.230	29	58	36.88	Arc	85713.962	85723.202		9.24	75	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	85723.202	85753.202		30				
						Straight	85753.202	85793.875	40.673					
						Transition	85793.875	85813.875		20				
738	542214.949	2669136.885	17	59	31.05	Arc	85813.875	85825.277		11.402	100	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	85825.277	85845.277		20				
						Straight	85845.277	85912.990	67.714					
						Transition	85912.990	85927.990		15				
739	542180.862	2669016.954	125	28	4.8	Arc	85927.990	85956.787		28.797	20	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	85956.787	85971.787		15				
						Straight	85971.787	85973.293	1.507					
						Transition	85973.293	85988.293		15				
740	542303.068	2669028.976	144	3	50.28	Arc	85988.293	86075.126		86.833	40.5	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	86075.126	86090.126		15				
						Straight	86090.126	86168.013	77.887					
						Transition	86168.013	86183.013		15				
741	542193.351	2668870.149	73	19	7.62	Arc	86183.013	86206.402		23.39	30	Right	5.9	20
						Transition	86206.402	86221.402		15			24.2	
						Straight	86221.402	86242.995	21.593			- 1	STING CO. PL	



Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	ъ.,	ION N	Z O
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	86242.995	86257.995		15				
742	542128.187	2668888.176	44	6	31.02	Arc	86257.995	86266.090		8.095	30	Left	5.9	20
						Transition	86266.090	86281.090		15				
						Straight	86281.090	86304.248	23.157					
						Transition	86304.248	86319.248		15				
743	542039.744	2668866.233	146	36	10.53	Arc	86319.248	86365.656		46.409	24	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	86365.656	86380.656		15				
						Straight	86380.656	86384.978	4.321					
						Transition	86384.978	86399.978		15				
744	542065.879	2668933.985	66	21	37.55	Arc	86399.978	86408.142		8.164	20	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	86408.142	86423.142		15				
						Straight	86423.142	86429.003	5.861					
745	542050.688	2668963.733	12	27	9.03	Arc	86429.003	86446.390		17.387	80	Right	5.0	30
						Straight	86446.390	86474.978	28.588					
						Transition	86474.978	86489.978		15				
746	542029.413	2669015.007	41	24	44.4	Arc	86489.978	86496.662		6.683	30	Left	5.9	20
						Transition	86496.662	86511.662		15				
						Straight	86511.662	86517.029	5.367					
						Transition	86517.029	86532.029		15				
747	541992.488	2669037.822	11	17	3.68	Arc	86532.029	86541.648		9.619	125	Right	5.7	40
						Transition	86541.648	86556.648		15				
						Straight	86556.648	86564.803	8.155					
						Transition	86564.803	86579.803		15				
748	541947.994	2669071.252	32	53	58.8	Arc	86579.803	86604.998		25.195	70	Left	5.7	30
						Transition	86604.998	86619.998		15				
						Straight	86619.998	86641.845	21.848					
						Transition	86641.845	86656.845		15				
749	541847.881	2669101.902	171	35	12.29	Arc	86656.845	86701.740		44.895	20	Right	5TN 7.0 PL	20

CETEST Engineering Consultants

RVE	ı	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	ъ	ION	Z O
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
						Transition	86701.740	86716.740		15				
						Straight	86716.740	86761.748	45.008					
						Transition	86761.748	86791.748		30				
750	541988.055	2669135.747	111	24	22.12	Arc	86791.748	86820.081		28.332	30	Left	7.0	30
						Transition	86820.081	86850.081		30				
						Straight	86850.081	86880.731	30.650					
						Start	86880.731	86916.086	35.355					
						Transition	86916.086	86931.086		15				
751	541949.630	2669257.562	9	59	07.31"	Arc	86931.086	86937.871		6.785	125	Right	5.7	40
						Transition	86937.871	86952.871		15				
						Straight	86952.871	86972.545	19.674					
						Transition	86972.545	87007.545		35				
752	541938.363	2669333.469	62	58	18.07"	Arc	87007.545	87016.507		8.963	40	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	87016.507	87051.507		35				
						Straight	87051.507	87098.032	46.525					
						Transition	87098.032	87113.032		15				
753	542012.508	2669411.659	86	17	13.30"	Arc	87113.032	87128.152		15.12	20	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	87128.152	87143.152		15				
						Straight	87143.152	87143.743	0.591					
						Transition	87143.743	87158.743		15				
754	541992.384	2669457.130	103	54	21.47"	Arc	87158.743	87180.013		21.27	20	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	87180.013	87195.013		15				
						Straight	87195.013	87218.738	23.725					
						Transition	87218.738	87233.738		15				
755	542053.864	2669494.418	83	22	14.46"	Arc	87233.738	87247.840		14.102	20	Left	7.0	20
						Transition	87247.840	87262.840		15				
						Straight	87262.840	87274.199	11.359					
						Transition	87274.199	87299.199		25		- 1	STING CO. PL	<u> </u>



RVE	1	HIP	DEFLI	ECTION A	ANGLE		START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	P (NO N	Z o
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	LENGTH (m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
756	542043.267	2669553.465	20	25	49.61"	Arc	87299.199	87302.725		3.526	80	Right	7.0	40
						Transition	87302.725	87327.725		25				
						Straight	87327.725	87357.066	29.342					
						Transition	87357.066	87392.066		35				
757	542043.656	2669651.731	47	51	50.35"	Arc	87392.066	87407.189		15.123	60	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	87407.189	87442.189		35				
						Straight	87442.189	87504.928	62.739					
						Transition	87504.928	87544.928		40				
758	541934.854	2669760.828	70	11	48.71"	Arc	87544.928	87566.187		21.258	50	Left	7.0	40
						Transition	87566.187	87606.187		40				
						Straight	87606.187	87729.387	123.200					
						Transition	87729.387	87759.387		30				
759	541734.991	2669692.459	79	8	30.55"	Arc	87759.387	87777.732		18.345	35	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	87777.732	87807.732		30				
						Straight	87807.732	87815.913	8.181					
						Transition	87815.913	87830.913		15				
760	541689.850	2669741.551	23	41	39.57"	Arc	87830.913	87840.726		9.813	60	Left	6.7	30
						Transition	87840.726	87855.726		15				
						Straight	87855.726	87861.949	6.224					
						Transition	87861.949	87886.949		25				
761	541640.297	2669782.958	84	41	10.84"	Arc	87886.949	87913.681		26.732	35	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	87913.681	87938.681		25				
						Straight	87938.681	87943.952	5.270					
						Transition	87943.952	87968.952		25				
762	541661.305	2669852.901	55	25	01.60"	Arc	87968.952	87977.804		8.852	35	Left	7.0	30
						Transition	87977.804	88002.804		25				
						Straight	88002.804	88051.259	48.455					
						Transition	88051.259	88066.259		15		- (STING CO. AL	



HIP/CURVE NO.	HIP		DEFLECTION ANGLE			START	END	LENGTH	CHORD	(m)	e V	NO N	N Q	
	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	LENGTH (m)	CHORD LENGTH (m)	RADIUS (m)	HAND OF ARC	SUPER ELEVATION (%)	DESIGN SPEED
763	541617.941	2669942.051	13	1	17.94"	Arc	88066.259	88079.668		13.409	125	Right	3.2	30
						Transition	88079.668	88094.668		15				
						Straight	88094.668	88133.364	38.696					
						Transition	88133.364	88158.364		25				
764	541590.172	2670027.225	32	8	28.65"	Arc	88158.364	88167.022		8.658	60	Left	6.7	30
						Transition	88167.022	88192.022		25				
						Straight	88192.022	88207.216	15.194					
						Transition	88207.216	88232.216		25				
765	541532.366	2670076.291	55	47	40.20"	Arc	88232.216	88246.168		13.952	40	Left	7.0	30
						Transition	88246.168	88271.168		25				
						Straight	88271.168	88272.712	1.544					
						Transition	88272.712	88297.712		25				
766	541473.039	2670069.680	40	4	52.17"	Arc	88297.712	88300.694		2.982	40	Right	7.0	30
						Transition	88300.694	88325.694		25				
						Straight	88325.694	88414.798	89.104					
						Transition	88414.798	88429.798		15				
767	541350.876	2670125.834	10	39	54.59"	Arc	88429.798	88438.066		8.268	125	Left	3.2	30
						Transition	88438.066	88453.066		15				
						Straight	88453.066	88483.123	30.057					
						Transition	88483.123	88498.123		15				
768	541283.203	2670150.350	88	26	29.46"	Arc	88498.123	88513.995		15.872	20	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	88513.995	88528.995		15				
						Straight	88528.995	88543.412	14.418					
						Transition	88543.412	88568.412		25				
769	541288.984	2670217.875	69	47	16.39"	Arc	88568.412	88579.953		11.541	30	Left	5.9	20
						Transition	88579.953	88604.953		25				
						Straight	88604.953	88711.853	106.900					
						Transition	88711.853	88726.853		15		- (STING CO. AL	



Final Detailed Project Report **Project Description** Package-IIIA

RVE	HIP		DEFLECTION ANGLE				START	END	LENGTH	I CHORD	(m)	P ()	ION	N. O
HIP/CURVE NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	DEG	MIN	SEC	ELEMENT	CHAINAGE (m)	CHAINAGE (m)	(m)	LENGTH (m)	RADIUS	HAND	SUPER ELEVATIC (%)	DESIGN SPEED
770	541155.370	2670317.121	20	7	19.67"	Arc	88726.853	88755.753		28.9	125	Right	3.2	30
						Transition	88755.753	88770.753		15				
						Straight	88770.753	88839.174	68.422					
						Transition	88839.174	88864.174		25				
771	541081.876	2670422.530	34	57	00.53"	Arc	88864.174	88875.774		11.6	60	Right	6.7	30
						Transition	88875.774	88900.774		25				
						Straight	88900.774	88936.260	35.486					
						Transition	88936.260	88951.260		15				
772	541088.165	2670525.485	142	31	53.91"	Arc	88951.260	88986.013		34.753	20	Right	7.0	20
						Transition	88986.013	89001.013		15				







Revision: R0

3.2.2 PROPOSED CROSS SECTION DETAILS

Cross-section of the improved facility should be adequate to cater to the traffic expected over the design period and offer safe and convenient traffic operation at speeds consistent with the terrain conditions and functional classification of this road.

The cross-sectional parameters (land /shoulder width etc.) shall be as per standards specified in IRC SP: 73-2015. Following typical cross-sections have been envisaged for the subject road. Chainage wise cross-section details are given in Table 3.12 and Table 3.13.

TABLE 3.12: PROPOSED CROSS-SECTION DETAILS

TCS Type	Description	Length (m)				
TCS-1	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder in built up area with both side foothpath cum RCC					
103-1	covered drain (existing pavement)					
TCS-2	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side toe wall & one side retaining wall					
	(existing pavement)					
TCS-3	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side toe wall (existing pavement)	N/A				
TCS-4	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder in rural area (existing pavement)	450				
TCS-4A	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder in rural area (realignment stretch)	N/A				
TCS-5	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side toe wall & one side trapezoidal drain					
103-5	(existing pavement)					
TCS-5A	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side toe wall & one side trapezoidal drain (realignment stretch)					
1C3-5A						
TCS-6	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and both side trapezoidal drain (existing pavement)	2870				
TCS-6A	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and both side trapezoidal drain (realignment stretch)	N/A				
TCS-7	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side trapezoidal drain (existing pavement)	5685				
TCS-7A	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side trapezoidal drain (realignment stretch)	N/A				
TCS-8	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side breast wall (existing pavement)	4695				
TCS-8A	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side breast wall (realignment stretch)	N/A				
TCS-9	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side breast wall & one side drain (existing					
	pavement)					
TCS-9A	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side breast wall & one side drain					
	(realignment stretch)					
TCS-10	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side retaining wall (existing pavement)	250				
TCS-10A	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side retaining wall (realignment stretch)	N/A				
TCS-11	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side retaining wall & one side drain (existing					
	pavement)	600				
TCS-11A	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side retaining wall & one side drain	N/A				
	(realignment stretch)	.,,				
TCS-12	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side retaining wall & one breast wall (existing					
	pavement)	300				
TCS-12A	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side retaining wall & one breast wall	N/A				
	(realignment stretch)					
TCS-13	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and both side retaining wall (existing pavement)	N/A				
TCS-13A	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and both side retaining wall (realignment stretch)	N/A				
TCS-14	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side toe wall & one side breast wall (existing					
	pavement)					
TCS-15	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and both side breast wall (existing pavement)	STING CO 465				
TCS-15A	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and both side breast wall (realignment stretch)	KOLKN/A*				

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

TCS Type	Description	Length (m)		
TCS-16	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and both side composite RE wall (existing pavement)	N/A		
TCS-16A	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and both side composite RE wall (realignment stretch)	N/A		
TCS-17	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side drain & one side composite RE wall			
103-17	(existing pavement)	N/A		
TCS-17A	Two Lane carriageway with hard shoulder and one side drain & one side composite RE wall	N/A		
1C3-17A	(realignment stretch)	IN/A		

TABLE 3.13: CHAINAGEWISE CROSS-SECTION DETAILS

Chaina	ge									
From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	TCS Type							
Package - IIIA										
69875	69920	45	TCS-7							
69920	69970	50	TCS-5							
69970	70420	450	TCS-8							
70420	70520	100	TCS-6							
70520	70620	100	TCS-14							
70620	70670	50	TCS-2							
70670	70900	230	TCS-1							
70900	71120	220	TCS-8							
71120	71270	150	TCS-7							
71270	71570	300	TCS-9							
71570	71620	50	TCS-14							
71620	71670	50	TCS-11							
71670	71720	50	TCS-7							
71720	71770	50	TCS-10							
71770	71820	50	TCS-5							
71820	71870	50	TCS-7							
71870	72270	400	TCS-8							
72270	72370	100	TCS-12							
72370	72620	250	TCS-11							
72620	72670	50	TCS-7							
72670	73270	600	TCS-9							
73270	73370	100	TCS-6							
73370	73620	250	TCS-9							
73620	73720	100	TCS-6							
73720	73820	100	TCS-9							
73820	74020	200	TCS-6							
74020	74120	100	TCS-9							
74120	74420	300	TCS-7							
74420	74570	150	TCS-8							
74570	74620	50	TCS-4							
74620	74770	150	TCS-7							
74770	74920	150	TCS-8							

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

April, 2020

Chaina	ge	1	T00 T
From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	TCS Type
74920	75120	200	TCS-6
75120	75220	100	TCS-4
75220	75270	50	TCS-10
75270	75420	150	TCS-8
75420	75570	150	TCS-7
75570	75620	50	TCS-9
75620	75720	100	TCS-11
75720	76120	400	TCS-8
76120	76170	50	TCS-6
76170	76320	150	TCS-7
76320	76420	100	TCS-8
76420	76470	50	TCS-11
76470	76620	150	TCS-6
76620	76720	100	TCS-7
76720	76770	50	TCS-6
76770	76920	150	TCS-7
76920	77020	100	TCS-6
77020	78280	1260	TCS-7
78280	79230	950	TCS-1
79230	79720	490	TCS-8
79720	79820	100	TCS-14
79820	79970	150	TCS-7
79970	80120	150	TCS-10
80120	80670	550	TCS-7
80670	80820	150	TCS-8
80820	81070	250	TCS-7
81070	81370	300	TCS-8
81370	81470	100	TCS-7
81470	81670	200	TCS-8
81670	81820	150	TCS-7
81820	81870	50	TCS-8
81870	82020	150	TCS-4
82020	82420	400	TCS-7
82420	82570	150	TCS-8
82570	82620	50	TCS-11
82620	82870	250	TCS-8
82870	83070	200	TCS-6
83070	83170	100	TCS-8
83170	83220	50	TCS-9
83220	83370	150	TCS-7
83370	83470	100	TCS-8

Chaina	ge	Laurath (m)	TCC Turns		
From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	TCS Type		
83470	83620	150	TCS-9		
83620	83770	150	TCS-7		
83770	83920	150	TCS-12		
83920	84020	100	TCS-7		
84020	84620	600	TCS-8		
84620	84670	50	TCS-11		
84670	84870	200	TCS-8		
84870	84920	50	TCS-4		
84920	84970	50	TCS-12		
84970	85120	150	TCS-9		
85120	85220	100	TCS-4		
85220	85570	350	TCS-7		
85570	85635	65	TCS-6		
85635	85720	85	TCS-8		
85720	85770	50	TCS-11		
85770	85940	170	TCS-7		
85940	86040	100	TCS-9		
86040	86150	110	TCS-7		
86150	86450	300	TCS-1		
86450	86810	360	TCS-7		
86810	86870	60	TCS-5		
86870	86980	110	TCS-15		
86980	87130	150	TCS-6		
87130	87375	245	TCS-15		
87375	88025	650	TCS-6		
88025	88135	110	TCS-15		
88135	88890	755	TCS-6		
88890	88980	90	TCS-7		
69875	69920	45	TCS-7		
69920	69970	50	TCS-5		
69970	70420	450	TCS-8		
70420	70520	100	TCS-6		
70520	70620	100	TCS-14		
70620	70670	50	TCS-2		
70670	70900	230	TCS-1		
70900	71120	220	TCS-8		
71120	71270	150	TCS-7		
71270	71570	300	TCS-9		
71570	71620	50	TCS-14		
71620	71670	50	TCS-11		
71670	71720	50	TCS-7		

Chaina	age		
From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	TCS Type
71720	71770	50	TCS-10
71770	71820	50	TCS-5
71820	71870	50	TCS-7
71870	72270	400	TCS-8
72270	72370	100	TCS-12
72370	72620	250	TCS-11
72620	72670	50	TCS-7
72670	73270	600	TCS-9
73270	73370	100	TCS-6
73370	73620	250	TCS-9
73620	73720	100	TCS-6
73720	73820	100	TCS-9
73820	74020	200	TCS-6
74020	74120	100	TCS-9
74120	74420	300	TCS-7
74420	74570	150	TCS-8
74570	74620	50	TCS-4
74620	74770	150	TCS-7
74770	74920	150	TCS-8
74920	75120	200	TCS-6
75120	75220	100	TCS-4
75220	75270	50	TCS-10
75270	75420	150	TCS-8
75420	75570	150	TCS-7
75570	75620	50	TCS-9
75620	75720	100	TCS-11
75720	76120	400	TCS-8
76120	76170	50	TCS-6
76170	76320	150	TCS-7
76320	76420	100	TCS-8
76420	76470	50	TCS-11
76470	76620	150	TCS-6
76620	76720	100	TCS-7
76720	76770	50	TCS-6
76770	76920	150	TCS-7
76920	77020	100	TCS-6
77020	78280	1260	TCS-7
78280	79230	950	TCS-1
79230	79720	490	TCS-8
79720	79820	100	TCS-14
79820	79970	150	TCS-7
			1141 701

Chaina	ge	Loughly (m)	TCC Towns
From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	TCS Type
79970	80120	150	TCS-10
80120	80670	550	TCS-7
80670	80820	150	TCS-8
80820	81070	250	TCS-7
81070	81370	300	TCS-8
81370	81470	100	TCS-7
81470	81670	200	TCS-8
81670	81820	150	TCS-7
81820	81870	50	TCS-8
81870	82020	150	TCS-4
82020	82420	400	TCS-7
82420	82570	150	TCS-8
82570	82620	50	TCS-11
82620	82870	250	TCS-8
82870	83070	200	TCS-6
83070	83170	100	TCS-8
83170	83220	50	TCS-9
83220	83370	150	TCS-7
83370	83470	100	TCS-8
83470	83620	150	TCS-9
83620	83770	150	TCS-7
83770	83920	150	TCS-12
83920	84020	100	TCS-7
84020	84620	600	TCS-8
84620	84670	50	TCS-11
84670	84870	200	TCS-8
84870	84920	50	TCS-4
84920	84970	50	TCS-12
84970	85120	150	TCS-9
85120	85220	100	TCS-4
85220	85570	350	TCS-7
85570	85635	65	TCS-6
85635	85720	85	TCS-8
85720	85770	50	TCS-11
85770	85940	170	TCS-7
85940	86040	100	TCS-9
86040	86150	110	TCS-7
86150	86450	300	TCS-1
86450	86810	360	TCS-7
86810	86870	60	TCS-5
86870	86980	110	TCS-15

Chaina	ge	Longth (m)	TCS Tymo				
From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	TCS Type				
86980	87130	150	TCS-6				
87130	87375	245	TCS-15				
87375	88025	650	TCS-6				
88025	88135	110	TCS-15				
88135	88890	755	TCS-6				
88890	88980	90	TCS-7				
	Total Length = 19.105 Km						

3.2.3 ADOPTED PAVEMENT THICKNESS

New 2-lane road will be developed by upgrading existing road after necessary geometric improvement. Considering Design traffic of 20 msa and Design CBR of 10% following pavement thickness has been adopted over existing and New/Widening portion.

TABLE 3.14: PAVEMENT THICKNESS

Design CBR (%)	Design Traffic (MSA)	GSB (mm)	WMM (mm)	DBM (mm)	BC (mm)	Total Thickness (mm)	Sub-grade in New /widening portion (CBR-10%)
10%	20	200	250	70	40	560	500

Pavement Thickness as per IRC:37-2018




Figure 12.6 Catalogue for pavement with bituminous surface course with granular base and sub-base - Effective CBR 10% (Plate-6)



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0





3.2.4 MUCK DISPOSAL

The muck from hill cutting and construction activities will be safely disposed at suitable locations. Principle adopted for selecting muck dumping areas was to avoid sensitive areas like dense vegetation, natural water courses and areas prone to landslides. During the selection of the dumping sites preference was given on the following aspects.

- The muck does not fall/flow into stream/river.
- Dumping sites should be at least 30 m (horizontal) away from the High Flood Level of the River/ stream.
- The sites are free from active landslides or creeps.
- The sites should not fall within pristine forest nor are these habitats of threatened species of flora and fauna.
- The sites are located close to its source in order to avoid long distance haulage.

Requirement of total muck disposal area has been given below in Table 3.15:

TABLE 3.15: DETAILS OF MUCK DISPOSAL AREA

Package	Quantity of Muck/Debri s generated in Cum	Quantity of Muck with 30% swell factor in Cum	Total Quantity of Muck/Debris including swell factor in Cum	Estimated Quantity of Muck/Debris proposed to be utilized for Filling in cum	Estimated quantity of muck/debris proposed to be dumped in cum.	Estimated quantity of muck/debris dumped in Valley Side within our Proposed ROW in Cum	Estimated quantity of muck/debris dumped in other location in Cum	Avg. Dumping Height in M.	Avg. Dumping Area (Sq m.)	Avg. Dumping Area (Ha.)
IIIA	1229627.38	368888.21	1598515.59	200755.88	1397759.71	43737.00	1354022.71	20	67701.14	6.77



3.2.5 PROPOSED ROW

Proposed ROW varies from 20.00 m to 24.00 for rural area and 14.00 m for built-up area of the project stretch.

Package - IIIA

- Total Land required to implement 2-lane proposal = 40.906 Ha.
- ➤ Land Available within PROW= 13.986 Ha.
- > Balance Land to be acquired = 26.920 Ha.

3.2.6 BRIDGES AND CULVERTS

BRIDGES

There are no bridges have been proposed along the project road. Improvement proposal of all the bridges are given below in Table 3.16:

Chainage (km) **Proposed** SI. Remarks for Survey Design Type of Span Span **Proposal** No. Chainage Chainage **Structures Bridge Type** Arrangement **Arrangement** (km) (km) (Bridge) (No. x Span in m) Package - IIIA

Nil

TABLE 3.16: DETAILS OF MINOR BRIDGES

CULVERTS

There are 78 nos. of existing culverts (including 37 nos. of Slab Culverts, 36 nos. of Pipe Culverts, 5 nos. of Box culverts and Type of 3 nos. of choked Culverts) on the project road. However, due to geometric improvement 58 nos. existing culverts are reconstructed with box and remaining 20 nos. culverts are avoided and 23 nos. new RCC Box Culverts are proposed.

Improvement Proposals are given below in Table 3.17a & 3.17b:

TABLE 3.17a: DETAILS OF RECONSTRUCTION CULVERTS

		1 7	ABLE 3.17a. DETA	L3 OF RECONSTRU	OCTION COLVERTS	
	Curvov	Docian	Existing	Details	F	Proposal
Sl. No.	Survey Chainage (Km)	Design Chainage (km)	Type of Structure	Span Arrangement (M)	Type of Structure	Span Arrangement [Span (M) X Height (M)] (No. of Cell)
				Package-IIIA		
1	73.004	69.878	Slab	2.0X2.49M	BOX CULVERT	4.0 X 4.0
2	73.113	69.964	HP	1.2M DIA	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
3	73.805	70.656	Slab	1X3.40M	BOX CULVERT	4.0 X 4.0
4	73.886	70.736	HP	1.2M DIA	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
5	74.247	71.097	Slab	1X2.86M	BOX CULVERT	3.0 X 3.0
6	74.736	71.565	Slab	1X3.86M	BOX CULVERT	4.0 X 4.0
7	75.388	72.231	Slab	1X2.58M	BOX CULVERT	3.0 X 3.0
8	76.508	73.287	HP	1X1.2M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
9	77.503	74.270	Slab	1X1.45M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0

CETEST Engineering Consultants

			Existing	Details	F	Proposal
Sl. No.	Survey Chainage	Design Chainage	Type of	Span		Span Arrangement
	(Km)	(km)	Structure	Arrangement	Type of Structure	[Span (M) X Height (M)]
- 10				(M)		(No. of Cell)
10	77.865	74.615	HP	1X1.2M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
11	78.453	75.138	HP	1X1.2M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
12	78.487	75.168	Slab	1X2.84M	BOX CULVERT	3.0 X 3.0
13	78.567	75.236	Box	1X1.81M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 3.0
14	78.920	75.548	Slab	1X2.20M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
15	78.953	75.569	HP	1X1.20M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
16	79.466	76.056	HP	1X1.20M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
17	79.678	76.262	Slab	1X2.66M	BOX CULVERT	3.0 X 3.0
18	80.655	77.166	HP	1X1.20M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 3.0
19	80.744	77.228	Slab	1X1.260M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
20	81.042	77.504	Slab	1X1.470M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
21	81.248	77.695	Slab	1X1.20M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
22	81.738	78.181	HP	1X1.20M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
23	81.860	78.293	HP	1X0.9M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 3.0
24	82.079	78.490	Slab	1X1.32M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
25	82.150	78.553	HP	1X0.9M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
26	82.729	79.129	HP	1X0.9M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
27	83.456	79.844	Slab	1X1.340M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
28	83.530	79.911	HP	1X1.200M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
29	83.662	80.036	Slab	1X1.5M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
30	84.207	80.487	Slab	1X3.85M	BOX CULVERT	4.0 X 4.0
31	84.500	80.738	Slab	1X4.2M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
32	84.700	80.900	HP	1X1.200M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
33	84.770	80.950	HP	1X1.200M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
34	84.869	81.045	HP	1X1.200M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
35	85.123	81.265	Slab	1X1.76M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
36	85.316	81.450	HP	1X0.9M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
37	85.900	82.000	HP	1X1.200M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
38	86.648	82.737	Slab	1X1.200M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 3.0
39	86.832	82.908	Slab	1X1.43M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
40	87.456	83.522	HP	1X1.200M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
41	88.945	84.909	HP	1X0.9M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 3.0
42	89.210	85.184	Slab	1X2.61M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
43	89.410	85.362	HP	1X1.200M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
44	89.514	85.440	HP	1X1.200M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
45	90.175	86.070	Slab Bridge	1 X 6.22	BOX CULVERT	5.0 X 5.0
46	90.331	86.227	Slab Bridge	1 X 6.52	BOX CULVERT	5.0 X 5.0
47	90.463	86.360	Slab	1 X 2.89	BOX CULVERT	3.0 X 3.0
48	90.809	86.685	Box	1X3.75M	BOX CULVERT	5.0 X 5.0
49	91.155	87.005	HP	1X1.200M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
50	91.867	87.665	PIPE	1.2M DIA	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
51	91.978	87.759	SLAB	1 X 1.220M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0 ting CO. AV
52	92.267	88.002	SLAB	1 X 1.510M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0 KOLKATA 3

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

Final Detailed Project Report Project Description Package-IIIA

	Survey Design		Existing	Details	Proposal		
SI. No.	Survey Chainage (Km)	Design Chainage (km)	Type of Structure	. Arrangement I		Span Arrangement [Span (M) X Height (M)] (No. of Cell)	
53	92.447	88.187	HP	1 X 1.2M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0	
54	92.771	88.512	SLAB	1 X 1.2M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0	
55	93.030	88.738	SLAB	1 X 3.2M	BOX CULVERT	3.0 X 3.0	
56	93.144	88.851	HP	1 X 1.2M	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0	
57	93.192	88.894	SLAB	1 X 2.6M	BOX CULVERT	3.0 X 3.0	
58	93.278	88.977	SLAB	1 X 3.3M	BOX CULVERT	3.0 X 3.0	



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

TABLE 3.17b: DETAILS OF NEW CULVERTS

	C	Danima	Existing	Details	ı	Proposal
SI. No.	Survey Chainage (Km)	Design Chainage (km)	Type of Structure	Span Arrangement (M)	Type of Structure	Span Arrangement [Span (M) X Height (M)] (No. of Cell)
				Package-IIIA		
1	-	70.553	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
2	-	71.246	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
3	-	71.752	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
4	-	72.581	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 3.0
5	-	73.656	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
6	-	74.577	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
7	-	74.831	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
8	-	75.683	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 3.0
9	-	76.445	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
10	-	76.777	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
11	-	77.965	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
12	-	78.782	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
13	-	79.449	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
14	-	82.283	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
15	-	83.928	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
16	-	84.241	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
17	-	84.639	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
18	-	85.650	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
19	-	85.931	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 3.0
20	-	86.884	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
21	-	87.132	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
22	-	87.272	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0
23	-	88.363	-	-	BOX CULVERT	2.0 X 2.0

3.2.7 JUNCTION IMPROVEMENT

The existing project road has a number of junctions mainly with village roads. Junctions have been classified into "Major" and "Minor" junctions according to functional importance and future prospects. There are 3 nos. minor junctions in the project road.

TABLE 3.18: LIST OF MAJOR JUNCTIONS

SI. No.	Chainage (Km)	Name of Junction	Type of Junction	Leads To					
	Package - IIIA								
	Nil								

Minor Junctions:

• Package – IIIA: 3 Nos.


3.2.8 SERVICE ROADS

Engineering Consultants

There is no Service Road proposed along the project stretch.

3.2.9 FOOTPATH AND COVERED DRAINS

Footpath cum RCC cover drain has been proposed in congested built up area for safe movement of coppedestrian, Trapezoidal stone masonry open drain has been considered in scattered built-up area on the considered built-up area of the considered built-up area of



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

both side of footpath for proper drainage purpose. Details are given below.

TABLE 3.20: DETAILS OF FOOTPATH CUM RCC COVER DRAIN

Chai	inage		Length of	Net				
From	То	Total Length(m)	CD (m)	Length (m)	TCS	Side		
Package - IIIA								
70670	70900	230	2.6	455	TCS-1	Both		
78280	79230	950	13.1	1874	TCS-1	Both		
86150	86450	300	10.68	579	TCS-1	Both		
	Length of Covered Drain cum Footpath in Package - IIIA = 2907 m							

RR Masonry Trapezoidal open drain has been considered in hill side. The detail list of drain is given below.

TABLE 3.21: DETAILS OF RR MASONRY TRAPEZOIDAL OPEN DRAIN

Cha	ninage	LIAILS OF KK WIASC	Length of	Net		
		Total Length(m)	CD	Length	TCS	Side
From	То		(m)	(m)		
		Packa	ge - IIIA			
69875	69920	45	4.96	40	TCS-7	Single side
69920	69970	50	2.6	47	TCS-5	Single side
70420	70520	100		200	TCS-6	Both side
71120	71270	150	2.6	147	TCS-7	Single side
71270	71570	300	4.96	295	TCS-9	Single side
71620	71670	50		50	TCS-11	Single side
71670	71720	50		50	TCS-7	Single side
71770	71820	50		50	TCS-5	Single side
71820	71870	50		50	TCS-7	Single side
72370	72620	250	2.7	247	TCS-11	Single side
72620	72670	50		50	TCS-7	Single side
72670	73270	600		600	TCS-9	Single side
73270	73370	100	2.6	195	TCS-6	Both side
73370	73620	250		250	TCS-9	Single side
73620	73720	100	2.6	195	TCS-6	Both side
73720	73820	100		100	TCS-9	Single side
73820	74020	200		400	TCS-6	Both side
74020	74120	100		100	TCS-9	Single side
74120	74420	300	2.6	297	TCS-7	Single side
74620	74770	150		150	TCS-7	Single side
74920	75120	200		400	TCS-6	Both side
75420	75570	150	5.2	145	TCS-7	Single side
75570	75620	50		50	TCS-9	Single side
75620	75720	100	2.7	97	TCS-11	Single side
76120	76170	50		100	TCS-6	Both side
76170	76320	150	3.84	146	TCS-7	Single side
76420	76470	50	2.6	47	TCS-11	Single side
76470	76620	150		300	TCS-6	Both side

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Cha	inage		Length of	Net					
From	То	Total Length(m)	CD (m)	Length (m)	TCS	Side			
76620	76720	100		100	TCS-7	Single side			
76720	76770	50		100	TCS-6	Both side			
76770	76920	150	2.6	147	TCS-7	Single side			
76920	77020	100		200	TCS-6	Both side			
77020	78280	1260	15.7	1244	TCS-7	Single side			
79820	79970	150	5.2	145	TCS-7	Single side			
80120	80670	550	4.96	545	TCS-7	Single side			
80820	81070	250	7.8	242	TCS-7	Single side			
81370	81470	100	2.6	97	TCS-7	Single side			
81670	81820	150		150	TCS-7	Single side			
82020	82420	400	2.6	397	TCS-7	Single side			
82570	82620	50		50	TCS-11	Single side			
82870	83070	200	2.6	395	TCS-6	Both side			
83170	83220	50		50	TCS-9	Single side			
83220	83370	150		150	TCS-7	Single side			
83470	83620	150	2.6	147	TCS-9	Single side			
83620	83770	150		150	TCS-7	Single side			
83920	84020	100	2.6	97	TCS-7	Single side			
84620	84670	50	2.6	47	TCS-11	Single side			
84970	85120	150		150	TCS-9	Single side			
85220	85570	350	5.2	345	TCS-7	Single side			
85570	85635	65		130	TCS-6	Both side			
85720	85770	50		50	TCS-11	Single side			
85770	85940	170	2.7	167	TCS-7	Single side			
85940	86040	100		100	TCS-9	Single side			
86040	86150	110	6.84	103	TCS-7	Single side			
86450	86810	360	6.84	353	TCS-7	Single side			
86810	86870	60		60	TCS-5	Single side			
86980	87130	150	2.6	295	TCS-6	Both side			
87375	88025	650	7.8	1284	TCS-6	Both side			
88135	88890	755	14.24	1482	TCS-6	Both side			
88890	88980	90	7.68	82	TCS-7	Single side			
	Length of RR Masonry Trapezoidal Drain in Package - IIIA = 13857 m								

3.2.10 ROAD MARKINGS/SIGNAGE/ROAD FURNITURE

Retro-reflective signage as per IRC standard mounted on post or overhead gantry, required for safety and traffic control will be provided at suitable locations. Thermoplastic road markings, road studs, delineators, crash barriers etc. will be provided at required locations to ensure adequate safety of the road users. Details are given in Volume-VII: Cost Estimate.



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0



3.2.11 BUS BAY & PASSENGER SHELTER

6 nos. of Bus Bays with passenger shelter are provided at 3 habitation areas along the project road. Details of proposed Bus bay location are given below in Table-3.22:

TABLE 3.22: LIST OF BUSBAYS WITH PASSENGER SHELTER

SI. No.	Design Chainage (km)	Name of the habitation	Side
		Package - IIIA	
1	70.950	Z. Bualzang Village	Both
2	78.420	Lungthul Village	Both
3	86.130	Tuima Village	Both

3.2.12 PROTECTION WORK

For proper protection of proposed road on hill and valley side Breast Wall and retaining wall have been proposed from road safety point of view. Details of Breast Wall, Retaining Wall, Toe wall & Metal Beam Crash Barrier are given in Table 3.23, Table 3.24, Table 3.25 and Table 3.26 respectively.

TABLE 3.23: DETAILS OF BREAST WALL

Cha	inage	TABLE 3.23. DETAIL	Length of	Net						
From	То	Total Length(m)	CD (m)	Length (m)	TCS	Side				
	Package - IIIA									
69970	70420	450		450	TCS-8	Single				
70520	70620	100	2.6	97	TCS-14	Single				
70900	71120	220	3.84	216	TCS-8	Single				
71270	71570	300	4.96	295	TCS-9	Single				
71570	71620	50		50	TCS-14	Single				
71870	72270	400	3.84	396	TCS-8	Single				
72270	72370	100		100	TCS-12	Single				
72670	73270	600		600	TCS-9	Single				
73370	73620	250		250	TCS-9	Single				
73720	73820	100		100	TCS-9	Single				
74020	74120	100		100	TCS-9	Single				
74420	74570	150		150	TCS-8	Single				
74770	74920	150	2.6	147	TCS-8	Single				
75270	75420	150		150	TCS-8	Single				
75570	75620	50		50	TCS-9	Single				
75720	76120	400	2.6	397	TCS-8	Single				
76320	76420	100		100	TCS-8	Single				
79230	79720	490	2.6	487	TCS-8	Single				
79720	79820	100		100	TCS-14	Single				
80670	80820	150	2.6	147	TCS-8	Single				
81070	81370	300	2.6	297	TCS-8	Single				
81470	81670	200		200	TCS-8	Single				
81820	81870	50		50	TCS-8	Singlesting				
82420	82570	150		150	TCS-8	Single KOL				

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Cha	inage		Length of	Net		
From	То	Total Length(m)	CD (m)	Length (m)	TCS	Side
82620	82870	250	2.7	247	TCS-8	Single
83070	83170	100		100	TCS-8	Single
83170	83220	50		50	TCS-9	Single
83370	83470	100		100	TCS-8	Single
83470	83620	150	2.6	147	TCS-9	Single
83770	83920	150		150	TCS-12	Single
84020	84620	600	2.6	597	TCS-8	Single
84670	84870	200		200	TCS-8	Single
84920	84970	50		50	TCS-12	Single
84970	85120	150		150	TCS-9	Single
85635	85720	85	2.6	82	TCS-8	Single
85940	86040	100		100	TCS-9	Single
86870	86980	110	2.6	215	TCS-15	Both
87130	87375	245	5.2	480	TCS-15	Both
88025	88135	110		220	TCS-15	Both
	Leng	th of Breast Wall ir	n Package - IIIA	= 7921 m		

TABLE 3.24a: DETAILS OF RETAINING WALL

Chai	nage	C:do	Avg.	CD	Lanath	Net	TCS
From	То	Side Height Length		Length	103		
			Package -	IIIA			
70620	70670	single	3.00	4.96	50	45.04	TCS-2
71620	71670	single	4.00		50	50	TCS-11
75220	75270	single	3.00	2.6	50	47.4	TCS-10
75620	75720	single	4.00		100	100	TCS-11
76420	76470	single	2.00	2.7	50	47.3	TCS-11
79970	80120	single	2.00	2.7	150	147.3	TCS-10
82570	82620	single	1.50	2.7	50	47.3	TCS-11
83770	83920	single	3.00	2.6	150	147.4	TCS-12
84620	84670	single	2.00	2.6	50	47.4	TCS-11
84920	84970	single	2.00		50	50	TCS-12

Length of 1.5m Retaining Wall=47.3m Length of 2.0m Retaining Wall=292.0m Length of 3.0m Retaining Wall=239.8m

Length of 4.0m Retaining Wall=150m

CETEST Engineering Consultants Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 2020

TABLE 3.24b: DETAILS OF RETAINING WALL

Chai	nage	. Avg. CD Length		Net					
From	То	side	Height	Length	(m)	Length (m)	TCS		
	Package - IIIA								
71720	71770	single	5.00	2.6	50	47.4	TCS-12		
72270	72370	single	6.00		100	100	TCS-11		
72370	72620	single	6.00	2.7	250	247.3	TCS-10		
85720	85770	single	6.00		50	50	TCS-11		

Length of 5.0m Retaining Wall=47.4m Length of 6.0m Retaining Wall=397.3m

TABLE 3.25: DETAILS OF TOE WALL

Chai	inage		Length of	Net				
From	То	Total Length(m)	CD (m)	Length (m)	TCS	Side		
	Package - IIIA							
69920	69970	50	2.6	47.4	TCS-5	Single		
70520	70620	100	2.6	97.4	TCS-14	Single		
70620	70670	50	4.96	45.04	TCS-2	Single		
71570	71620	50		50	TCS-14	Single		
71770	71820	50		50	TCS-5	Single		
79720	79820	100		100	TCS-14	Single		
86810	86870	60		60	TCS-5	Single		
	Lengt	h of Toe Wall in Pac	ckage - IIIA = 4	49.84 m				

TABLE 3.26: DETAILS OF METAL BEAM CRASH BARRIER

		1		1	<u>-</u>			
Cha	ainage		Length of	Net				
From	То	Total Length(m)	CD	Length	TCS	Side		
			(m)	(m)				
	Package - IIIA							
69920	69970	50	2.6	47.4	TCS-5	Single		
70520	70620	100	2.6	97.4	TCS-14	Single		
70620	70670	50	4.96	90.08	TCS-2	Both		
71570	71620	50	50		TCS-14	Single		
71620	71670	50	50 50		TCS-11	Single		
71720	71770	50	2.6	47.4	TCS-10	Single		
71770	71820	50		50	TCS-5	Single		
72270	72370	100		100	TCS-12	Single		
72370	72620	250	2.7	247.3	TCS-11	Single		
75220	75270	50	2.7	47.3	TCS-10	Single		
75620	75720	100	100 2.7		TCS-11	Single		
76420	76470	50	2.6	47.4	TCS-11	Single		
79720	79820	100		100	TCS-14	Single		
79970	80120	150	2.6	147.4	TCS-10	Single		

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Cha	inage		Length of	Net		
From	То	Total Length(m)	CD (m)	Length (m)	TCS	Side
82570	82620	50		50	TCS-11	Single
83770	83920	150	150		TCS-12	Single
84620	84670	50	2.6	47.4	TCS-11	Single
84920	84970	50		50	TCS-12	Single
85720	85770	50		50	TCS-11	Single
86810	86870	60		60	TCS-5	Single
	Length of Meta	al Beam Crash Barri	er in Package	- IIIA = 1626	.38 m	

TABLE 3.26: DETAILS OF COMPOSITE RE WALL

Chainage		Length (m)	TCS Type	Side	
From (m)	To (m)	Length (m)	TCS Type	Side	
		Nil			

HYDRO SEEDING OR VEGETATION

Hydro seeding or roadside vegetation will be provided through hill cutting portion for better stability and prevention of soil erosion. Details are given below.

Packages	Hydro seeding(Sqm)	Turfing(Sqm)
PKG-IIIA	63765	7273

3.2.13 FOREST PROPOSAL

Project road passes through unclassified forest. Forest Clearance proposal will be separately uploaded for that. Total Forest Land required is stated below:

Package - IIIA: 26.920 Ha. (Entire road passes through Eco-Sensitive zone of Kailam Wildlife Sanctuary)

Note

- Chainage wise distance of EROW from the existing centre line of the alignment of Churachandpur-Tuivai Road is given in Annexure 3(A) attached at the end of Volume – I: Main Report.
- Justification for abandoning the existing alignment of Churachandpur-Tuivai Road is given in Annexure 3(B) attached at the end of Volume I: Main Report.
- Checklist for approval of road alignment of Churachandpur-Tuivai Road is given in Annexure 3(C) attached at the end of Volume I: Main Report.



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0



CHAPTER - 4 ENGINEERING SURVEYS, INVESTIGATION AND ANALYSIS

4.1 INTRODUCTION

Different types of field studies, engineering surveys and investigations are required to gather data and information for preparation of the report for the project road stretches. The aim of the investigations is to develop an adequate supportive database for selecting and preparing the most appropriate and economic proposal to meet the functional and structural efficiency of the road as well as safety requirements.

The following are the necessary engineering survey and investigations need to be carried out at site to assess the existing characteristics of the road:

- (a) Reconnaissance Survey
- (b) Road Inventory
- (c) Pavement Condition Survey
- (d) Inventory and Condition Survey of Existing Structures
- (e) Topographical Survey
- (f) Traffic Survey
- (g) Benkelman Beam Deflection Test
- (h) Sub-grade Investigation
- (i) Quarry Material Survey
- (j) Sub-Soil Exploration

4.2 ENGINEERING SURVEYS AND INVESTIGATIONS

4.2.1 RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY

The consultants made an in-depth study of the available maps of the project area and other relevant information collected. A detailed reconnaissance survey was conducted for the entire stretch of the project road and detail features such as land use, habitation, water routes, river, intersecting roads, utilities such as electrical lines (HT/LT), etc has been noted. The detailed ground reconnaissance of project influence area was utilized for planning and programming the detailed surveys and investigations.

4.2.2 ROAD INVENTORY

Detailed inventory of the project road stretches have been prepared through visual inspection with sample measurements to assess the existing status. Features like existing kilometerage, terrain, land use, width of pavement and shoulders, height of embankment, geometric deficiencies, important road junctions, railway level crossings, utilities, other roadside features etc. were recorded. The inventory is essentially included to collect physical information on the road and its environment for enabling preliminary assessment of the project. The existing road is mostly single lane with earthen shoulder with small stretch having two lane road. The details of these inventories are provided in Appendix: 4.1 of Main Report. Brief summary of the Carriageway & shoulder details are in Table 4.1.



April, 2020 Page: 8

TABLE 4.1: BRIEF SUMMARY OF CARRIAGEWAY AND SHOULDER DETAILS

From (km)	To (km)	Carriageway Width (m)	Shoulder width (m)
73.000	73.500	4	0.4
73.500	74.000	4	0.4
74.000	74.500	4	0.6
74.500	75.000	4	0.6
75.000	75.500	4	0.6
75.500	76.000	4	0.8
76.000	76.500	4	0.6
76.500	77.000	4	0.6
77.000	77.500	4	0.8
77.500	78.000	4	0.8
78.000	78.500	4	0.8
78.500	79.000	4	0.6
79.000	79.500	4	0.4
79.500	80.000	4	0.6
80.000	80.500	4	0.6
80.500	81.000	4	0.4
81.000	81.500	4	0.4
81.500	82.000	4	0.6
82.000	82.500	3.8	0.8
82.500	83.000	3.7	1
83.000	83.500	3.7	1
83.500	84.000	3.7	1
84.000	84.500	3.7	0.8
84.500	85.000	3.7	0.8
85.000	85.500	3.6	0.8
85.500	86.000	3.6	0.8
86.000	86.500	3.6	0.8
86.500	87.000	3.7	0.8
87.000	87.500	3.7	0.6
87.500	88.000	3.7	0.6
88.000	88.500	3.7	0.6
88.500	89.000	3.7	0.6
89.000	89.500	3.7	0.6
89.500	90.000	3.7	0.4
90.000	90.500	3.7	0.4
90.500	91.000	3.7	0.4
91.000	91.500	3.7	0.4
91.500	92.000	3.7	0.4
92.000	92.500	3.7	0.4

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

April, 2020

From (km)	To (km)	Carriageway Width (m)	Shoulder width (m)
92.500	93.000	3.7	0.4
93.000	93.280	3.7	0.4

4.2.3 **PAVEMENT CONDITION SURVEY**

Pavement condition survey has been carried out as per the standard format. The existing pavement surface is of bituminous type and surface condition along the road varies from fair to poor. Some portion of the stretches, the existing pavement is partially damaged with cracks, potholes, raveling, rutting and considerable amount of patching. Few stretches have been observed where bituminous layer is fully exposed. Detailed field study including pavement condition, shoulder condition, embankment condition, drainage condition etc. were noted by visual means supplemented by sample measurements. The following measurements were involved:

- Cracking (as a percentage of paved carriageway area)
- Raveling (as a percentage of paved carriageway area)
- Pothole (as a percentage of paved carriageway area)
- Rut depth, mm
- Edge drop, mm

Shoulder and embankment conditions were determined visually and its extent will be noted. The details of the Pavement Conditions are provided in Appendix: 4.2 to the Main Report. Brief summary of existing pavement surface condition are in Table 4.2.

TABLE 4.2: BRIEF SUMMARY OF PAVEMENT CONDITION DETAILS

Good to Fair Condition	Poor Condition
From Km 73.000 to km 93.280 = 20.280 km	Nil

4.2.4 INVENTORY AND CONDITION SURVEY OF EXISTING STRUCTURES

Inventory and condition survey of the existing bridges and culverts were carried out to identify their number, type, condition and hydrological aspects. Mainly visual inspection and dimensional measurements were carried out during this survey. Data were collected in the standard format. The exercise enabled to collect the visible as-built information to the extent possible and condition to assess the individual requirements of the existing structures enroute, like widening, repair and reconstruction. The details of culvert inventories and condition surveys are provided in Appendix: 4.3 and bridges in Appendix: 4.4 of Main Report. Brief summary of existing structures are in Table 4.3.

Table 4.3: Brief summary of Structure Details

Bridges (Total 3 nos.)	
Type No.	
RCC Slab Bridges	3 nos. (minor bridge)
Culverts (Total 78 nos.)	
Туре	No.



Revision: RO

RCC Slab Culverts	37 nos.
HP Culverts	36 nos.
Box Culverts	5 nos.

4.2.5 TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY

The specific objective of the topographical survey is to delineate accurately the complete existing natural and man-made features, so as to study and develop the existing road, creating an accurate Digital Terrain Model, which is also a fundamental requirement to design the highway through latest software. The detailed topographical survey for the existing road as well as realignment stretches were completed with fixing BM pillars according to the procedure outlined in the TOR. A list of TBM and GPS pillars established along the project road is given in Appendix: 4.5 of Main Report. Summary of BM, TBM and GPS pillar list are in Table 4.4.

TABLE 4.4: SUMMARY OF PILLARS DETAILS

BM Pillar List

BM No.	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	R.L. (m)	
	Package-IIIA			
BM100/2	541034.399	2674889.356	1138.964	
BM101/1	540608.276	2674938.729	1157.644	
BM101/2	540408.958	2674855.435	1163.337	
BM101/3	540228.416	2674879.951	1170.481	
BM101/4	540094.128	2675008.442	1176.891	
BM102/1	539862.636	2675433.242	1195.015	
BM102/2	539767.243	2675536.633	1193.694	
BM102/3	539715.814	2675750.567	1201.612	
BM103/1	539329.885	2675827.407	1219.309	
BM103/2	539237.294	2675664.122	1211.946	
BM103/3	539169.752	2675491.198	1206.674	
BM104/1	539008.149	2675108.478	1187.583	
BM104/2	539101.841	2674967.332	1182.142	
BM104/3	538980.334	2674817.918	1175.447	
BM105/1	539224.555	2674308.637	1174.195	
BM105/2	539274.087	2674108.748	1186.388	
BM105/3	539347.436	2673980.017	1196.853	
BM106/1	539269.092	2673634.248	1218.666	
BM106/2	539180.402	2673453.858	1228.362	
BM106/3	539184.862	2673278.439	1238.053	
BM107/1	539196.556	2672936.823	1256.431	
BM107/2	539087.324	2672820.49	1270.672	
BM107/3	538981.726	2672922.264	1270.146	
BM108/1	538645.412	2673106.053	1252.635	
BM108/2	538553.486	2673062.85	1245.532	
BM108/3	538421.251	2673141.448	1236.163	

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

BM No.	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	R.L. (m)
	Packa	ge-IIIA	
BM109/1	538369.72	2673479.023	1219.683
BM109/2	538347.546	2673622.269	1214.184
BM109/3	538312.009	2673807.735	1207.211
BM-110/1	538076.808	2674298.257	1183.479
BM-110/2	537848.503	2674474.078	1170.812
BM-110/3	537772.909	2674303.758	1162.438
BM-111/1	537823.827	2673995.952	1159.401
BM-111/2	537902.381	2673810.901	1173.738
BM-111/3	538002.723	2673689.617	1178.078
BM-112/1	537931.534	2673330.018	1188.255
BM-112/2	537917.568	2673102.955	1195.77
BM-112/3	537811.54	2672970.873	1205.35
BM-113/1	537590.147	2672639.31	1213.632
BM-113/2	537456.277	2672584.322	1206.596

❖ TBM Pillar List

TBM No.	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	R.L. (m)	Remarks
Package-IIIA				
TBM-S/15	548454.583	2668943.951	751.562	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/14	548460.226	2668948.431	751.453	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/34	547631.334	2669913.164	799.681	On the top of ground water tank
TBM-S/35	548203.631	2669194.457	723.014	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/36	548055.169	2669579.036	736.892	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/37	548061.484	2669582.772	736.792	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/35	547796.498	2669911.063	759.346	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/33	547623.063	2669910.807	800.235	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/34	548235.807	2669309.517	727.383	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/32	547724.758	2669832.779	841.16	On the top of retaining wall
TBM-S/33	547726.79	2669832.113	841.008	On the top of retaining wall
TBM-S/30	547472.663	2669933.979	865.38	On the top of retaining wall
TBM-S/31	547471.265	2669932.762	865.245	On the top of retaining wall
TBM-S/28	547362.304	2670162.272	934.43	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/29	547354.49	2670166.635	933.726	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/26	547270.758	2669867.904	951.727	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/27	547265.451	2669872.97	951.649	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/20	547119.278	2669937.297	957.645	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/21	547129.438	2669952.509	958.07	On the top of guard wall
TBM-S/22	547083.122	2670094.381	965.881	On the 11 kV electric post
TBM-S/25	546933.311	2670051.616	973.358	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/24	546910.093	2670057.585	973.919	On the top of guard wall
TBM-S/23	546898.449	2670058.275	974.48	On the top of parapet



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020

TBM No.	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	R.L. (m)	Remarks
TBM-S/19	546868.177	2670349.096	998.873	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/18	546887.71	2670373.982	1000.583	On the top of retaining wall
TBM-S/16	546664.814	2670637.078	1033.561	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/17	546669.677	2670631.735	1033.659	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/06	546141.358	2670158.782	1079.021	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/05	546134.486	2670157.852	1079.093	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/04	546052.138	2670253.236	1076.784	On the top of memorial structre
TBM-J/03	545779.896	2670313.438	1066.54	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/18	545778.801	2670305.428	1066.929	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/01	545633.266	2670402.232	1062.065	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/18	545374.681	2670271.244	1051.376	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/16	545104.673	2670390.078	1038.35	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/17	545098.495	2670384.789	1038.68	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/14	545074.178	2670447.453	1037.354	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/15	545065.471	2670447.853	1036.363	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/12	545006.787	2670680.765	1034.17	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/13	545000.995	2670676.65	1034.405	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/11	544937.857	2670955.899	1023.209	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/10	544932.201	2670950.135	1023.354	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/8	544851.866	2670958.49	1019.14	On the top of guard wall
TBM-J/9	544849.761	2670952.227	1019.08	On the top of guard wall
TBM-J/7	544824.515	2670401.13	996.678	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/4	544809.044	2670236.993	984.802	On the top of memorial structre
TBM-S/5	544815.791	2670217.023	983.957	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/3	544692.656	2670073.095	973.663	On the top of memorial structre
TBM-S/2	544515.401	2669955.951	953.686	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/1	544382.371	2669971.888	946.054	On the 11 kV electric post
TBM-S/6	542781.089	2669325.935	761.513	On the top of guard wall
TBM-S/7	542850.76	2669321.004	758.156	On the top of guard wall
TBM-S/8	543036.301	2669277.587	751.195	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/9	543028.151	2669279.239	750.954	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/32	542163.6	2668881.665	687.285	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/30	542052.966	2668893.562	689.49	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/31	542044.799	2668895.494	689.46	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/29	541998.792	2669039.709	698.63	On the top of guard wall
TBM-J/28	541992.011	2669043.428	699.06	On the top of guard wall
TBM-J/27	541861.068	2669114.488	704.414	On the top of guard wall
TBM-J/37	541997.558	2669450.509	729.21	On the top of guard wall
TBM-J/36	541999.746	2669445.181	728.885	On the top of guard wall
TBM-J/39	541948.508	2669728.149	751.935	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/38		2660720 272	752.355	On the top of parapet
1 0101-1/30	541940.597	2669729.372	732.333	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/41	541940.597 541837.709	2669729.372	752.333	On the top of guard wall

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020

TBM No.	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	R.L. (m)	Remarks
TBM-J/42	541633.124	2669778.793	774.723	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/43	541639.469	2669783.58	774.485	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/43	541649.864	2669887.814	780.938	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/42	541643.835	2669884.529	780.994	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/41	541529.328	2670082.041	794.55	On the top of guard wall
TBM-S/40	541494.304	2670079.798	796.973	On the top of guard wall
TBM-S/38	541143.938	2670312.168	823.994	On the top of parapet
TBM-S/39	541150.707	2670316.923	823.843	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/44	541072.51	2670454.279	833.562	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/45	541080.668	2670454.002	833.557	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/47	541103.873	2670525.307	836.84	On the top of parapet
TBM-J/46	541097.997	2670531.202	836.874	On the top of parapet

GPS Pillar List

	GPS No.	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Elevation (m)
	GPS-73	548313.5	2669187.212	732.11
	GPS-74	548015.671	2669676.38	739.88
	GPS-75	547854.411	2669654.911	822.075
	GPS-75A	547856.585	2669742.915	827.823
	GPS-76	547679.773	2670044.216	879.835
	GPS-77	547336.152	2670138.064	935.635
	GPS-78	546904.57	2670142.209	979.064
	GPS-79	546727.53	2670694.771	1032.663
	GPS-80	546203.043	2670111.695	1078.052
	GPS-80A	546140.882	2670181.964	1078.341
	GPS-81	545433.478	2670339.141	1054.137
	GPS-82	544893.773	2670999.492	1023.086
	GPS-83	544690.973	2670057.97	972.798
	GPS-84	544281.596	2669951.665	940.174
	GPS-85	543625.317	2669860.043	883.301
	GPS-85A	543604.494	2669923.768	880.314
	GPS-86	543484.12	2669672.09	836.682
	GPS-87	542886.597	2669697.673	798.631
	GPS-88	542718.834	2669358.282	764.855
	GPS-89	542228.176	2669172.721	704
	GPS-90	541993.491	2669127.466	709.869
	GPS-90A	541985.355	2669187.842	711.277
	GPS-91	541731.951	2669692.664	766.461
	GPS-92	541191.244	2670297.702	820.953
	GPS-73	548313.5	2669187.212	732.11
	GPS-74	548015.671	2669676.38	739.88
	GPS-75	547854.411	2669654.911	822.075
	GPS-75A	547856.585	2669742.915	827.823

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

April, 2020

GPS No.	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Elevation (m)
GPS-76	547679.773	2670044.216	879.835
GPS-77	547336.152	2670138.064	935.635
GPS-78	546904.57	2670142.209	979.064
GPS-79	546727.53	2670694.771	1032.663
GPS-80	546203.043	2670111.695	1078.052
GPS-80A	546140.882	2670181.964	1078.341
GPS-81	545433.478	2670339.141	1054.137
GPS-82	544893.773	2670999.492	1023.086
GPS-83	544690.973	2670057.97	972.798
GPS-84	544281.596	2669951.665	940.174
GPS-85	543625.317	2669860.043	883.301
GPS-85A	543604.494	2669923.768	880.314
GPS-86	543484.12	2669672.09	836.682
GPS-87	542886.597	2669697.673	798.631
GPS-88	542718.834	2669358.282	764.855
GPS-89	542228.176	2669172.721	704
GPS-90	541993.491	2669127.466	709.869
GPS-90A	541985.355	2669187.842	711.277
GPS-91	541731.951	2669692.664	766.461
GPS-92	541191.244	2670297.702	820.953

The survey has been done involving the following sequential steps:

- 1. Establishing Bench Marks
- 2. Traversing and Leveling
- 3. Cross-section Surveying/Detailing

Following features were recorded during detailing, in general:

- ✓ Carriageway crown, carriageway edges and two intermediate carriageway points
- √ Roadway edges (shoulder break-points)
- ✓ Embankment toe-line
- ✓ Borrow pit / pond / ditch / toe drain profile, where present

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

- ✓ All break-points of natural ground
- ✓ Positions of individual entities such as trees, utility lines and poles, wells and tube wells, other pillars like ROW etc.
- ✓ Property lines and structures (with description)
- ✓ Salient points on bridges and culverts (e.g. abutment, headwall, inverts level, etc.)

The data for each survey point were recorded in terms of Northing, Easting, and Elevation. To ensure standardization of works of different survey teams and to facilitate further CAD works, a rational coding system was developed and used.

The survey data collected in the field was downloaded in text file format and converted to graphic files using suitable software.

4.2.6 TRAFFIC SURVEY

Following traffic surveys were conducted at site to estimate the present and future traffic of the project road.



Revision: R0

- Classified traffic volume count survey
- Axle Loading Characteristics Survey
- Intersection Volume Count Survey
- Origin Destination Survey
- Pedestrian count Survey
- Speed & Delay Survey

The details of the Traffic Survey Locations and Analysis are provided in Chapter - 7 of the Main Report.

4.2.7 BENKELMAN BEAM DEFLECTION SURVEY

The test has been carried out for 11 km on the basis of pavement condition survey. Appendix-4.6 shows the rebound deflections measured using Benkelman Beam method (as per IRC- 81:1997) and calculation of characteristic deflections which will be required for overlay design. **Table 4.5** represents the characteristic deflection along the existing road.

Table 4.5: Characteristic Deflection with Recommended Overlay Thickness

Existing Chainage (Km)		Length (m)	Average Characteristics deflection	Design MSA	Required BM (mm)	Equivalent BC+DBM	Recommended Overlay Thickness		
From	То		(mm)			(mm)	ВС	DBM	
0.000	1.000	1000	0.734	20	70	49	40	50	
1.000	2.000	1000	0.627	20	70	49	40	50	
21.000	22.000	1000	0.685	20	70	49	40	50	
33.000	34.000	1000	0.638	20	70	49	40	50	
80.000	81.000	1000	0.622	20	70	49	40	50	
81.000	82.000	1000	0.658	20	70	49	40	50	
82.000	83.000	1000	0.872	20	70	49	40	50	
108.000	109.000	1000	0.642	20	70	49	40	50	
109.000	110.000	1000	0.648	20	70	49	40	50	
116.000	117.000	1000	0.592	20	70	49	40	50	
117.000	118.000	1000	0.660	20	70	49	40	50	
118.000	119.000	1000	0.685	20	70	49	40	50	
119.000	120.000	1000	0.517	20	70	49	40	50	

4.2.8 SUB-GRADE INVESTIGATION

Trial pits of size 1m x 1m were dug at the pavement shoulder interface at 0.5 km interval, extending through the pavement layers down to the sub-grade level to assess the following:

- a) Visual classification of soil
- b) Field density
- c) Field moisture content
- d) Atterberg Limits
- e) Existing pavement composition
- f) Available CBR

After the completion of field tests and collection of samples, the pits were backfilled with the excavated materials and compacted suitably so as not to discomfort the smooth movement of traffic of the existing road.



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

Final Detailed Project Report Engineering Surveys, **Investigation and Analysis** Package-IIIA

Analysis of Results

The results of field and laboratory investigations have been compiled in the form of tables and figures and summarized in Annexure - II of Volume III - Material Report. The factual results and the corresponding interpretations will be instrumental to assess the actual scenario of the existing road construction and will form a basis for the design of pavement structure. Summary of laboratory test result is given in Table 4.6



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

April, 2020

Page: 96

Revision: R0

Final Detailed Project Report Engineering Surveys, Investigation and Analysis Package-IIIA

TABLE 4.6: SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULT

SL / Sample (% PASSING BY WEIGHT) LIMIT I.S. Differential (Heavy) (Heavy)	2 L	aning with pa	ved should	der of (handra _l nipur	pur-Tui	vai Roa	d Sec	on NF	I-102B	in					LABORATO	ORY TEST R	ESULTS				
No CHAINAGE NO CHAINA	SL	LOCATION /	Sample		_					АТ		_	_		Compa	Compaction		SOAKE	ED CBR AT	3 ENERGY	LEVELS		SOAKED CBR AT
Column	NO	_	No.	20	10	1 75 2 00 425		75	- 11	DI	DI			MDD	OMC	Tes	t 1	Tes	st 2	Tes	st 3	97 % OF	
Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test Could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test Could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in Subgrade Test Could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Ro		(KM)		_	_				_	(%)	(%)	(%)		macx 70		(%)							MDD
2 73.500 TP-148 82 67 56 46 36 26 Non-Plastic SM 0.00 2.139 10.07 1.817 27.485 2.021 3.73 2.152 49.86 39.77	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9											20	21	22	23
Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Ro	1	73.000	TP-147							Te	st coul	d not l	oe possible d	ue to Rock Bed	/ Very high	percent	age of Roc	k pieces in	subgrade				
Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Section TP-150 Section TP-151 TP-151 TP-152 Section TP-152 Section TP-152 Section TP-153 Section TP-153 Section TP-154 Section TP-154 Section TP-154 Section TP-154 Section TP-155 Section TP-154 Section TP-154 Section TP-154 TP-155 Section TP-155 Sec	2	73.500	TP-148	82	67	56	46	36	26	No	on Plas	tic	SM	0.00	2.139	10.07	1.817	27.485	2.021	32.73	2.152	49.86	39.77
Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed / Very	3	74.000	TP-149							Te	st coul	d not l	oe possible d	ue to Rock Bed	/ Very high	percent	age of Roc	k pieces in	subgrade				
Fig. 10	4	74.500	TP-150							d not l	oe possible d	ue to Rock Bed	/ Very high	percent	age of Roc	k pieces in	subgrade						
7 7.60.00 TP-153	5	75.000	TP-151	79	67	55	44	33	22	No	on Plas	tic	SM	0.00	2.145	9.24	1.832	15.939	2.024	25.92	2.135	51.37	38.91
8 76.500	6	75.500	TP-152	85	73	64	51	34	24	No	n Plas	tic	SM	0.00	2.160	10.14	1.849	10.067	1.993	17.74	2.130	49.67	41.61
9 77.000 TP-155 87 71 58 49 42 37 32 22 10 SC 2.50 1.995 12.60 1.665 8.955 1.869 13.41 1.948 26.21 24.14 10 77.500 TP-156 84 75 64 54 46 42 33 22 11 SC 2.33 1.981 14.70 1.653 9.270 1.871 13.88 1.995 27.14 19.27 11 78.000 TP-157 91 84 76 68 60 47 35 20 15 SC 5.000 1.896 12.83 1.601 6.139 1.799 9.98 1.887 19.78 14.44 12 78.500 TP-158 95 89 79 70 56 43 34 22 12 SC 4.00 2.023 12.96 1.768 3.452 1.872 9.26 2.027 24.55 18.18 13 79.000 TP-159 100 100 73 63 55 44 43 7 20 16 SC 9.09 1.975 14.25 1.721 8.364 1.805 9.45 1.987 17.25 14.19 14 79.500 TP-160 100 89 82 75 70 63 36 22 14 Cl 2.27 1.920 14.50 1.664 4.219 1.758 5.80 1.952 11.15 8.68 180.000 TP-161 91 76 59 47 35 29 Non Plastic SM 2.00	7	76.000	TP-153		Test could n							d not l	oe possible d	ue to Rock Bed	/ Very high	percent	age of Roc	k pieces in	subgrade				
TP-156	8	76.500	TP-154		Test could no					d not l	oe possible d	possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade											
11 78.000 TP-157 91 84 76 68 60 47 35 20 15 SC 5.00 1.896 12.83 1.601 6.139 1.799 9.98 1.887 19.78 14.44 12 78.500 TP-158 95 89 79 70 56 43 34 22 12 SC 4.00 2.023 12.96 1.768 3.452 1.872 9.26 2.027 24.55 18.18 13 79.000 TP-159 100 100 73 63 54 44 37 20 16 SC 9.09 1.975 14.25 1.721 8.364 1.805 9.45 1.987 17.25 14.19 14 79.500 TP-160 100 89 82 75 70 63 36 22 14 Cl 2.27 1.920 14.50 1.664 4.219 1.758 5.80 1.952 11.15 8.68 18 80.000 TP-161 91 76 59 47 35 29 Non-Plastic SM 2.00 Modified proctor and CBR Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade 18 81.500 TP-164 100 91 88 85 66 46 31 19 12 SC 5.00 2.105 13.75 1.840 9.241 1.948 11.39 2.109 20.60 16.77 19 82.000 TP-165 89 82 76 74 58 28 Non-Plastic SM 2.00 2.238 11.04 1.932 34.345 2.127 41.28 2.03 1.914 2.05 44.23 40.51 20 82.500 TP-166 96 92 84 77 45 20 Non-Plastic SM 2.00 2.238 11.04 1.932 34.345 2.127 41.28 2.03 10.91 4.28 2.03 10.91 4.29 1.883 10.91 8.08 2.28 83.500 TP-167 90 81 80 78 69 56 38 22 16 Cl 3.00 1.879 13.43 1.642 1.534 1.739 4.12 1.883 10.91 8.08 2.28 83.500 TP-169 90 84 81 76 55 77 Non-Plastic SM 0.00 2.267 11.38 1.735 1.375 1.911 2.34 2.035 4.62 1.885 10.98 7.99 2.28 83.500 TP-170 70 59 50 44 28 21 Non-Plastic SM 0.00 2.267 11.38 1.353 1.375 1.375 1.380 2.365 2.212 36.85 10.98 7.99 2.28 83.500 TP-170 70 59 50 44 28 21 Non-Plastic SM 0.00 2.267 11.38 1.353 1.375 1.911 2.34 2.33 1.911 5.479 4.82 2.26 6.50.50 1.95 1.95 1.885 1.911 2.34 2.33 1.911 5.479 4.82 2.50 6.50.50 1.851 1.410 1.558 3.316 1.695 4.62 1.885 10.98 7.99 2.28 85.000 TP-170 70 59 50 44 28 21 Non-Plastic SM 0.00 2.267 11.38 1.353 1.375 1.911 2.34 2.033 44.26 32.91 54.79 40.83 2.50 1.717	9	77.000	TP-155	87	71	58	49	42	37	32	22	10	SC	2.50	1.995	12.60	1.665	8.955	1.869	13.41	1.948	26.21	24.14
12 78.500 TP-158 95 89 79 70 56 43 34 22 12 SC 4.00 2.023 12.96 1.768 3.452 1.872 9.26 2.027 24.55 18.18 13 79.000 TP-159 100 100 73 63 54 44 37 20 16 SC 9.09 1.975 14.25 1.721 8.364 1.805 9.45 1.987 17.25 14.19 14 79.500 TP-160 100 89 82 75 70 63 36 22 14 Cl 2.27 1.920 14.50 1.664 4.219 1.758 5.80 1.952 11.15 8.68 15 80.000 TP-161 91 76 59 47 35 29 Non-Plastic SM 2.00 Modified prottor and CBR Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade 16 80.500 TP-162 100 100 92 83 72 54 37 28 9 MI 4.50 1.925 13.95 1.625 7.472 1.827 9.26 1.916 15.72 12.20 17 81.000 TP-163 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade 18 81.500 TP-164 100 91 88 85 66 46 31 19 12 SC 5.00 2.105 13.75 1.840 9.241 1.948 11.39 2.109 20.60 16.77 19 82.000 TP-165 89 82 76 74 58 28 Non-Plastic SM 2.50 2.175 11.28 1.861 24.521 2.007 29.47 2.145 44.23 40.51 20 82.500 TP-166 96 92 84 77 45 20 Non-Plastic SM 2.00 2.238 11.04 1.932 34.345 2.127 41.28 2.263 61.95 47.94 21 83.000 TP-168 90 81 80 78 69 56 38 22 16 Cl 3.00 1.879 13.43 1.642 1.534 1.739 4.12 1.883 10.91 8.08 22 83.500 TP-169 90 84 81 76 55 27 Non-Plastic SM 0.00 2.067 11.38 1.735 13.735 1.911 22.34 2.033 44.26 39.20 24 84.500 TP-169 90 84 81 76 55 27 Non-Plastic SM 0.00 2.067 11.38 1.735 13.735 1.911 22.34 2.033 44.26 39.20 24 84.500 TP-170 70 59 50 44 28 21 Non-Plastic SM 0.00 2.067 11.38 1.735 13.735 1.911 22.34 2.033 4.26 39.20 25 85.000 TP-171 89 79 73 68 47 19 Non-Plastic SM 0.00 2.067 11.38 1.735 13.735 1.911 22.34 2.033 4.26 39.20 26 85.500 TP-173 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade	10	77.500	TP-156	84	75	64	54	46	42	33	22	11	SC	2.33	1.981	14.70	1.653	9.270	1.871	13.88	1.995	27.14	19.27
13 79.000 TP-159 100 100 73 63 54 44 37 20 16 SC 9.09 1.975 14.25 1.721 8.364 1.805 9.45 1.987 17.25 14.19 14 79.500 TP-160 100 89 82 75 70 63 36 22 14 CI 2.27 1.920 14.50 1.664 4.219 1.758 5.80 1.952 11.15 8.68 15 80.000 TP-161 91 76 59 47 35 29 Non Plastic SM 2.00 Modified proctor and CBR Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade 16 80.500 TP-162 100 100 92 83 72 54 37 28 9 MI 4.50 1.925 13.95 1.625 7.472 1.827 9.26 1.916 15.72 12.20 17 81.000 TP-163 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade 18 81.500 TP-164 100 91 88 85 66 46 31 19 12 SC 5.00 2.105 13.75 1.840 9.241 1.948 11.39 2.109 20.60 16.77 19 82.000 TP-165 89 82 76 74 58 28 Non Plastic SM 2.50 2.175 11.28 1.861 24.521 2.007 29.47 2.145 44.23 40.51 20 82.500 TP-166 96 92 84 77 45 20 Non Plastic SM 2.00 2.238 11.04 1.932 34.345 2.127 41.28 2.263 61.95 47.94 21 83.000 TP-167 90 81 80 78 69 56 38 22 16 CI 3.00 1.879 13.43 1.642 1.534 1.739 4.12 1.883 10.91 8.08 22 83.500 TP-168 91 84 80 78 71 59 39 22 17 CI 4.50 1.851 14.10 1.558 3.316 1.695 4.62 1.885 10.98 7.99 23 84.000 TP-169 90 84 81 76 55 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.180 9.95 1.893 24.537 2.065 31.83 2.191 54.79 40.83 25 85.000 TP-171 89 79 73 68 47 19 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.180 9.95 1.893 24.537 2.065 31.83 2.191 54.79 40.83 26 85.500 TP-172 91 85 77 70 45 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.217 10.50 1.888 23.825 2.112 36.08 2.256 70.88 45.29 27 86.000 TP-173 TP-174 TP-1	11	78.000	TP-157	91	84	76	68	60	47	35	20	15	SC	5.00	1.896	12.83	1.601	6.139	1.799	9.98	1.887	19.78	14.44
14 79.500 TP-160 100 89 82 75 70 63 36 22 14 CI 2.27 1.920 14.50 1.664 4.219 1.758 5.80 1.952 11.15 8.68 80.000 TP-161 91 76 59 47 35 29 Non Plastic SM 2.00 Modified proctor and CBR Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade 18 81.500 TP-163 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade 18 81.500 TP-164 100 91 88 85 66 46 31 19 12 SC 5.00 2.105 13.75 1.840 9.241 1.948 11.39 2.109 20.60 16.77 19 82.000 TP-165 89 82 76 74 58 28 Non Plastic SM 2.50 2.175 11.28 1.861 24.521 2.007 29.47 2.145 44.23 40.51 20 82.500 TP-166 96 92 84 77 45 20 Non Plastic SM 2.00 2.238 11.04 1.932 34.345 2.127 41.28 2.263 61.95 47.94 21 83.000 TP-168 91 84 80 78 69 56 38 22 16 CI 3.00 1.879 13.43 1.642 1.534 1.739 4.12 1.883 10.91 8.80 22 83.500 TP-169 90 84 81 76 55 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.067 11.38 1.735 13.735 1.911 22.34 2.033 44.26 39.20 24 84.500 TP-169 90 84 81 76 55 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.180 9.95 1.893 24.537 2.065 31.83 2.191 54.79 40.83 25 85.000 TP-170 70 59 50 44 28 21 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.067 11.38 1.735 13.735 1.911 22.34 2.033 44.26 39.20 26 85.500 TP-172 91 85 77 70 45 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.095 11.31 1.790 27.874 1.976 33.19 2.086 50.56 42.06 27 86.000 TP-173 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade	12	78.500	TP-158	95	89	79	70	56	43	34	22	12	SC	4.00	2.023	12.96	1.768	3.452	1.872	9.26	2.027	24.55	18.18
80.000 TP-161 91 76 59 47 35 29 Non Plastic SM 2.00 Modified proctor and CBR Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade 16 80.500 TP-162 100 100 92 83 72 54 37 28 9 MI 4.50 1.925 13.95 1.625 7.472 1.827 9.26 1.916 15.72 12.20 17 81.000 TP-163	13	79.000	TP-159	100	100	73	63	54	44	37	20	16	SC	9.09	1.975	14.25	1.721	8.364	1.805	9.45	1.987	17.25	14.19
15 80.000 F-161 91 76 59 47 35 29 Non Plastic SM 2.00 percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade SM SM SM SM SM SM SM S	14	79.500	TP-160	100	89	82	75	70	63	36	22	14	CI	2.27	1.920	14.50	1.664	4.219	1.758	5.80	1.952	11.15	8.68
Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade	15	80.000	TP-161	91	76	59	47	35	29	No	on Plas	tic	SM	2.00	Мо	dified pro				•		Bed/ Very	high
18 81.500 TP-164 100 91 88 85 66 46 31 19 12 SC 5.00 2.105 13.75 1.840 9.241 1.948 11.39 2.109 20.60 16.77 19 82.000 TP-165 89 82 76 74 58 28 Non Plastic SM 2.50 2.175 11.28 1.861 24.521 2.007 29.47 2.145 44.23 40.51 20 82.500 TP-166 96 92 84 77 45 20 Non Plastic SM 2.00 2.238 11.04 1.932 34.345 2.127 41.28 2.263 61.95 47.94 21 83.000 TP-167 90 81 80 78 69 56 38 22 16 CI 3.00 1.879 13.43 1.642 1.534 1.739 4.12 1.883 10.91 8.08 22 83.500 TP-168 91 84 80 78 71 59 39 22 17 CI 4.50 1.851 14.10 1.558 3.316 1.695 4.62 1.885 10.98 7.99 23 84.000 TP-169 90 84 81 76 55 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.067 11.38 1.735 13.735 1.911 22.34 2.033 44.26 39.20 24 84.500 TP-170 70 59 50 44 28 21 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.180 9.95 1.893 24.537 2.065 31.83 2.191 54.79 40.83 25 85.000 TP-171 89 79 73 68 47 19 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.095 11.31 1.790 27.874 1.976 33.19 2.086 50.56 42.06 27 86.000 TP-173 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade	16	80.500	TP-162	100	100	92	83	72	54	37	28	9	MI	4.50	1.925	13.95	1.625	7.472	1.827	9.26	1.916	15.72	12.20
19 82.000 TP-165 89 82 76 74 58 28 Non Plastic SM 2.50 2.175 11.28 1.861 24.521 2.007 29.47 2.145 44.23 40.51 20 82.500 TP-166 96 92 84 77 45 20 Non Plastic SM 2.00 2.238 11.04 1.932 34.345 2.127 41.28 2.263 61.95 47.94 21 83.000 TP-167 90 81 80 78 69 56 38 22 16 Cl 3.00 1.879 13.43 1.642 1.534 1.739 4.12 1.883 10.91 8.08 22 83.500 TP-168 91 84 80 78 71 59 39 22 17 Cl 4.50 1.851 14.10 1.558 3.316 1.695 4.62 1.885 10.98 7.99 23 84.000 TP-169 90 84 81 76 55 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.067 11.38 1.735 13.735 1.911 22.34 2.033 44.26 39.20 24 84.500 TP-170 70 59 50 44 28 21 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.180 9.95 1.893 24.537 2.065 31.83 2.191 54.79 40.83 25 85.000 TP-171 89 79 73 68 47 19 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.217 10.50 1.888 23.825 2.112 36.08 2.256 70.88 45.29 26 85.500 TP-172 91 85 77 70 45 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.095 11.31 1.790 27.874 1.976 33.19 2.086 50.56 42.06 27 86.000 TP-173 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade	17	81.000	TP-163							Tes	st coul	d not l	oe possible d	ue to Rock Bed	/ Very high	percent	age of Roc	k pieces in	subgrade				•
20 82.500 TP-166 96 92 84 77 45 20 Non Plastic SM 2.00 2.238 11.04 1.932 34.345 2.127 41.28 2.263 61.95 47.94 21 83.000 TP-167 90 81 80 78 69 56 38 22 16 Cl 3.00 1.879 13.43 1.642 1.534 1.739 4.12 1.883 10.91 8.08 22 83.500 TP-168 91 84 80 78 71 59 39 22 17 Cl 4.50 1.851 14.10 1.558 3.316 1.695 4.62 1.885 10.98 7.99 23 84.000 TP-169 90 84 81 76 55 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.067 11.38 1.735 13.735 1.911 22.34 2.033 44.26 39.20 24 84.500 TP-170 70 59 50 44 28 21 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.180 9.95 1.893 24.537 2.065 31.83 2.191 54.79 40.83 25 85.000 TP-171 89 79 73 68 47 19 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.217 10.50 1.888 23.825 2.112 36.08 2.256 70.88 45.29 26 85.500 TP-172 91 85 77 70 45 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.095 11.31 1.790 27.874 1.976 33.19 2.086 50.56 42.06 27 86.000 TP-173 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade	18	81.500	TP-164	100	91	88	85	66	46	31	19	12	SC	5.00	2.105	13.75	1.840	9.241	1.948	11.39	2.109	20.60	16.77
21 83.000 TP-167 90 81 80 78 69 56 38 22 16 CI 3.00 1.879 13.43 1.642 1.534 1.739 4.12 1.883 10.91 8.08 22 83.500 TP-168 91 84 80 78 71 59 39 22 17 CI 4.50 1.851 14.10 1.558 3.316 1.695 4.62 1.885 10.98 7.99 23 84.000 TP-169 90 84 81 76 55 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.067 11.38 1.735 13.735 1.911 22.34 2.033 44.26 39.20 24 84.500 TP-170 70 59 50 44 28 21 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.180 9.95 1.893 24.537 2.065 31.83 2.191 54.79 40.83 25 85.000 TP-171 89 79 73 68 47 19 Non Plastic	19	82.000	TP-165	89	82	76	74	58	28	No	n Plas	tic	SM	2.50	2.175	11.28	1.861	24.521	2.007	29.47	2.145	44.23	40.51
22 83.500 TP-168 91 84 80 78 71 59 39 22 17 CI 4.50 1.851 14.10 1.558 3.316 1.695 4.62 1.885 10.98 7.99 23 84.000 TP-169 90 84 81 76 55 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.067 11.38 1.735 13.735 1.911 22.34 2.033 44.26 39.20 24 84.500 TP-170 70 59 50 44 28 21 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.180 9.95 1.893 24.537 2.065 31.83 2.191 54.79 40.83 25 85.000 TP-171 89 79 73 68 47 19 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.217 10.50 1.888 23.825 2.112 36.08 2.256 70.88 45.29 26 85.500 TP-172 91 85 77 70 45 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.095 11.31 1.790 27.874 1.976 33.19 2.086 50.56 42.06 27 86.000 TP-173 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade	20	82.500	TP-166	96	92	84	77	45	20	No	on Plas	tic	SM	2.00	2.238	11.04	1.932	34.345	2.127	41.28	2.263	61.95	47.94
23 84.000 TP-169 90 84 81 76 55 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.067 11.38 1.735 13.735 1.911 22.34 2.033 44.26 39.20 24 84.500 TP-170 70 59 50 44 28 21 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.180 9.95 1.893 24.537 2.065 31.83 2.191 54.79 40.83 25 85.000 TP-171 89 79 73 68 47 19 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.217 10.50 1.888 23.825 2.112 36.08 2.256 70.88 45.29 26 85.500 TP-172 91 85 77 70 45 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.095 11.31 1.790 27.874 1.976 33.19 2.086 50.56 42.06 27 86.000 TP-173 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade 28 86.500	21	83.000	TP-167	90	81	80	78	69	56	38	22	16	CI	3.00	1.879	13.43	1.642	1.534	1.739	4.12	1.883	10.91	8.08
24 84.500 TP-170 70 59 50 44 28 21 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.180 9.95 1.893 24.537 2.065 31.83 2.191 54.79 40.83 25 85.000 TP-171 89 79 73 68 47 19 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.217 10.50 1.888 23.825 2.112 36.08 2.256 70.88 45.29 26 85.500 TP-172 91 85 77 70 45 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.095 11.31 1.790 27.874 1.976 33.19 2.086 50.56 42.06 27 86.000 TP-173 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade 28 86.500 TP-174 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade	22	83.500	TP-168	91	84	80	78	71	59	39	22	17	CI	4.50	1.851	14.10	1.558	3.316	1.695	4.62	1.885	10.98	7.99
25 85.000 TP-171 89 79 73 68 47 19 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.217 10.50 1.888 23.825 2.112 36.08 2.256 70.88 45.29 26 85.500 TP-172 91 85 77 70 45 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.095 11.31 1.790 27.874 1.976 33.19 2.086 50.56 42.06 27 86.000 TP-173 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade 28 86.500 TP-174 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade	23	84.000	TP-169	90	84	81	76	55	27	No	n Plas	tic	SM	0.00	2.067	11.38	1.735	13.735	1.911	22.34	2.033	44.26	39.20
25 85.000 TP-171 89 79 73 68 47 19 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.217 10.50 1.888 23.825 2.112 36.08 2.256 70.88 45.29 26 85.500 TP-172 91 85 77 70 45 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.095 11.31 1.790 27.874 1.976 33.19 2.086 50.56 42.06 27 86.000 TP-173 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade 28 86.500 TP-174 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade	24	84.500	TP-170	70	59	50	44	28	21	No	n Plas	tic	SM	0.00	2.180	9.95	1.893	24.537	2.065	31.83	2.191	54.79	40.83
26 85.500 TP-172 91 85 77 70 45 27 Non Plastic SM 0.00 2.095 11.31 1.790 27.874 1.976 33.19 2.086 50.56 42.06 27 86.000 TP-173 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade 28 86.500 TP-174 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade	25	85.000	TP-171	89	79	73	68	47	19	No	on Plas	tic	SM	0.00	2.217	10.50	1.888	23.825	2.112	36.08	2.256	70.88	45.29
28 86.500 TP-174 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade	26	85.500	TP-172	91	85	77	70	45	27	No	on Plas	tic	SM	0.00	2.095	11.31	1.790	27.874	1.976	33.19	2.086	50.56	42.06
28 86.500 TP-174 Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade	27	86.000	TP-173		•	•	•	•	•	Te	st coul	d not l	oe possible d	ue to Rock Bed	/ Very high	percent	age of Roc	k pieces in	subgrade	•	•	•	•
	28	86.500	TP-174		Test could no										Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade								
	29		TP-175	78	60	45	33	24	19							•	•	•		possible d	ue to Rock	Bed/ Very	high

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 2020 Page: 97





Final Detailed Project Report Engineering Surveys, Investigation and Analysis Package-IIIA

2 L	2 Laning with paved shoulder of Churachandrapur-Tuivai Road Sec on NH-102B in Manipur									in	LABORATORY TEST RESULTS											
SL	LOCATION /	Sample	SIEVE ANALYSIS ATTE (% PASSING BY WEIGHT)					ERBE IMIT	RG	I.S. CLASSI-	Differential Free swell	Labora Compa (Hea	ction		SOAKE	ED CBR AT	3 ENERGY	LEVELS		SOAKED CBR AT		
NO	CHAINAGE	No.	20	10	4.75	2.00	425	75	LL	PL	PI	FICATION	Index %	MDD	омс	Tes			st 2	Test 3		97 % OF
	(KM)		mm	mm	mm	mm	m	m		(%)	(%)		index /c	(gm/cc)	(gm/cc) (%)	DD (gm/cc)	Soaked CBR %	DD (gm/cc)	Soaked CBR %	DD (gm/cc)	Soaked CBR %	MDD
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
													pe	ercentage	of Rock pie	ces in subg	rade	1				
30	87.500	TP-176	86	80	67	45	31	24	Non	Non Plastic		SM	0.00	2.155	10.33	1.813	12.965	1.973	20.87	2.194	59.28	41.23
31	88.000	TP-177							Test	coul	d not l	oe possible d	ue to Rock Bed	/ Very high	n percent	age of Roc	k pieces in	subgrade				
32	88.500	TP-178	89	72	55	40	28	19	Non	Plas	tic	SM	0.00	Modified proctor and CBR Test could not be possible due to Rock Bed/ Very high percentage of Rock pieces in subgrade					high			
33	89.000	TP-179	95	89	75	55	30	18	Non	Plas	tic	SM	0.00	2.269	10.88	1.885	17.001	2.073	23.69	2.258	59.17	48.22
34	89.500	TP-180	91	82	68	49	27	16		Plas		SM	0.00	2.232	10.21	1.878	13.849	2.044	22.29	2.273	63.32	44.05
35	90.000	TP-181										pe possible d	ue to Rock Bed					_				
36	90.500	TP-182	72	54	45	38	31	26		Plas		GM	0.00		_	octor and C	BR Test co				Bed/ Very	high
37	91.000	TP-183	76	59	49	35	26	20	Non	Plas	tic	GM	0.00	2.225	8.63	1.879	35.002	2.101	38.31	2.243	47.64	42.09
38	91.500	TP-184	87	72	62	49	38	33	28	20	8	SC	0.00	1.994	10.83	1.656	12.657	1.822	17.76	1.984	32.71	28.09
39	92.000	TP-185		Test could						d not l	oe possible d	ue to Rock Bed	/ Very high	n percent	age of Roc	k pieces in	subgrade					
40	92.500	TP-186		Test could i					d not l	oe possible d	ue to Rock Bed	/ Very high	percent	age of Roc	k pieces in	subgrade						
41	93.000	TP-187	89	76	66	59	42	33	32	22	10	SC	4.50	2.025	11.40	1.724	18.929	1.929	22.54	2.061	34.34	25.66
42	93.500	TP-188	73	64	56	46	38	25	Non	Plas	tic	SM	2.00	2.155	9.80	1.875	16.717	2.067	20.72	2.173	35.17	23.88

CETEST Engineering Consultants Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: RO April, 2020 Page: 98

Composition of Existing Pavement

Existing crust thickness varies from 100mm to 540mm. The layer composition of the existing pavement noted from each excavated pit is given Annexure - I of Volume – III: Material Report.

4.2.9 QUARRY MATERIAL

The material investigation for road construction material required to be carried out to identify the potential sources of construction materials and to assess their general availability, engineering properties and quantities. This is one of the most important factors for stable, economic and successful implementation of the road program within the stipulated time. The material investigation is quite representative, but more exhaustive search may surely be explored by the contractors at the time of construction. For improvement work as well as for new construction the list of materials includes the following:

- Granular materials for sub-base works
- Crushed stone aggregates for base, bituminous surfacing and cement concrete works
- Sand for bituminous and cement concrete works, sub-base, filter materials and filling materials etc.
- Borrow earth/moorum materials for embankment, sub-grade and filling.

OBJECTIVE

The following are the basic objective to make material investigation:

- Source locations indicating places, kilometerage, availability and the status whether in operation or new source.
- Access to source, indicating the direction and nature of the access road i.e. left/ right of project road, approximate lead distance from the gravity center and type of access road.
- Ownership of land/ quarries, either government or private.
- Test results, indicating the quality of materials with respect to their suitability in construction.
- Probable use indicating the likely use of materials at various stages of construction work
 i.e. fill material, sub-grade, sub-base, base, bituminous surfacing and cross drainage
 structures.

The potential sources of construction materials were selected from consideration of the availability and suitability of the materials, easy access to the source and minimum hauling distance from the source in order to make the construction economical and feasible as far as possible. Details are given below:

Leads for Various Materials (Package – IIIA)

SI. No.	Name of Material	Name of Source	Distance from Source to Project Road (Km)	Half of length of Project Road (Km)	Total Lead (Km)
1	Sand (Fine)	Tuivai River (Near Khuanggin Village)	2.5	10	12.05
2	Filling Material	Local	-	-	10.00
3	Stone Metal	Tuivai River (Near Khuanggin Village)	2.5	10	12.05
4	Stone Boulder	Tuivai River (Near Khuanggin Village)	2.5	10	12.05
5	Stone Chips,	Tuivai River	2.5	10	12.05

Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: RO Apr

April, 2020 Page

Leads for Various Materials (Package – IIIA)

SI.	Name of	Name of Source	Distance from	Half of length of	Total Lead
	Aggregate	(Near Khuanggin Village)			
6	Coarse Sand	Tuivai River (Near Khuanggin Village)	uivai River		12.05
7	Cement	Imphal	140	10	149.55
8	Steel	Imphal	140	10	149.55
9	Bitumen	Imphal	140	10	149.55
10	Bitumen Emulsion	Imphal	140	10	149.55
11	Structural Steel	Imphal	140	10	149.55
12	RCC Pipe	Imphal	140	10	149.55

4.2.10 BORROW AREA REQUIREMENT:

Package - IIIA:

Quantity of Earthwork in Cutting = 860739.16 cum (Ref. Quantity Calculation for Road Works of Volume-VII: Cost Estimation)

Total ordinary Rock Cutting volume = 172147.83 cum

So, total quantity of Earthwork in soil = (860739.16 – 172147.83) cum = 688591.33 cum

Amount of Earthwork can be used for filling of embankment from Roadway cutting material (considering 60% quantity of Earthwork in soil) = 413154.80 cum

Now, requirement of total Earthwork quantity in Filling is 140529.11 cum., which can be compensated from the suitable roadway cut material.

Therefore, no Borrow area soil is required for embankment construction in Package – IIIA of the project road.



April, 2020 Pa

Final Detailed Project Report Engineering Surveys, Investigation and Analysis Package-IIIA

4.2.11 MUCK DISPOSAL

The muck from hill cutting and construction activities will be safely disposed at suitable locations. Principle adopted for selecting muck dumping areas was to avoid sensitive areas like dense vegetation, natural water courses and areas prone to landslides. During the selection of the dumping sites preference was given on the following aspects.

- The muck does not fall/ flow into stream/river.
- Dumping sites should be at least 30 m (horizontal) away from the High Flood Level of the River/ stream.
- The sites are free from active landslides or creeps.
- The sites should not fall within pristine forest nor are these habitats of threatened species of flora and fauna.
- The sites are located close to its source in order to avoid long distance haulage.

Details of Muck Disposal area has given below:

Packag	Quantity of Muck/Debris generated in Cum	30% swell	Total Quantity of Muck/Debris including swell factor in Cum	Estimated Quantity of Muck/Debris proposed to be utilized for Filling in cum	Estimated quantity of muck/debris proposed to be dumped in cum.	Estimated quantity of muck/debris dumped in Valley Side within our Proposed ROW in Cum	Estimated quantity of muck/debris dumped in other location in Cum	Avg. Dumping Height in M.	Avg. Dumping Area (Sq m.)	Avg. Dumping Area (Ha.)
IIIA	1229627.38	368888.21	1598515.59	200755.88	1397759.71	43737.00	1354022.71	20	67701.14	6.77








Revision: RO April, 2020

Lead Chart for Material





4.2.12 PHOTOGRAPHS

Photographs of field activities are given below:





Road Inventory at Ch. Km 68+500


Pavement Condition Survey at Ch. Km 40+500





Culvert Inventory at Ch. Km 26+400

Bridge Inventory at Ch. Km 48+304






Topographic Survey at km 5+300

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Trial Pit at km 14+100





TMC Survey

CTVC Survey





Axle Load survey

OD Survey





Pedestrian Survey

BBD Survey

Revision: R0

Page: 105

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

CHAPTER-5 SOCIAL ANALYSIS

5.1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

5.1.1 The Project

Manipur is one of the Border States in the north-eastern part of the country having an international boundary of about 353 kms. long stretch of land with Myanmar in the southeast. It is bounded by Nagaland in the north, Assam in the west and Mizoram in the south. It has a total area of 22327 sq. kms. It lies between 23.80 N to 25.70 N latitude and 93.50 E to 94.80 E longitude.

Geographically, the State of Manipur could be divided into two regions, viz. the hill and the valley. The valley lies in the central part of the State and the hills surround the valley. The average elevation of the valley is about 790 m above the sea level and that of the hills is between 1500 m and 1800m. Manipur earlier had 9 districts - Imphal West, Imphal East, Bishnupur, Thoubal, Ukhrul, Senapati, Tamenglong, Churachandpur and Chandel and the newly formed districts are Kangpokpi, Tengnoupal, Pherzawl, Noney, Kamjong, Jiribam and Kakching. The hill districts occupy about 90 percent (20089 sq km) of the total area of the State and the valley occupies only about tenth (2238 sq km) of the total area of the State. Imphal is the capital city of Manipur.

In the need of development of the newly created state the Government of India under the vehicle of National Highway Authority of India had initiated in constructing/upgrading the road conditions in the state. The district of Churachandpur in the state of Manipur, does not have any railway connectivity so there was an urgent requirement of the development of the roadways.

Realizing the above fact National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (NHIDCL) has taken up one prestigious road network improvement projects namely developing a road from Churachandpur to Tuivai (Mizoram Border). The upgraded road will connect Manipur (Churachandpur Town) and Mizoram at Tuivai. The Design road length is 145.984 km. The road starts Chrachandpur main town and end at Tuivai. The project road has distributed in nine packages. This Report elaborate and describe the package-IIIA. Project road distribution as follows in **Table 5.1**

Table 5.1: Project Road Distribution

	Existing Cha	ainage (Km)	Design Cha	inage (Km)	Length (Km)	Package No.	
_	From	То	From	То		. acitage reci	
	0+000	14+210	0+000	13+747	13.747	Pkg-IA	
	14+210	34+800	13+747	32+835	19.088	Pkg-IB	
	34+800	51+147	32+835	48+587	15.752	Pkg-IIA	
	51+147	73+000	48+587	69+875	21.288	Pkg-IIB	
	73+000	93+280	69+875	88+980	19.105	Pkg-IIIA	
	93+280	108+610	88+980	103+525	14.545	Pkg-IIIB	
	108+610	134+270	103+525	121+769	18.244	Pkg-IIIC	
	134+270	149+630	121+769	134+955	13.186	Pkg-IVA	
	149+630	161+445	134+955	145+984	11.029	Pkg-IVB	

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: RO April, 2020

Provision of a high class access controlled facility for high mobility in the form of NH/SH may be useful in bypassing the city by external traffic, if it is not connected to the core by proper road network. It will not be helpful in decongesting the core area. Realizing this need NH has proposed 30 National Highways in its master plan. The National Highways not only provide connectivity between the cities but also serve as a connecting link between proposed townships and the cities. They also help in serving the traffic expected to be generated by the exploring activities in the outer municipalities. The NH with its service roads connected to the cities by National Highway network is expected to direct the development of Project Influence Area and will be a position to cater the travel demand patterns generated by these developments.

The National Highway Infrastructural Development Corporation Limited (NHIDCL) Manipur Wing planned to develop the major arterial roads to facilitate smooth traffic flow on existing major arterials and State Highways.




Figure 5.1: Depicting Map of the Project Road

Source: Google

Adequate attention has been given during the feasibility phases of the project preparation to minimize the adverse impacts on land acquisition and resettlement impacts. However, technical and engineering constraints were one of the major concerns during exploration of various alternative alignments. With the available options, best engineering solution have been adopted to avoid large scale land acquisition and involuntary resettlement impacts.



April, 2020 Page: 106

This Resettlement Plan (RP) is prepared to mitigate all unavoidable negative impacts caused due to the project, resettle the displaced persons and restore their livelihoods. This Full Resettlement Plan has been prepared on the basis of census survey findings and consultation with various stakeholders. The plan complies with NHIDCL policy for involuntary resettlement and rehabilitation.

5.1.2 Scope of Land Acquisition and Resettlement Impacts

Existing ROW does not cater to the codal provision of 24m ROW of Hill Road in open areas and 20m in built-up area and hence land is required to be acquired to adhere to the codal provision.

As discussed the scope of land acquisition is quite significant in the project because of availability of limited ROW and construction of two lane with paved shoulder new Bypasses / Realignment are suggested. According to the Land Acquisition Plan (LAP) for Package-IIIA prepared as a part of Project Report, 41.8685 ha of Total land will be acquired for the project. A project census survey was carried out to identify the persons who would be displaced by the project and to make an inventory of their assets that would be lost to the project, which would be the basis of calculation of compensation.

As per requirement of the Resettlement Action Plan for Package-IIIA a 100% census survey of the likely affected Displaced Persons (DP) have been conducted again in March 2020 of affected land and non-land assets of the project has been taken up. The impacts can be broadly classified as (i) impacts on private land, (ii) impacts on private structures including (Encroachers and Squatters), (iii) impacts on livelihoods due to loss of private properties and (iv) loss of common property resources. The census survey reveals that altogether in 146 private structures units will be affected due to the project work. As per the socio economic survey, total household 91 comprising of 528 DPs will be affected (By Affected Structure) in the project. The details of project impacts as revealed in the study have been depicted in the following section and the summary of the project impacts are presented in the *(Table 5.2)*.

Table: 5.2: Brief Summary of the Resettlement Impact of Package-IIIA

SI.	Impacts	Number
1	Total land acquisition requirements (in ha)	26.91
2	Total no. of private Residential structures	82
3	Total no. of private Commercial structures	3
4	Total number of Residential cum Commercial structures	7
5	Total number of other private structure	54
6	Total No. of Affected Families by affected structure	91
7	Total Number of Vulnerable households affected of Affected structure	91
8	Total number of displaced persons (DPs)	528
9	Total number of affected Community / Government structures	8

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

5.1.3 Stakeholders Consultation and Participation

Focus Group Consultations with various stakeholders were carried out during various phases of project preparation. Key person and focus group consultations at section of the society were arranged at the stage of project preparation to ensure peoples' participation in the planning phase of

Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 2020

Page: 107

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

this project and to treat public consultation and participation as a continuous two-way process. Aiming at promotion of public understanding and fruitful solutions of developmental problems such as local needs and problem and prospects of resettlement, various sections of DPs and other stakeholders were consulted through focus group discussions and individual interviews.

To keep more transparency in planning and for further active involvement of DPs and other stakeholders, the project information will be disseminated through disclosure of resettlement planning documents. This report with the Entitlement Matrix after accepted by the EA and NHIDCL's would be available for disclosure on both EA's and National Highway's website.

5.1.4 Legal and Policy Framework

The legal framework and principles adopted for addressing resettlement issues in the Project have been guided by the proposed legislation and policies of the Government of Manipur, Government of India, Safeguard Policies and guidelines of National Highway's guidelines. Prior to the preparation of the Resettlement Plan, a detailed analysis of the proposed national and state policies was undertaken and an entitlement matrix has been prepared for the entire program. The section below provides details of the various national and state level legislations studied and their applicability within this framework. This RP is prepared based on the review and analysis of all applicable legal and policy frameworks of the country and National Highway policy requirements.

The objectives of the Resettlement Framework as per the policies are as follows: -

- To minimize displacement and to identify non-displacing or least-displacing alternatives.
- To plan the resettlement and rehabilitation of Project Affected Families, (PAFs) including special needs of Tribal and vulnerable sections.
- To provide better standard of living to DPs; and
- To facilitate harmonious relationship between the Requiring Body and DPs through mutual cooperation.
- The involuntary resettlement would be avoided wherever possible or minimized as much as possible by exploring project and design alternatives.
- The Project or all sub-projects under the program will be screened to identify past, present, and future involuntary resettlement impacts and risks.
- The scope of resettlement planning will be determined through a survey and/or census of displaced persons, including a gender analysis, specifically related to resettlement impacts and risks.
- Meaningful consultations with affected persons, host communities, and PIU will be carried out and all displaced persons will be informed of their entitlements and resettlement options participation in planning, implementation, and monitoring and reporting of resettlement programs will be ensured.
- Particular attention will be paid to the needs of vulnerable groups, especially those below the poverty line, the landless, the elderly, women and children, and Indigenous Peoples, and

Engineering Consultants

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

those without legal title to land, and ensure their participation in consultations.

- An effective grievance redress mechanism will be established to receive and facilitate resolution of the displaced persons' concerns. The social and cultural institutions of displaced persons and their host population will be supported through proper planning. Where involuntary resettlement impacts and risks are highly complex and sensitive, compensation and resettlement decisions should be preceded by a social preparation phase.
- The livelihoods of all displaced persons will be improved or at least restored through (i) land-based resettlement strategies when affected livelihoods are land based where possible or cash compensation at replacement value for land when the loss of land does not undermine livelihoods, (ii) prompt replacement of assets with access to assets of equal or higher value, (iii) prompt compensation at full replacement cost for assets that cannot be restored, and (iv) additional revenues and services through benefit sharing schemes where possible.
- Physically and economically displaced persons will be provided with needed assistance, including (i) if there is relocation, secured tenure to relocation land, better housing at resettlement sites with comparable access to employment and production opportunities, integration of resettled persons economically and socially into their host communities, and extension of project benefits to host communities; (ii) Transportation support and development assistance, such as land development, credit facilities, training, or employment opportunities; and (iii) civic infrastructure and community services, as required.
- The standards of living of the displaced poor and other vulnerable groups, including women, will be improved to at least national minimum standards. In rural areas legal and affordable access to land and resources will be provided, and in urban areas appropriate income sources and legal and affordable access to adequate housing will be provided to the displaced poor.
- If land acquisition is through negotiated settlement, procedures will be developed in a transparent, consistent, and equitable manner to ensure that those people who enter into negotiated settlements will maintain the same or better income and livelihood status. If, however, the negotiated settlement fails, the normal procedure of land acquisition will be followed.
- Displaced persons without titles to land or any recognizable legal rights to land will be ensured that they are eligible for resettlement assistance and compensation for loss of nonland assets.
- A resettlement plan will be prepared elaborating on displaced persons' entitlements, the income and livelihood restoration strategy, institutional arrangements, monitoring and reporting framework, budget, and time-bound implementation schedule.
- The draft resettlement plan, including documentation of the consultation process will be disclosed in a timely manner, before project appraisal, in an accessible place and in a form and language(s) understandable to affected persons and other stakeholders. The final resettlement plan and its updates will also be disclosed to displaced persons and other

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

stakeholders.

- Involuntary resettlement will be conceived and executed as part of a development project or program. Full costs of resettlement will be included in the presentation of project's costs and benefits. For a project with significant involuntary resettlement impacts, consider implementing the involuntary resettlement component of the project as a stand-alone operation.
- All compensation will be paid and other resettlement entitlements will be provided before physical or economic displacement. The resettlement plan will be implemented under close supervision throughout project implementation.
- Resettlement outcomes, their impacts on the standards of living of displaced persons will be monitored; it will be accessed whether the objectives of the resettlement plan have been achieved by taking into account the baseline conditions and the results of resettlement monitoring. Monitoring reports will be disclosed to DPs.
- Land acquisition for the project would be done as per National Highway Act, 1956 and the RFCTLARR Act, 2013 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014. To meet the replacement cost of land payment of compensation in revised rate.
- The uneconomic residual land remaining after land acquisition will be acquired as per the provisions of Land Acquisition Act. The owner of such land/property will have the right to seek acquisition of his entire contiguous holding/ property provided the residual land is less than the average land holding of the district.
- People moving in the project area after the cut-off date will not be entitled to any assistance. In case of land acquisition, the date of publication of preliminary notification for acquisition under RFCTLARR Act, 2013 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014 will be treated as the cut-off date.
- All common property resources (CPR) lost due to the project will be replaced or compensated by the project.

The project will recognize three types of displaced persons like (i) persons with formal traditional rights to land lost in its entirety or in part; (ii) persons who lost the land they occupy in its entirety or in part who have no formal legal rights to such land, but who have claims to such lands that are recognized or recognizable under national laws; and (iii)persons who lost the land they occupy in its entirety or in part who have neither formal traditional rights nor recognized or recognizable claims to such land but occupying the land for than three years. The involuntary resettlement requirements apply to all three types of displaced persons.

5.1.5 Entitlements, Assistance and Benefits

The project will have three types of displaced persons i.e., (i) persons with formal legal/traditional rights to land lost in its entirety or in part; (ii) persons who lost the land they occupy in its entirety or in part who have no forma/traditional legal rights to such land, but who have claims to such lands that are recognized or recognizable under national laws; and (iii) persons who lost the land they

Engineering Consultants

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

occupy in its entirety or in part who have neither formal legal rights nor recognized or recognizable claims to such land. The involuntary resettlement requirements apply to all three types of displaced persons.

Compensation eligibility is limited by a cut-off date as set for this project on the day of the beginning of the census survey which is March 2020. DPs who settle in the affected areas after the cut-off date will not be eligible for compensation. They, however, will be given sufficient advance notice, requested to vacate premises and dismantle affected structures prior to project implementation. Their dismantled structures materials will not be confiscated and they will not pay any fine or suffer any sanction.

Compensation for the lost assets to all displaced persons will be paid on the basis of replacement cost. Resettlement assistance for lost income and livelihoods will be provided to both title holders. Special resettlement and rehabilitation measures will be made available to the "Vulnerable Group" comprises of DPs living below poverty line (BPL), SC, ST, women headed households, the elderly and the disabled. The detail of the assistance and entitlements has been discussed in the following chapters.

5.1.6 Relocation of Housing and Settlements

The EA will provide adequate and appropriate replacement land and structures or cash compensation at full replacement cost for lost land and structures, adequate compensation for partially damaged structures, and relocation assistance, according to the Entitlement Matrix. The EA will compensate to the title holders for the loss of assets other than land, such as dwellings, and also for other improvements to the land, at full replacement cost.

5.1.7 Income Restoration and Rehabilitation

Due to loss of land and structures, many households shall lose their livelihoods or shall get economically displaced. The DPs losing their livelihoods includes titleholders land, agricultural labourers, agricultural tenants, and sharecroppers, DPs having commercial structures and employees of the affected structures. In the case of economically displaced persons, regardless of whether or not they are physically displaced, the EA will promptly compensate for the loss of income or livelihood sources at full replacement cost. The EA will also provide assistance such as credit facilities, training, and employment opportunities so that they can improve, or at least restore, their incomeerning capacity, production levels, and standards of living to pre-displacement levels.

5.1.8 Resettlement Budget

The resettlement cost estimate for this project includes eligible compensation, resettlement assistance and support cost for RP implementation. The support cost, which includes staffing requirement, monitoring and reporting in project implementation and other administrative expenses are part of the overall project cost. The unit cost for land and other assets in this budget has been derived through field survey, consultation with affected families, relevant local authorities and reference from old practices. Contingency provisions have also been made to take into account variations from this estimate. The total R&R budget for the Package-IIIA of proposed project RP



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: RO April, 2020

Page: 11

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

works out to Rs. 10.45 Cr as per Minimum Guidance Value.

5.1.9 Institutional Arrangements

For implementation of RP there will be a set of institutions involve at various levels and stages of the project. The Executing Agency (EA) for the Project is NHIDCL. They have already set up a Project Implementing Unit (PIU) headed by a General Manager (P) with Technical Manager and Deputy Manager (DGM) assisted by other staffs. This office will be functional for the whole Project duration. The EA, headed by GM (P) will have overall responsibility for implementation of the project and will also be responsible for the overall coordination among NHIDCL, Government of Manipur and PIU. For resettlement activities, PIU will do the overall coordination, planning, implementation, and financing. Project Implementation Unit (PIU) will be established at project level for the implementation of subprojects.

5.1.10 Implementation Schedule

Implementation of RP mainly consists of compensation to be paid for affected structures and rehabilitation and resettlement activities. A composite implementation schedule for R&R activities in the project including various sub tasks and time line matching with civil work schedule is prepared and presented in the following chapters. The cut-off date will be notified formally for titleholder as the date of LA notification. However, the sequence had change or delay had occurred due to circumstances beyond the control of the Project and accordingly the time can be adjusted for the implementation of the plan. The present implementation schedule may be structured through package wise. The entire stretch can be divided in to various contract packages and the completion of resettlement implementation for each contract package shall be the pre-condition to start of the civil work at that particular contract package.

5.1.11 Monitoring and Reporting

Monitoring and reporting are critical activities in involuntary resettlement management in order to ameliorate problems faced by the DPs and develop solutions immediately. Monitoring is a periodic assessment of planned activities providing midway inputs. It facilitates change and gives necessary feedback of activities and the directions on which they are going. In other words, monitoring apparatus is crucial mechanism for measuring project performance and fulfilment of the project objectives.

PIU responsible for supervision and implementation of the RP will prepare monthly progress reports on resettlement activities and submit to EA. The Resettlement Expert under CSC would be responsible for monitoring of the RP implementation will submit a quarterly review report to determine whether resettlement goals have been achieved, more importantly whether livelihoods and living standards have been restored/ enhanced and suggest suitable recommendations for improvement. All the resettlement monitoring reports will be disclosed to DPs as per procedure followed for disclosure of resettlement documents by the EA. An External Monitor to be engaged to review and monitor the implementation process and time frame of the resettlement and rehabilitation of the DPs. The External Monitor may submit a biannual report on the progress of the implementation of the Resettlement action plan to NHIDCL.

CETEST Engineering Consultants

5.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

5.2.1 General

National Highway Infrastructure Development Company Limited has decided to prepare a Project Report for NH-102B with a minimum of 2-Lane with hard shoulder configuration starting from Churachandpur Tow and ending at Tuivai (Mizoram Border) in the District of Churanchandpur, Manipur, on Engineering, Procurement & Construction (EPC) basis or SBD mode as will emerge out on preparation of Project Report.

5.2.2 The Project Road and its Location

The project road starts from Churachandpur Town (Junction of NH-102B and old NH-2) and ends at Tuvai in the district of Churanchandpur. As per design the total length of the project road comes out as 141.029 km. The Start co-ordinate of the project is Latitude 24°20′46.44″ N and Longitude 93° 42′00.34″ E. The End co-ordinate is Latitude 24°01′22.40″ N and Longitude 93°15′12.64″ E. Most part of the District is in through mountainous / hilly terrain and very small portion. The topography is mostly rural in nature. This Road is passing through Churachandpur, New Lamka, Munnuam, Mata village, Muallam, Bulian, Singngat, Suangdoh, Tuimai, Lungthul, Mualnuam, Sinzawl and Tuivai. The project road has distributed in four packages. This Report elaborate and describe the Third package. As per design chainage the 3rd (IIIA) package starts from Chainage 69.875 to 88.980 km and it lie on Churachandpur district only.

Existing ROW does not cater to the codal provision of 24m ROW of Hill Road in open areas and 20m in built-up area and hence land is required to be acquired to adhere to the codal provision.



MANIPUR ASSAM TAMENGLO (km 39.5) Imphal THOU Longs BISHNUPUR₄ LEGEND NHIDCL NEC Ros MIZORAM DONER MOHA MEA State Boure State Capital MYANMAR Dist: HQ

Figure 5.2: Location Map

5.2.3 **Profile of the Project Area**

The project road section passes through Churachandpur districts of Manipur State. The Start coordinate of the project is Latitude 24°20'46.44" N and Longitude 93°42'00.34" E. The End co-ordinate is Latitude 24° 01'22.40" N and Longitude 93°15'12.64" E.

5.2.4 The Profile of the District of Churachandpur

In 1972, Manipur state was divided into five districts namely Central, West, East, North and South districts. The Central district comprised the whole of the Imphal Valley and Jiribam Sub-Division. In the 1980s it was further divided into the three valley districts of Imphal, Bishenupur and Thoubal. The East, West, North and South districts later became the hill districts of Ukhrul, Tamenglong, Senapati and Churachandpur district respectively. A fifth hill district, Chandel, was carved out from the erstwhile East and South districts. These five are the homes to twenty-nine (29) recognized

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR



Revision: R0 April, 2020

Scheduled Tribes of Manipur. Among the hill districts, the fastest growing district headquarters and hill-town is that of Churachandpur. All the communities of Manipur live happily in small but noticeable sizes amongst the more populous tribal folk belonging to Chin, Kuki, Mizo, Naga and Zomiethnic groups -a mosaic of tribes, well laid out and glowing with life.

Churachandpur District, in the southwestern corner of Manipur, has an area of 4,570 sq. km. The district got its name "Churachandpur" from the Manipur king Churachand Maharaja. It is bounded by North latitudes 23056'20.4" and 24036'46.8" and East longitudes 92058'12" & 93053'58.8". It is a hilly district with a very small percentage of the plain area. The district is bounded by Senapati district in the north, Bishnupur and Chandel districts in the east, Assam and Mizoram in the west and Myanmar on the south. The total population of the district as per 2011census is 2,71,274. This district with its headquarters at Churachandpur has been divided into five blocks, i.e. Churachandpur, Thanlon, Henglep, Singhat and Parbung.

Table 5.3: Churachandpur District

1. Geography					
(i) Temperature	e Maxin	Maximum: 37 ⁰ C		Mininum: 10 ⁰ C	
(ii) Location	Latitu	de: 23 ⁰ 56'20.4"N-24 ⁰ 3	6′46.8″N	Longitude: 92 ⁰ 58'12"E-93 ⁰ 53'58.8'	
(iii) Rainfall		Average rainfall recorded in 597mm.		dpur district is fr	om 3080mm to
2. Administrative Uni	ts				
Sub Divisions		Revenue Villages			Assembly Area
5 Nos.		540 Nos.			6 Nos.
5. Area		4,570 Square kms			
6. Forest		4,157 Square kms			
7. Roads					
a) National Highway			2010-11		270 km
b) State Highway			2010-11		58 km
c) Major District & Ru	ral Roads		2010-11		179.50 km
d) Other District & Ru	ral Roads		2010-11		20 km
e) Rural Road/Agricul	ture Marketin	g Board Roads	2010-11		175 km
f) Kachacha Road			2010-11		340 km
7. Census					
Description				2011	2001
Actual Population			2	74,143	227,905
Male			1	38,820	117,232
Female			1	35,323	110,673
Population Growth			2	0.29%	29.36%
Area Sq. Km				4,570	4,570

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Density/km2	60	50
Proportion to Manipur Population	9.60%	9.94%
Sex Ratio (Per 1000)	975	944
Child Sex Ratio (0-6 Age)	948	968
Average Literacy	82.78	70.60
Male Literacy	86.97	77.70
Female Literacy	78.50	63.10
Total Child Population (0-6 Age)	37,445	30,879
Male Population (0-6 Age)	19,227	15,690
Female Population (0-6 Age)	18,218	15,189
Literates	195,935	139,080
Male Literates	104,013	78,871
Female Literates	91,922	60,209
Child Proportion (0-6 Age)	13.66%	13.55%
Boys Proportion (0-6 Age)	13.85%	13.38%
Girls Proportion (0-6 Age)	13.46%	13.72%

Source: Website of Manipur State

5.2.5 The Profile of the State of Manipur

Manipur is a state in north eastern India, with the city of Imphal as its capital. It covers an area of 22,347 square kilometres. The state is bounded in the north by Nagaland, in the south by Mizoram, Assam lies in the west and nation of Myanmar is bordering in the east. Manipur has a recorded history of kingship since 33 A.D. with the coronation of Pakhangba followed by a series of kings ruling over the kingdom of Manipur. The independence and sovereignty of Manipur remained uninterrupted until the Burmese invaded and occupied it for seven years in the first quarter of the 19th century (1819-25). In 1891 British Govt. brought it under its rule, and later on it was merged in the Indian Union as part "C" State on 15 October, 1949. This was replaced by a Territorial Council of 30 elected and 2 nominated members. In 1963, a Legislative Assembly of 30 elected and 3 nominated members was established under the Union Territories Act, 1962. Manipur attained full-fledged statehood on 21 January, 1972. With this, a Legislative Assembly consisting of 60 elected members was established.

11 Wikipedia

T=ST

MANIPUR ROAD MAP NAGALAND Senapati NH-150 ASSAM • Ukhrul NH-39 UKHRUL Tamenglong IMPHALEAST Lamphelpat IMPHAL TAMENGLONG Porompat NH-37 Thoubal Bishnupur . THOUBAL NH-102 NH-150 Chandel Churachandpur MYANMAR CHURACHANDPUR CHANDEL LEGEND International Boundary State Boundary District Boundary National Highway Map not to Scale Major road: State Capital Copyright © 2012 www.mapsofindia.com (Updated on 25th July 2012) District HQ.

Figure 5.3: State Road Map

Physiographically, Manipur is characterised in two distinct physical regions - an outlying area of rugged hills and narrow valleys, and the inner area of flat plain, associated with residual mounds and undulating plains. These two regions are also conspicuous with respective distinct various flora and fauna. The Loktak lake is an important feature of the central plain. The hills cover about 9/10th of the total area of the State. Manipur Valley is about 790 metres above the sea level. The hill ranges are higher on the north and gradually diminish in height as they reach the southern part of Manipur. The valley itself slopes down towards the south. The highest peak is Mt. Iso of 2,994 m altitude near Mao.

Manipur state has four major river basins: the Barak River Basin (Barak Valley) in the west, the Manipur River Basin in central Manipur, the Yu River Basin in the east, and a portion of the Lanye River Basin in the north. The total water resources of Barak and Manipur river basins are about 1.8487 Mham. The Barak River, the largest of Manipur, originates in the Manipur Hills and is joined by a number of tributaries, all originating from surrounding hills. Rivers in the valley area are in mature stage and deposit their sediments in Loktak. The rivers in the hills cause land erosion and in rainy season turn into turbulent form.

According to 2011 census Manipur has a total population of 2,721,756 and ranked 22nd among Indian states. Its density of population is 115 persons per square kilometre. Population communities of Manipur comprise Meitei, Pangal, Naga, Kuki and Mizo. The Meitei, who live primarily in the state's valley region, form the primary ethnic group (60% of the total population). They occupy about 10% of the total land area. The Muslims (the Meitei-Pangal) also live in the valley. The Kuki, Naga,

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020

Page: 117

700 092

Mizo and several other smaller tribal communities make up about 40% of the population but occupy the remaining 90% of the total area of Manipur.

5.2.6 Impact and Benefits

The road project is associated with some adverse impacts as well as some benefits. The major impacts of the road project include loss of land due to acquisition of land all along the project corridor and in Realignment. But it should be kept in mind that the project road strategically interconnects two major states namely Manipur and Mizoram. Boost in agricultural and industrial development can be viewed as boosting economic growth and poverty reduction which will bring substantial social and economic development in the region. The social benefits arising due to the project will be triggered off due to improved accessibility to various services such as easy access to markets, health facilities, schools, workplace etc. which in turn increases the income of the locals, and ultimately elevating their standard of living. The possible direct and indirect positive impacts of the project are listed below.

- Road network will not only link the village communities to better national markets, but also open up wider work opportunities in distant places. People can shuttle to distant worksites and engage in construction, mining, factories, business as well as domestic works.
- The immediate benefits of road construction and improvement will come in the form of direct employment opportunities for the roadside communities and specially those who are engaged as wage labourers, petty contractors and suppliers of raw materials.
- Effective drainage system to ensure that there will be no pooling of water
- Safety measures in the form of traffic sign, Pavement marking and slope protection in the form of breast wall on hill side, Retaining/Toe wall on valley side etc.
- Rectification of geometric deficiencies (both Horizontal & Vertical).
- Provision of ROBs over railway crossings, if required.
- Provision of project facilities like bus bays, passenger shelters etc.
- Provision of crash barrier at Bridge approaches.
- Improvement of Major and Minor Intersections.

Other benefits: -

- t will give a major fillip to the quest for all weather connectivity.
- It will reduce travel time between towns and cities by 50% to 60%.
- It will enhance the spirit of enterprise.
- Help the locals to ply their trade.
- Provide direct employment in road construction and allied activities.
- Lower accident and provide quick accessibility to services like hospital, market, office etc.



Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

❖ Will help in growth of tourism activities immensely.

5.2.7 Minimizing Resettlement

As per the NH's guidelines, adequate attention has been given during the feasibility and detailed project design phases of the project preparation to minimize the adverse impacts on land acquisition and resettlement impacts. However, technical and engineering constraints were one of the major concerns during exploration of various alternative alignments. With the available options, best engineering solution have been adopted to avoid large scale land acquisition and resettlement impacts. Following are the general criteria adopted for the selection of the alignment:

- It should serve as uninterrupted traffic for proposed Tourist centres.
- It should provide linkage to other roads in the region.
- ❖ It should take in to account the future traffic growth and management.
- It should be coordinated with local and national development plans.
- It should minimize environment impact along the corridor.
- ❖ It should take in to consideration the opinions of local people in selection of
- Alignment.

The specific measures adopted for minimizing the resettlement impacts for the sub

Project is as follows:

- Exploration of alternate alignments in consultation with engineering team, concerned government departments and local community
- Avoiding major settlements and urban areas to minimize the large scale physical displacement.
- Avoiding productive agricultural land to minimize the adverse economic displacement;
- Diverting the alignment towards the available unused government land to minimize impact on private property.

5.2.8 Scope and Objective of Resettlement Plan (RP)

The aim of this Resettlement Plan (RP) is to mitigate all such unavoidable negative impacts caused due to the project and resettle the displaced persons and restore their livelihoods. This Full Resettlement Plan has been prepared on the basis of project census survey findings and consultation with various stakeholders. The legal framework and principles adopted for addressing resettlement issues in the Project have been guided by the proposed legislation and policies of the Government of Manipur, Government of India, Safeguard Policies and guidelines of National Highway's guidelines. The issues identified and addressed in this document are as follows:

- Type and extent of loss of land/ non-land assets, loss of livelihood, loss of common property resources and social infrastructure.
- Impacts on indigenous people, vulnerable groups like poor, women and other disadvantaged



Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

sections of society.

- Public consultation and people's participation in the project.
- Proposed legal and administrative framework and formulation of resettlement policy for the project.
- Preparation of entitlement matrix, formulation of relocation strategy and restoration of businesses/income.
- R&R cost estimate including provision for fund and Institutional framework for the implementation of the plan, including grievance redress mechanism and monitoring & reporting.

5.2.9 Primary Responsibility for Land Acquisition & Resettlement

The NHIDCL Department, Manipur is the nodal agency for implementation of the proposed project. Therefore, the prime responsibility for land acquisition lies with the NHIDCL, Manipur. However, such land acquisition is normally done through the State Level District Administration and the compensation amount is deposited with the District Administration by the acquiring body for disbursement. Similarly, the resettlement of the affected population will be implemented by the NHIDCL, Manipur like it is being done in other projects. The NHIDCL do conduct RAP implementation through PIU. An independent External Monitor would be engaged to review and monitor the implementation process and time frame of the resettlement and rehabilitation of the DPs. The Monitor may submit a biannual report on the progress of the implementation of the Resettlement action plan to EA.



Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

5.3 METHODOLOGY FOR IMPACT ASSESSMENT

This Chapter presents an analysis of the project impacts based on census survey data. The purpose of the analysis is to (a) develop profiles of DPs and communities affected by the project. (b) Identify the nature and types of losses. The following sections briefly describe the methods used to ascertain various types of impacts.

5.3.1 The Census Survey

The census survey was carried out in the month of March 2020 by a team of trained enumerators. The objectives of the census survey were to generate an inventory of social impacts on the people affected by the project, their structures affected, social profile of the project affected people, their poverty, their views about the project and also their views on various options of rehabilitation and resettlement. A questionnaire was used to collect detailed information on affected households/business for a full understanding of impacts in order to develop mitigation measures and resettlement plan for the DPs. A structured census questionnaire was used to collect detailed information on affected households/ properties for a full understanding of impacts in order to develop mitigation measures and resettlement plan for the DPs. The census survey includes the following: -

- Inventory of the affected assets
- Categorization and measurements of potential loss
- Physical measurements of the affected assets/structures
- Identification of trees and crops
- Household characteristics, including social, economic and demographic profile
- Identification of titleholders
- Assessment of potential economic impact

The present census survey has covered 100% structures affected within the proposed ROW including private and customary owners. The additional information about the private land holders land is being collected from village Council. The results of census survey presented in the report will also be updated further after completion of landholders' data collection.

5.3.2 Land Acquisition Planning

The alignment was finalized as per the detailed engineering design. Initially, the numbers of affected villages were identified as per the alignment. The village boundary has been marked in topographic drawing. The improvement proposal of the alignment along with proposed ROW has been superimposed over topographic drawing, land area between proposed and existing ROW has been demarcated villagewise and kilometerwise. A Land Acquisition Plan (LAP) has been prepared accordingly.

5.3.3 Inventory of Assets

The alignment was finalized as per the detailed engineering design. Initially, the numbers of affected



villages were identified as per the alignment. All the village maps and documents were collected from the local village council offices. The village maps were digitized by the consultant. Following the digitization of village maps, the engineering design of the alignment was superimposed in the digitized cadastral map in order to identify the number of land parcels and their demarcation including the quantification. The superimposition of alignment on the village map provided all the plot that are to be affected.

5.3.4 Survey of Affected Structures

Different types of structures will be affected by the road improvements. In order to assess market/ replacement value for the affected structures, the survey considered the types of construction, material used for roof, walls and floor; levels/ stories of structures, and land area of each structure.

5.3.5 Public Consultation

To ensure peoples' participation in the planning phase and aiming at promotion of public understanding and fruitful solutions of developmental problems such as local needs of road users and problem and prospects of resettlement, various sections of affected persons and other stakeholders were consulted through focus group discussions, individual interviews and formal and informal consultations. The vulnerable sections of DPs and women were also included in this consultation process.



5.4 SOCIO ECONOMIC PROFILE OF THE PROJECT AREA

To understand the socio-economic profile of project area, the socio-economic information of DPs was collected through the Socio Economic Survey (SES) and Census survey. The total number of Displaced Household (DH) for Package-IIIA is 91 (Private Structure owner, Tenent & Employee in commercial Structures) occupying 146 private structures would be affected as per proposed ROW. The total number of Displaced Population (DP) 528 living within this affected structures (Including Private Structure, Tenent & Employee in commercial Structures). The gross findings of the survey are presented in the following sections.

5.4.1 Social Categories of the DPs

The social stratification of the project area shows the dominance of Kuki Tribes population with 71% households, 9% Houkip and 5% Naga tribes. The second stratum of the social grouping in the area is of General Caste population in the project area is 5% and Others population with 7% households. There are different tribes inhabited in the whole alignment of the proposed road. The Social stratification is depicted in **Figure 5.4**.




Figure 5.4: Social Categories of DPs along the Project Road

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

5.4.2 Religious Categories of the Displaced Households

The project area is dominated by Christian community as they form 97% of the total Displaced Households (DH). There is only 3% household, who are not Hindus by faith are being affected by the Project. There are still very few household following animistic belief. The detail of the same is graphically represented in **Figure 5.5**

CETEST Engineering Consultants April, 2020 Page: 1

Others, 3%

Christian, 97%

Figure 5.5: Religious Categories of DPs along the Project Road

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

5.4.3 Number of Displaced Persons (DPs)

There are altogether 528 DPs (Including Private Structure, Tenent & Employee in commercial Structures), that are being affected by the project. It includes 51% males and 49% females. The number of DPs is substantially significant in the project area mainly because presence of joint family system and a large number of shareholders of landed properties.

5.4.4 Vulnerable Households being affected in the project

In the project area there are 100% households falling in the vulnerable category. In this project among other vulnerable group there, 100% households are ST category.

5.4.5 Annual Income Level of the Affected Households

The number of BPL population, is further strengthen from the data analysed on the basis of monthly income of the households, which reflects that there are 52% households, which are having an average monthly income of less than Rs. 30000/-. About 36% DPs are having income in the range of Rs. 30000-50000, while 10% are earning in the range of Rs. 50000-100000. It has been observed that about 2% households are annually earning more than Rs. 100000/-. The average income level of households in the project area is summarized in the (*Table 5.4*).

Table 5.4: Annual Income Level of the Affected Households

SI.	Annual Income Categories in (Rs)	Percentage	
1	Less than 30000	52%	
2	>30000 and <50000	36%	
3	>50000 and <100000	10%	
4	>100000	2%	
	Total	100%	

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020





5.4.6 Occupation by DPs

The occupational status of head of the households i.e. the primary occupation by the households reveals that 74% households are depending on agricultural or allied agricultural services, whereas about 11% of the population depends on business and this includes the business they are carrying out in the road side mainly shops. About .3% are engaged in Government or Private Service jobs. The details of occupations by the DPs are presented in the (*Table 5.5*).

Table 5.5: Occupational Status of DPs

SI.	Occupational Status of APs	Percentage
1	Government / Private Service	3%
2	Agriculture or allied agricultural	74%
3	Business	11%
4	Others	12%
	Total	100%

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

5.4.7 Educational Status of DPs

The educational status of head of the households reveals that overall scenario of literacy level is not encouraging in the project area as significant percentage of population, i.e., 4% are Informally literate. Another 37% has attained the education up to Class VIII level. About 33% DPs are appeared class X; while very few (6%) have degree of master and above, which are presented in the (*Figure 5.6*).

Educational Status 37% 33% 20% 4% 4% 2% 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100% Illiterate ■ Informally Literate ■ Upto Class VIII Upto Class X Upto Class XII Graduate/Diploma = Above

Figure 5.6: Educational Status of DPs

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020

Page: 125

5.4.8 Impact on Indigenous People

The Scheduled Tribes (STs) in the project area is considered to be IP. The presence of ST population in the affected state as displaced person is more than 92%. The census survey reveals that the majority of the population belongs to the ST community, thus this is the mainstream population of the region.

5.4.9 **Gender Impact and Mitigation Measures**

The gender composition of DPs shows that the male accounts for 51% and female accounts for 49%. The gender disparity is not acute as the sex ratio among DPs i.e. 975 against state level statistic having 985 as per provisional census data of India, 2011.



Figure 5.7: Gender Ratio in study area

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

The participation of women in FGDs during the census survey was not encouraging because of their shy nature and ignorance. Some of their specific concerns are summarized below.

The working women and girl students face lot of problem for travel, due to non-availability of good road and transport network. Especially in rainy season, the problem increases manifold which sometimes compels the girl students abstains from classes.

Only primary health centers (PHCs) are located at some villages and the quality of treatment and medical facilities are less than satisfactory. In emergency they have to reach hospitals at district headquarters only.

Health status will improve as they will be able to visit Govt. hospital at Imphal if sick and especially during pregnancy and will not have to depend on uneducated rural midwife for safe delivery, which are common in villages. Incidence of child mortality & maternal mortality rate will reduce with easy access to Govt. health care facility centres.

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: RO April, 2020

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

The women feel that their mobility will increase as market & relatives' places will be easily accessible for them as better road condition will induce more transport vehicles to operate. More shops, markets will open within the village approach area and as a result they will get quality leisure time at their disposal.

Women from poor families will get job opportunity during construction work as casual labour or at office. Besides, women can operate individual / family enterprise by opening small tea stalls, shops/eateries to provide meals to the construction labourers. This will enhance their family income as well as their entrepreneurial skill which may be useful in future.

Women labourers feel that improved road network will provide them with better job opportunity as they will be able to travel further and even can commute from home. Moreover, travel by public transport system, like Govt. bus service, will become cheaper and money saved on transport can be better utilized for household needs.

The girl students will be able to attain higher education at colleges, since journey time and cost will be greatly reduced and the girls can commute from home all by themselves free of hazard.

Women will not be affected negatively due to the program. Any negative impacts of the project on female-headed households will be taken up on a case-to-case basis and assistance to these households will be treated on a priority basis. During disbursement of compensation and provision of assistance, priority will be given to female-headed households. Additionally, women headed households are considered as vulnerable and provision for additional assistance (lump sum amount @ Rs. 25,000/- per affected households) has been made in the entitlement of the RP. Provision for equal wage and health safety facilities during the construction will be ensured by the EA. Therefore, the sub project activities will not have any negative impact on women.



5.5 **DEFINITIONS**

The Definition of various terms used in this Policy Document are as follows:

- (a) "Administrator for Resettlement and Rehabilitation" means an officer not below the rank of District Collector of the State Government appointed by it for the purpose of resettlement and rehabilitation of the Project Affected Families of the Project concerned provided that if the appropriate Government in respect of the project is the Central Government, such appointment shall be made in consultation with the Central Govt.
- (b) "affected zone", in relation to a project, means declaration of this Policy by the appropriate Government area of villages or locality under a project for which the land is being acquired under Land Acquisition Resettlement and Rehabilitation, 2013 or any other Act in force or an area that comes under submergence due to impounding of water in the reservoir of the project.
- (c) "agricultural family" means a family whose primary mode of livelihood is agriculture and includes family of owners as well as sub-tenants of agricultural land, agricultural labourers, occupiers of forest lands and of collectors of minor forest produce.
- (d) "agricultural labourer" means a person normally resident in the affected zone for a period of not less than three years immediately before the declaration of the affected zone who does not hold any land in the affected zone but who earns his livelihood principally by manual labour on agricultural land therein immediately before such declaration and who has been deprived of his livelihood.
- (e) "Agricultural land" includes lands used or capable of being used for the purpose ofagriculture or horticulture;

Dairy farming, poultry farming, pisciculture, breeding or livestock and nursery growing medical herbs. raising of crops, grass or garden produce; and

Land used by an agriculturist for the grazing of cattle, but does not include land used for the cutting of wood only.

- (f) "Appropriate Government" means, -
- (i) In relation to acquisition of land for the purposes of the NHIDCL, the Central Government;
- (ii) in relation to a project which is executed by Central Government agency(NHIDCL)/Central Government undertaking or by any other agency on the orders/directions of Central Government, the Central Government, otherwise the State Government and in relation to acquisition of land for other purposes, the State Government.
- (g) 'BPL Family': The Below Poverty Line Families shall be those as defined by the Planning Commission of India from time to time.
- (h) "Commissioner for Resettlement and Rehabilitation", in relation to a project, means the Commissioner for Resettlement and Rehabilitation appointed by the State Government not below the rank of Commissioner/Secretary of that Government.
- (i) "Displaced family" means any tenure holder, tenant, Government lessee or owner of other



property, who on account of acquisition of his land including plot in the abadi or other property in the affected zone for the purpose of the project, has been displaced from such land or other property.

- (j) "Family" means Project Affected Family consisting of such persons, his or her spouse, minor sons, unmarried daughters, minor brothers or unmarried sisters, father, mother and other members residing with him and dependent on him for their livelihood.
- (k) "Holding" means the total land held by a person as an occupant or tenant or as both;
- (I) "Marginal farmer" means a cultivator with an unirrigated land holding up to one acres or irrigated land holding up to half acres.
- (m) "non-agricultural laborer" means a person who is not an agricultural laborer but is normally residing in the affected zone for a period of not less than three years immediately before the declaration of the affected zone and who does not hold any land under the affected zone but who earns his livelihood principally by manual labour or as a rural artisan immediately before such declaration and who has been deprived of earning his livelihood principally by manual labour or as such artisan in the affected zone.
- (n) "Notification" means a notification published in the Official Gazette;
- (o) "Occupiers" mean members of Scheduled Tribe community in possession of forest land prior to 25th October, 1980;
- (p) "Project" means a project displacing 500 families or more enmasse in plain areas and 250 families or more enmasse in hilly areas, DDP blocks, areas mentioned in Schedule V and Schedule VI of the Constitution of India as a result of acquisition of land for any project.
- (q) "displaced family" means a family/person whose place of residence or other properties or source of livelihood are substantially affected by the process of acquisition of land for the project and who has been residing continuously for a period of not less than three years preceding the date of declaration of the affected zone or practicing any trade, occupation or vocation continuously for a period of not less than three years in the affected zone, preceding the date of declaration of the affected zone.
- (r) "Resettlement zone", in relation to a project, means the declaration of any area under our National Policy by the appropriate Government acquired or proposed to be acquired for resettlement and rehabilitation of Project Affected Families as a resettlement zone.
- (s) "Requiring Body" shall mean any company, a body corporate, an institution, or any other organization for whom land is to be acquired by the appropriate Government, and includes the appropriate Government if the acquisition of land is for such Government either for its own use or for subsequent allotment of such land in public interest to a body corporate, institution, or any other organization or to any company under lease, license or through any other system of transfer of land to such company, as the case may be.
- (t) "Small farmer" means a cultivator with an unirrigated land holding up to two acres or with an irrigated land holding up to one acres.

Engineering Consultants

5.6 SCOPE OF LAND ACQUISITION AND RESETTLEMENT FOR PACKAGE-IIIA

5.6.1 Scope of Land Acquisition

As discussed earlier also the scope of land acquisition is quite significant in the project because of availability of limited ROW and construction of road as the applicable code for road construction. According to the Land Acquisition Plan (LAP) prepared as a part of Project Report (Package-IIIA), 26.91 ha of total land will be acquired for the sub-project. The area is excluding the area available within EROW. A project census survey was carried out to identify the persons who would be displaced by the project and to make an inventory of their assets that would be lost to the project, which would be the basis of calculation of compensation. The major findings of the land acquisition estimates and census of 100% affected structures are discussed in the following sections which will be further updated after completion of landholder's data collection.

5.6.2 Uses of Affected Structure

During the census survey in addition to structures belong to private holders, large number of customary right holders were also enumerated along the proposed road. Based on the social survey data a total of 154 structures would be affected due to the improvement of the project road within the proposed RoW. Out of 154 affected structures, 82 are Residential, 10 are structures are of Commercial & Residential cum Commercial and there are 8 Community & Government Structure as detailed in (*Table 5.6*).

Table 5.6: Uses of Affected Structure

SI.	Type of Uses	No. of Properties	Percentage
1	Residential	82	53%
2	Commercial	3	2%
3	Residential cum Commercial	7	5%
4	Community Structure	5	3%
5	Other Government Structure	3	2%
6	Other Private Structure	54	35%
	Total	154	100%

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

5.6.3 Type of Construction of Affected Structure

The construction type of structures being affected in the project area is of various types such as temporary and permanent. More than 68% of the structures are temporary. The details of type of constructions of the affected structures are summarized in the (*Table 5.7*)

CETEST Engineering Consultants

April, 2020 Pag

Revision: R0

Table 5.7: Type of Construction of Affected Structure

SI.	Type of Construction	Total	Pecentage
1	Temporary	99	68%
2	Permanent	9	6%
3	Memory Stone	38	26%
	Total	146	100%

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

5.6.4 Age of Structures Being Affected

To know the condition of structures, the age of structures being affected due to the project was enumerated during the census survey. Among 154 affected structures, 83% structures are constructed within 10 years only and another 17% structures are aged after 10 or more years. The details of age of affected structures are presented in the (Table 5.8).

Table 5.8: Age of Structures Being Affected

SI.	Age of Structure	Percentage
1	Up to 10 Years	83%
2	Above10 Years	17%
	Total	100%

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

5.6.5 Type of Commercial Structures Affected

Total 3 commercial & 7 Residential-cum-Commercial structures affected in the sub-project, 100% structures are of shops at the road side. The details of structures are given in the (*Table 5.9*).

Table 5.9: Type of Commercial Establishment Affected

SI.	Type of Structure	No. of Structure	Percentage
1	Commercial	3	30%
2	Residential-cum-Commercial	7	70%
	Total	10	100.0%

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

5.6.6 **Type of Mixed Structures Affected**

Out of 146 private structures there are 7 structures belong to mixed category i.e. residential and commercial type where the road side people doing some business and living also.

5.6.7 Type of Other Structures Affected

As per census survey findings, among the private and govrenment structures within the ROW many other type of structures like Abandoned, Cattel Shed, Private Memorial Structure and School affected in the project. (Table 5.10 & 5.11).

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR



Revision: R0 April, 2020

Table 5.10: Type of Other Private Structures Affected

SI.	Type of Structure	No. of Structure	Percentage
1	Toilet	10	18.52%
2	Pavment	1	1.85%
3	Memorial Shed	1	1.85%
4	Water Tank	2	3.70%
5	Sanitary Tank	2	3.70%
6	Private memory stone	38	70.37%
	Total	54	100.00%

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

Table 5.11: Type of Other Government Structures Affected

 SI.	Type of Structure	No. of Structure	Percentage	
1	Water Tank	3	100.0%	
	Total	3	100.0%	_

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

5.6.8 Status of Ownership

As per census survey, in the sub-project 91 belong to Titel-holders, whose structres are affected by the project. The details of Ownership according to their legal status are given in the (*Table 5.12*).

Table 5.12: Status of Ownership

SI.	Ownership Status	No. of Structure	Percentage
1	Private	91	94%
2	Community	3	3%
3	Government	3	3%
	Total	97	100%

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

5.6.9 Scale of Impact on Structure

The severity of impact on any structure is determined by percentage of impact on the properties and the usable status of residual part of the structure. The impact percentage on the structures is being examined from its distance from the centre line and the total area of the structures. This analysis helps to know that whether the remaining part of the structure would serve any purpose. As per the collected information during the social survey it is found that the impact is Category A in most of the structures. The exact details for scale of impact on structures are given in (*Table 5.13*).

Table 5.13: Scale of Impact on Structure

SI.	Scale of Impact	Percentage
1	Category A (more than 50%)	96%
2	Category B (less than 50% but more than 25%)	2%
3	Category C (less than 25% more than 10%)	2%

CETEST Engineering Consultants

SI.	Scale of Impact	Percentage
4	Category D (less than 10%)	0%
	Total	100%

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

5.6.10 Loss of Livelihoods

Due to loss of structures many people will be losing their livelihoods and will be economically displaced. As per the census survey DPs losing livelihoods includes 3 owners of commercial structures and 4 owners of residential- cum- commercial structures where they perform business. The details of impact on livelihoods in the project are presented in the (*Table 5.14*).

Table 5.14: Loss of Livelihoods

SI.	Loss	No. of Person	Percentage
1	Owners of Commercial Structure	3	43%
2	Owners of Residential cum Commercial Structure (TH)	4	57%
3	Commercial tenant	0	0%
4	Employee in commercial Structures	0	0%
	Total	7	100.00%

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

5.6.11 Loss of Community Structures

During census, it was observed that there are 5 structures of community property resources are affected considering the Toe Line of Impact including community, religious and government properties within the proposed right of way as well as proposed ROW.

Table 5.15: Type of Affected Community Structures

SI.	Type of Ownership	No. of Properties
1	Quarter	3
2	Waiting Shed	1
3	School	1
	Total	ς .

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

5.6.12 Summary Project Impacts

As per findings of the (Package-IIIA) a 100% census of affected land and non-land assets, the project impacts can be broadly classified as (i) impacts on private land, (ii) impacts on private structures (iii) impacts on livelihoods due to loss of private properties and (iv) loss of common property resources. From the analysis of impacts, it is noted that altogether 154 structures out of which only 7 residential cum commercial structures which will be affected due to the project work. As per the survey, total 146 structure sheltering about 91 households (Private structure owner, Tenent & Employee in commercial Structures) comprising of 528 DPs will be affected in the project. The details of project impacts are discussed in the following section and the summary project impacts are presented in the

Engineering Consultants

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

(Table 5.16).

Table 5.16: Summary Project Impacts

SI.	Impacts	Number
1	Total land acquisition requirements (in ha)	26.91
2	Total no. of private Residential structures	82
3	Total no. of private Commercial structures	3
4	Total number of Residential cum Commercial structures	7
5	Total number of other private structure	54
6	Total No. of Affected Families by affected structure	91
7	Total Number of Vulnerable households affected of Affected structure	91
8	Total number of displaced persons (DPs)	528
9	Total number of affected Community / Government structures	8

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0 April, 2020

5.7 REHABILITATION AND RESETTLEMENT PLAN

The procedure mentioned in this chapter shall be followed for declaration of the affected area, carrying out survey and census of affected persons, assessment of government land available and land to be arranged for rehabilitation and resettlement, declaration of the resettlement area or areas, preparation of the draft rehabilitation and resettlement scheme or plan and its final publication.

Where the appropriate Government is of the opinion that there is likely to be involuntary displacement of four hundred or more families enmasse in plain areas, or two hundred or more families enmasse in tribal or hilly areas, DDP blocks or areas mentioned in the Schedule V or Schedule VI to the Constitution due to acquisition of land for any project or due to any other reason, it shall, declare, by notification in the Official Gazette, area of villages or localities as an affected area.

Every declaration made in our policy shall be published in at least three daily newspapers, two of which shall be in the local vernacular having circulation in villages or areas which are likely to be affected, and also by affixing a copy of the notification on the notice board of the concerned gram panchayats or municipalities and other prominent place or places in the affected area and the resettlement area, and/or by any other method as may be prescribed in this regard by the appropriate Government.

Once the declaration is made, the Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement shall undertake a baseline survey and census for identification of the persons and families likely to be affected.

Every such survey shall contain the following village-wise information of the affected families: -

- Members of the family who are permanently residing engaged in any trade, business, occupation or vocation in the affected area.
- families who are likely to lose, or have lost, their house, agricultural land, employment or are alienated wholly or substantially from the main source of their trade, business, occupation or vocation.
- Agricultural labourers and non-agricultural labourers.
- Families belonging to the Scheduled Caste or Scheduled Tribe categories.
- Vulnerable persons such as the disabled, destitute, orphans, widows, unmarried girls, abandoned women, or persons above sixty years of age; who are not provided or cannot immediately be provided with alternative livelihood, and who are not otherwise covered as part of a family.
- families that are landless (not having homestead land, agricultural land, or either homestead or agricultural land) and below poverty line, but residing continuously for a period of not less than three years in the affected area preceding the date of declaration of the affected area.
- Scheduled Tribes families who are or were having possession of forest lands in the affected area prior to the LA Notice Publication date.
- Every survey undertaken under shall be completed within a period of ninety days from the date of declaration.

Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020

Page: 135

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

On completion of the above surveyor on expiry of a period of ninety days, whichever is earlier, the Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement shall, by notification, and also in such other manner so as to reach all persons likely to be affected, publish a draft of the details of the findings of the survey conducted by him and invite objections and suggestions from all persons likely to be affected thereby. This draft shall be made known locally by wide publicity in the affected area.

On the expiry of thirty days from the date of publication of the draft of the details of survey and after considering the objections and suggestions received by him in "this behalf, the Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement shall submit his recommendations thereon along with the details of the survey to the appropriate Government.

Within forty-five days from the date of receipt of the details of the survey and recommendations of the Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement, the appropriate Government shall publish the final details of survey in the Official Gazette. The appropriate Government shall, by notification, declare any area (or areas) as a resettlement area (or areas) for rehabilitation and resettlement of the affected families.

The Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement shall ensure that the affected families may be settled, wherever possible, in a group or groups in such resettlement areas. However, it has to be ensured that the affected families may be resettled with the host community on the basis of equality and mutual understanding, consistent with the desire of each group to preserve its own identity and culture.

The Administrator for Resettlement and rehabilitation shall draw up a list of lands that may be available for rehabilitation and resettlement of the affected families.

The lands drawn up shall consist of: -

- Land available or acquired for the project and earmarked for this purpose
- Government wastelands arid any other land vesting in the Government available for allotment to the affected families.
- Lands that may be available for purchase or acquisition for" the purposes of rehabilitation and resettlement scheme or plan.
- A combination of one or more of the above.

However, the Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement should ensure that such acquisition of land does not lead to another set of physically displaced families. The Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement, on behalf of the appropriate Government, may either purchase land from any person through consent award and may enter into an agreement for this purpose, or approach the state Government concerned for acquisition of land for the purposes of rehabilitation and resettlement scheme or plan.

After completion of baseline survey and census of the affected families and assessment of the requirement of land for resettlement, the Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement shall prepare a draft scheme or plan for the rehabilitation and resettlement of the affected families after consultation with the representatives of the affected families including women and the

Engineering Consultants

representative of the requiring body.

The draft rehabilitation and resettlement scheme or plan shall contain the following particulars, namely: -

- (a) The extent of land to be acquired for the project and the name(s) of the affected village(s);
- (b) A village-wise list of the affected persons, family-wise, and the extent and nature of land and immovable property owned or held in their possession in the affected area, and the extent and nature of such land and immovable property which they are likely to lose or have lost, indicating the survey numbers thereof;
- (c) A list of agricultural laborers in such area and the names of such persons whose livelihood depends on agricultural activities;
- (d) A list of persons who have lost or are likely to lose their employment or livelihood or who have been or likely to be alienated wholly or substantially from their main sources of trade business, occupation or vocation consequent to the acquisition of land for the project or involuntary displacement due to any other cause;
- (e) A list of non-agricultural laborers, including artisans;
- (f) A list of affected landless families, including those, without homestead land and below poverty line families;
- (g) A list of vulnerable affected persons.
- (h) A list of occupiers, if any;
- (i) A list of public utilities and government buildings which are affected or likely to be affected;
- (j) Details of public and community properties, assets and infrastructure;
- (k) A list of benefits and packages which are to be provided to the affected families;
- (I) Details of the extent of land available in the resettlement area for resettling and for allotment of land to the affected families.
- (m) Details of the amenities and infrastructural facilities which are to be provided for resettlement.
- (n) The time schedule for shifting and resettling the displaced persons in the resettlement area or areas.
- (o) Such other particulars as the Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement may consider necessary.

The draft scheme or plan may be made known locally by wide publicity in the affected area and the resettlement area (or areas) in such manner as may be prescribed by the appropriate Government.

The draft rehabilitation and resettlement scheme or plan shall also be discussed in gram sabhas in rural areas and in public hearings in urban and rural areas where gram sabhas don't exist.

The consultation with the gram sabha or the panchayats at the appropriate level in. the Scheduled



Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Page: 138

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

Areas under' Schedule V of the Constitution shall be in accordance with the provisions of the Provisions of the Panchayats (Extension to the Scheduled Areas) Act, 1996 (40 of 1996).

In cases of involuntary displacement of two hundred or more Scheduled Tribes families from the Scheduled Areas, the concerned Tribes Advisory Councils may also be consulted.

While preparing a draft scheme or plan, the Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement shall ensure that the entire estimated cost of the rehabilitation and resettlement scheme or plan forms an integral part of the cost of the project for which the land is being acquired. The entire expenditure on rehabilitation and resettlement benefits and the expenditure for rehabilitation and resettlement of the affected families are to be borne by the requiring body for which the land is being acquired. The Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement shall ensure that the entire estimated cost of rehabilitation and resettlement benefits and other expenditure for rehabilitation and resettlement of the affected families is communicated to the requiring body for incorporation in the project cost.

The Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement shall submit the draft scheme or plan for rehabilitation and resettlement to the appropriate Government for its approval. In case of a project involving land acquisition on behalf of a requiring body, it shall be the responsibility of the appropriate Government to obtain the consent of the requiring body, to ensure that the necessary approvals as required under this policy have been obtained, and to make sure that the requiring body has agreed to bear the entire cost of rehabilitation and resettlement benefits and other, expenditure for rehabilitation and resettlement of the affected families as communicated by the Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement, before approving it. After approving the rehabilitation and resettlement scheme or plan, the appropriate Government shall publish the same in the Official Documents. On final notification of the rehabilitation and resettlement scheme or plan, it shall come into force.

It shall be the responsibility of the requiring body to provide sufficient funds to the Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement for proper implementation of the rehabilitation and resettlement scheme or plan. As soon as the rehabilitation and resettlement scheme or plan is finalized, the requiring body shall deposit one-third cost of the rehabilitation and resettlement scheme or plan with the Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement. The administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement shall keep proper books of accounts and records of the funds placed at his disposal and submit periodic returns to the appropriate Government in this behalf.

In case of a project involving land acquisition on behalf of a requiring body, an exercise for fast-track updating of land records shall be undertaken on currently with the land acquisition proceedings. Persons who have acquired any right prior to the date of issue of the notification under sub-section (1) of section 24 of the RFCTLARR Act, 2013 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014 (or such notification under any other Act of the Union or a State for the time being in force under which land acquisition is being undertaken) as per the updated' records shall also have right to proportionate compensation along with the original landowners referred to in the notification.

(a) The compensation award shall be declared well in time before displacement of the affected families. Full payment of compensation as well as adequate progress in resettlement shall be ensured in advance of the actual displacement of the affected families.

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

- (b) The compensation award shall take into account the market value of the property being acquired, including the location-wise minimum price per unit area fixed (or to be fixed) by the State Government.
- (c) Conversion to the intended category of use of the land being acquired (for example, from agricultural to non-agricultural) shall be taken into account in advance of the acquisition, and the compensation award shall be determined as per the intended land use category.
- (d) The applicable conversion charges for the change in the land use category shall be paid by the requiring body, and no reduction shall be made in the compensation award on this account.

In case of a project involving land acquisition on behalf of a requiring body, and if the requiring body is a company authorized to issue shares and debentures, the affected families who are entitled to get compensation for the land or other property acquired, shall be given the option to take up to twenty percent of the compensation amount due to them in the form of shares or debentures or both of the requiring body, as per the guidelines to be notified by the Central Government: Provided that the appropriate Government, at its discretion, may raise this proportion up to fifty percent of the compensation amount.

Land compulsorily acquired for a project cannot be transferred to any other purpose except for a public purpose, and after obtaining the prior approval of the appropriate Government.

If land compulsorily acquired for a project or part thereof, remains unutilized for the project for a period of five years from the date of taking over the possession by the requiring body, the same shall revert to the possession and ownership of the appropriate Government without payment of any compensation or remuneration to the requiring body. Whenever any land acquired for a public purpose is transferred to an individual or organization (whether in private sector, public sector or joint sector) for a consideration, eighty percent of any net unearned income so accruing to the transferor, shall be shared amongst the persons from whom the lands were acquired or their heirs, in proportion to the value at which the lands were acquired. The fund shall be kept in a separate account which shall be administered in such manner as may be prescribed.



5.8 REHABILITATION AND RESETTLEMENT BENEFITS FOR THE AFFECTED FAMILIES

The rehabilitation and resettlement benefits shall be extended to all the affected families who are eligible as affected families on the date of publication of the declaration under as stated above, and any division of assets in the family after the said date may not be taken into account.

Any affected family owning house and whose house has been acquired or lost, may be allotted free of cost house site to the extent of actual loss of area of the acquired house but not more than two hundred and fifty square meter of land in rural areas, or one hundred and fifty square meter of land in urban areas, as the case may be, for each nuclear family Provided that, in urban areas, a house of up to one hundred square meter' carpet area may be provided in lieu thereof. Such a house, if necessary, may be offered in a multi-storied building complex

Each affected below poverty line family which is without homestead land and which has been residing in the affected area continuously for a period of not less than three years preceding the date of declaration of the affected area and which has been involuntarily displaced from such area, shall be entitled to a house of minimum one hundred square meter carpet area in rural areas, or fifty square meter carpet area in urban areas (which may be offered, where applicable, in a multi-storied building complex), as the case may be, in their settlement area:

Provided that any such affected family which opts not to take the house offered, shall get a suitable one-time financial assistance for use construction, and the amount shall not be less than what is given under any programme of house construction by the Government of India.

Each affected family owning agricultural land in the affected area and whose entire land has been acquired or lost, may be allotted in the name of the khatedar(s) or holder of Village Council Pass in the affected family, agricultural land or cultivable wasteland to the extent of actual land loss by the khatedar(s) in the affected family subject to a maximum of one acres of irrigated land or two acres of irrigated land or cultivable wasteland, if Government land is available in the resettlement area. This benefit shall also be available to the affected families who have, as a consequence of the acquisition" or loss of land, been reduced to the status of marginal farmers.

In the case of irrigation or hydel projects, the affected families shall be given preference in allotment of land-for-land in the command area of the project, to the extent possible. Such lands may be consolidated, and plots of suitable sizes allotted to the affected families who could be settled their in-groups. In case a family cannot be given land in the command area of the project or the family opts not to take land there, such a family may be given monetary compensation on replacement cost basis for their lands lost, for purchase of suitable land elsewhere.

In the case of irrigation or hydel projects, the State Governments may formulate suitable schemes for providing land to the affected families in the command areas of the projects by way of pooling of the lands that may be available or, otherwise, could be made available in recommended areas of such projects.

(a) In the case of irrigation or hydel projects, fishing rights in the reservoirs shall be given to the affected families, if such rights were enjoyed by them in the affected area; (b) In other cases also,

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Revision: RO April, 2020

Page: 140

unless there are special reasons, fishing rights shall be given preferentially to the affected families.

In case of a project involving land acquisition on behalf of a requiring body, the stamp duty and other fees payable for registration of the land or house allotted to the affected families shall be borne by the requiring body.

The land or house allotted to the affected families under this policy shall be free from all encumbrances.

The land or house allotted to the affected families under this policy may be in the joint names of wife and husband of the affected family.

In case of allotment of wasteland or degraded land in lieu of the acquired land, each khatedar in the affected family shall get a one-time financial assistance of such amount as the appropriate Government may decide but not less than fifteen thousand rupees per acres for land development.

In case of allotment of agricultural land in lieu of the acquired land, each khatedar in the affected family shall get a one-time financial assistance of such amount as the appropriate Government may decide but not less than ten thousand rupees, for agricultural production.

Each affected family that is displaced and has cattle, shall get financial assistance of such amount as the appropriate Government may decide but not less than fifteen thousand rupees, for construction of cattle shed. Each affected family that is displaced shall get a one-time financial assistance of such amount as the appropriate Government may decide but not less than ten thousand rupees, for shifting of the family, building materials belongings and cattle.

Each affected person who is a rural artisan, small trader or self-employed person and who has been displaced shall get a one-time financial assistance of such amount as the appropriate Government may decide but not less than twenty-five thousand rupees, for construction of working shed or shop.

In case of a project involving land acquisition on behalf of a requiring body, -

- The requiring body shall give preference to the affected families at least one person per nuclear family in providing employment in the project, subject to the availability of vacancies and suitability of the affected person for the employment.
- Wherever necessary, the requiring body shall arrange for training of the affected persons, so as to enable such persons to take on suitable jobs.
- The requiring body shall offer scholarships and other skill development opportunities to the eligible persons from the affected families as per the criteria as may be fixed by the appropriate Government.
- The requiring body shall give preference to the affected persons or their groups or cooperatives in the allotment of outsourced contracts, shops or other economic opportunities coming up in or around the project site.
- The requiring body shall give preference to willing landless labourers and unemployed affected persons while engaging labour in the project during the construction phase.
- The affected persons shall be offered the necessary training facilities for development of



Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

entrepreneurship, technical and professional skills for self-employment.

In case of a project involving land acquisition on behalf of a requiring body, the affected families who have not been provided agricultural land or employment shall be entitled to a rehabilitation grant equivalent to seven hundred fifty days minimum agricultural wages or such other higher amount as may be prescribed by the appropriate Government: Provided that, if the requiring body is a company authorized to issue shares and debentures, such affected families shall be given the option of taking up to twenty percent of their rehabilitation grant amount in the form of shares or debentures of the requiring body, in such manner as may be prescribed provided further that the appropriate Government may, at its discretion, raise this proportion up to fifty per cent of the rehabilitation grant amount.

In cases where the acquisition of agricultural land or involuntary displacement takes place on account of land development projects, in lieu of land-for-land or employment, such affected families would be given site(s) or apartment(s) within the development project, in proportion to the land lost, but subject to such limits as may be defined by the appropriate Government.

In case of a project involving land acquisition on behalf of a requiring body, each affected family which is involuntarily displaced shall get a monthly subsistence allowance equivalent to twenty-five days' minimum agricultural wages per month for a period of one year from the date of displacement.

The project authorities shall, at their cost, arrange for annuity policies that will pay a pension for life to the vulnerable affected persons, of such amount as may be prescribed by the appropriate Government subject to a minimum of five hundred rupees per month.

If land is acquired in cases of urgency under the RFCTLARR Act, 2013 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014, each affected family which is displaced shall be provided with transit and temporary accommodation, pending rehabilitation and resettlement scheme or plan, in addition to the monthly subsistence allowance and other rehabilitation and resettlement benefits due to them under this policy.

In case of linear acquisitions, in projects relating to railway lines, highways, transmission lines, laying of pipelines and other such projects wherein only an arrow stretch of land is acquired for the purpose of the project or is utilized for right of way, each khatedar in the affected family shall be offered by the requiring body an ex-gratia payment of such amount as the appropriate Government may decide but not less than twenty thousand rupees, in addition to the compensation or any other benefits due under the Act or programme or scheme under which the land, house or other property is acquired provided that, if as a result of such land acquisition, the land-holder becomes landless or is reduced to the status of a "small" or "marginal" farmer, other rehabilitation and resettlement benefits available under this policy shall also be extended to such affected family.

5.8.1 Rehabilitation and Resettlement benefits for Project Affected families belonging to the scheduled tribes and scheduled castes

In case of a project involving land acquisition on behalf of a requiring body which involves involuntary displacement of two hundred or more Scheduled Tribes families, a Tribal Development Plan shall be prepared, in such form as may be prescribed, laying down the detailed procedure for settling land

CETEST Engineering Consultants Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 2020

Page: 142

rights due but not settled and restoring titles of tribal on alienated land by undertaking a special drive together with land acquisition. The Plan shall also contain a programme or development of alternate fuel, fodder and non-timber forest produce (NTFP) resources on non-forest lands within a period of five years sufficient to meet requirements of tribal communities who are denied access to forests.

The concerned gram sabha or the panchayats at the appropriate level in the Scheduled Areas under Schedule V of the Constitution or as the case may be, Councils in the Schedule VI Areas shall be consulted in all Cases of land acquisition in such areas including land acquisition in cases of urgency, before issue of a notification under the RFCTLARR Act, 2013 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014, and the consultation shall be in accordance with the provisions of the provisions of the Panchayats (Extension to the Scheduled Areas) Act, 1996 and other relevant laws. Further, in cases of involuntary displacement of two hundred or more Scheduled Tribes families from the Scheduled Areas, the concerned Tribes Advisory Councils (TACs) may also be consulted.

Each affected family of Scheduled Tribe followed by Scheduled Caste categories shall be given preference in allotment of land-for-land, if Government land is available in the resettlement area.

In case of land being acquired from members of the Scheduled Tribes, at least one-third of the compensation amount due shall be paid to the affected families at the outset as first installment and the rest at the time of taking over the possession of the land.

In case of a project involving land acquisition on behalf of a requiring body, each Scheduled Tribe affected family shall get an additional one-time financial assistance equivalent to five hundred days' minimum agricultural wages for loss of customary rights or usages of forest produce.

The Scheduled Tribes affected families will be re-settled, as far as possible, in the same Schedule Area in a compact block, so that they can retain their ethnic, linguistic and cultural identity. Exceptions would be allowed only in rare cases where the requiring body in case of a project involving land acquisition, or the State Government in other cases of involuntary displacement is unable to offer such land due to reasons beyond its control.

The resettlement areas predominantly inhabited by the Scheduled Tribes shall get land free of cost for community and religious gatherings, to the extent decided by the appropriate Government.

In case of a project involving land acquisition on behalf of a requiring body, the Scheduled Tribes affected families resettled out of the district will get twenty-five percent higher rehabilitation and resettlement benefits in monetary terms in respect of the items specified in

Any alienation of tribal lands in violation of the laws and regulations for the time being in force shall be treated, as null and void. In the case of acquisition of such lands, the rehabilitation and resettlement benefits would be available to the original tribal land-owners.

In the case of irrigation or hydel projects, the affected Scheduled Tribes, 'other, traditional forest dwellers and the Scheduled Castes families having fishing rights in a river or pond, or' dam in the affected area shall be given fishing rights in the reservoir area of the irrigation or hydel projects.

The Scheduled Tribes and Scheduled Castes affected families enjoying reservation benefits in the affected area shall be entitled to get the reservation benefits at the resettlement area(s).



The affected Scheduled Tribes families, who were in possession of forest / lands in the affected area prior to January, 2013, shall also be eligible for the rehabilitation and resettlement benefits under this policy.

5.8.2 Amenities and Infrastructural facilities to be provided at Resettlement areas

In all cases of involuntary displacement of four hundred families or more enmasse in plain areas, or two hundred families or more enmasse in tribal or hilly areas, DDP blocks or areas mentioned in the Schedule V or Schedule VI to the Constitution, comprehensive infrastructural facilities and amenities notified by the appropriate Government shall be provided in the resettlement area (such facilities and amenities shall, inter alia, include roads, public transport, drainage, sanitation, safe drinking water, drinking water for cattle, community ponds, grazing land, land for fodder, plantation (social forestry or agro forestry), Fair Price shops, panchayat grams, Cooperative Societies, Post Offices, seed-cum-fertilizer storage, irrigation, electricity, health centers, child" and mother supplemental nutritional services, children's playground, community centers, schools, institutional arrangements for training, places of worship, land for traditional tribal institutions, burial / cremation grounds, and security arrangements.

In cases of involuntary displacement of less than four hundred families enmasse in plain areas, or less than two hundred families or more enmasse in tribal or hilly areas, DDP blocks or areas mentioned in the Schedule V or Schedule VI to the Constitution, all affected families shall be provided basic infrastructural facilities and amenities at the resettlement site(s) as per the norms specified by the appropriate Government. It would be desirable that provision of drinking water, electricity, schools, dispensaries, and access to the resettlement sites, amongst others, be included in the resettlement plan approved by the appropriate Government.

If relocation takes place in a proposed settlement area, the same infrastructure shall also be extended to the host community.

While shifting the population of the affected area to the resettlement area, the Administrator for Rehabilitation and Resettlement shall, as far as possible, ensure that:

- a) In case the entire population of the village or area to be shifted belongs to a particular community, such population or families may, as far as possible, be resettle den masseur a compact area, so that socio-cultural relations and social harmony amongst the shifted families are not disturbed.
- b) In the case of resettlement of the Scheduled Caste affected families, it may, as far as possible, be ensured that such families are resettled in the areas close to the villages.

The appropriate Government shall ensure that a resettlement area forms part of a gram panchayat or municipality.

5.8.3 Indexation of Rehabilitation grant and other benefits

The rehabilitation grants and other benefits expressed in monetary terms in this policy shall be indexed to the Consumer Price Index (CPI) with the first day of April following the date of coming into force of this policy as the reference date, and the same shall also be revised by the appropriate

Engineering Consultants

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Government at suitable intervals.

5.8.4 Periphery Development

In case of a project involving land acquisition on behalf of a requiring body, the requiring body will be responsible for development of the defined geographic area on the periphery of the project site as decided by the appropriate Government, and will be required to contribute to the socio-economic development of the areas contiguous to its area of operation. For this purpose, the requiring body will earmark a percentage of its net profit or, in case no profit is declared by the requiring body in a particular year, for that year, such minimum alternative amount as may be determined by the appropriate Government after consultation with the requiring body, to be spent within the specified zone. The requiring body will carry out the developmental activity within this zone in close coordination with the Commissioner for Rehabilitation and Resettlement. The State Governments will be free to frame their own rules and guidelines for this purpose.



Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

5.9 GENDER IMPACT AND MITIGATIVE MEASURES

5.9.1 Introduction

The social economical survey and census survey to the project sites identified some critical social issues related to gender impacts associated with project impacts. Typically, vulnerability is relatively higher among this group. Dislocation and loss of livelihood caused by road widening and development may further aggravate their disadvantaged situation, unless special attention is paid to them. This chapter particularly examines the issues from social safeguard considerations to develop specific mitigation measure.

5.9.2 Women's Participation in the Project

The gender composition of DPs shows that the male accounts for 51% and female accounts for 49%. The gender disparity is visible in lower sex ratio among DPs i.e. 975 against state level statistic having 985.

The Gender Development Index (GDI) value for India is very low and the socio-economic profile of the project area shows much lower socio-economic standing for women. They are largely involved in domestic work and have very low economic participation rate (i.e. productive or gainful employment). In the project, women are affected in a variety of ways. For example, they face hardship and stress and continue to suffer during the transition period until the time the project affected households are able to regain their lost income and livelihood. Often, the duration of this process is lengthened due to delays in payment of compensation, rehabilitation assistance and implementing the R&R., reconstructing the livelihood systems. The longer the transition period, more would be the miseries for women. The vulnerability of women headed households has been addressed in the RAP with social attention and gender specific attention. During project implementation, project affected women will receive preferential treatment for the civil work in the project. Each field team of the RAP implementation agencies/partner agencies shall include at least one-woman investigator/facilitator. The PIU will ensure that the women are consulted and invited to participate in group based activities to gain access and control over the resource as a part of the RAP. The monitoring and evaluation team(s) shall include woman. Further, during RAP implementation, PIU will make sure that women are actually taking part in issuance of identity cards, opening accounts in the bank, receiving compensation amounts by cheque in their names. This will further widen the perspective of participation by the women in the project implementation. The implementing agencies will provide training for upgrading women's skill for alternative livelihoods and income restoration.

5.9.3 HIV/ AIDS and Health Risks

HIV/ AIDS are major development challenges in India. Given the epidemic nature of the problem, it may reverse India's achievements in health and development. According to National AIDS Control Organization (NACO) HIV estimates for 2011, India has close to 4 million HIV infected people. This is less than one percent of the adult population but still more than any country in the region. It is estimated that HIV infection could grow to 5 percent of adult population – more than 57 million by 2016 without successful intervention. HIV infection is typically concentrated among the poor

Engineering Consultants

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

marginalized groups including sex workers, drug users, migrant laborers and truck drivers. These groups, particularly the truckers drive the HIV/AIDS epidemic and many studies indicate that infection is spreading rapidly to the general population. Recently, the Government of India (GOI) has shown increasing commitment to HIV/AIDS control. GOI established a consortium like collaboration of external partners (UNAIDS, USAID, DFID, CIDA and others) to provide technical and financial assistance to NACO to design and help implement GOI's national policy on HIV/AIDS control before mass spread into general community. There is need to improve awareness level in the state, particularly in the project area. Information and education campaign on HIV/AIDS and other sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) will be conducted by PIU during project implementation. The campaign will target the project construction workers at campsites, truckers at truck stops and dhabas and the public at large along the alignment. The PIU will work closely with the relevant state agencies and other proposed networks dedicated to prevention work for further building up of awareness programs in the project area. HIV/AIDS awareness brochures would also be developed for distribution to local communities, local markets, truck/bus stations and other appropriate places to increase awareness about risks/dangers of HIV/AIDS. This would ultimately lead to lowering the risk for the general community in the project affected area.

The Project Area is affected by HIV/AIDS as per NACO reports on 2011 on the study for 2005, 2006 and 2007 the district of the least HIV/AIDS affected districts and the district of Churachandpur lies in the Category A, the second standard HIV/AIDS affected districts of India. As per the guidelines of ADB's SPS 2009 and subsequent publications proper and effective Awareness campaign both in regard of Gender and HIV/AIDS is to be undertaken by the Project Proponent.



Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

5.10 PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Public Consultations or community participation is an integral part and process of any projects which involves resettlement or rehabilitation issues. It helps to incorporate valuable indigenous suggestions and perceptions of development. In the process, stakeholders get the opportunity to address issues, which are resolved after making appropriate changes in design and alternative finalization. The stakeholders become aware of the development schemes and at the same time influence and share to control over these initiatives, decisions and resources. Community consultations also help to avoid opposition to the project, which is otherwise likely to occur. The Table briefly depicts the plan and implementation of Public Consultation and Disclosure of the Project.

Table 5.17: Public Consultation and Disclosure Implementation and Plan

Activity	Task	Timing (Date/ Period)	No of People	Agencies	Feedback/ Issues/ Concerns Raised	Remarks
Stakeholder Identification	Mapping of the project area					
Project information Dissemination	Distribution of information leaflets to Displaced persons (DPs)					
Consultative Meetings with DPs during Scoping Phase	Discuss potential impacts of the project					
Public Notification	Publish list of affected lands/sites in a local newspaper; Establish eligibility cut-off date					
Socio-Economic Survey	Collect socioeconomic information on DP's perception on the project					
Consultative Meetings on Resettlement Mitigation Measures	Discuss entitlements, compensation rates, grievance redress mechanisms					
Publicize the resettlement plan (RP)	Distribute Leaflets or Booklets in local language					
Full Disclosure of the RP to DPs	Distribute RP in local language to DPs					
Web Disclosure of the RP	RP posted on NHIDCL and/or EA website					
Consultative Meetings during DMS	Face to face meetings with DPs					
Disclosure after Detailed Measurement Survey (DMS)	Disclose updated RP to DPs					
Web Disclosure of the Updated RP	Updated RP posted on NHIDCL and/or EA website					

The overall objectives of the consultation program in preparing RAP were to disseminate project information and to incorporate public and DP's views in Resettlement and Environmental Action Plans, which are guided by specific objectives like:

Awareness amongst stakeholders by disclosing the updated R.P. according to GOI's involuntary resettlement policy.

CETEST Engineering Consultants

- Improvement in project design minimising potential conflicts and delays in implementation.
- Facilitate development of appropriate and acceptable entitlement options.
- Increase project sustainability.
- Reduce problems of institutional co-ordination.
- ❖ Make the R&R process transparent and reduce leakage.
- Increase re-settler commitment, ensure effectiveness and sustainability of the income restoration strategies, and improve coping mechanisms.
- Creating sense of belongingness among the stakeholders.

5.10.1 Identification of the stakeholders

The stakeholders are all the people getting affected by the project or are responsible for the project, whether directly or indirectly. The community participation programmes in social assessment ensured that information is disseminated to all the DPs and other stakeholders in appropriate ways. The information dissemination has taken place in vernacular, detailing about the main project features and the entitlement framework. Due consideration has also been given to address the views of the vulnerable groups.

Certain issues conditioned the participation of the stakeholders, as follows:

- ❖ Who might be affected (positively or negatively) by the proposed development?
- Who are voiceless for whom special efforts may have to be made?
- Who are representatives of those likely to be affected?
- Who is responsible for what is intended?
- Who can make what is intended more effective through their participation or less effective by their non-participation or outright opposition?
- Who can contribute financial and technical resources?
- Whose behaviour has to change for the effort to succeed?
- Both primary and secondary stakeholders were identified, based on the above criteria. They were invited to take part in the consultation series, and were solicited to participate in planning and implementation of the R&R programme.

Primary stakeholders included those affected negatively or positively by the project, like the DPS, project beneficiaries and project implementing agencies. Secondary stakeholders included other individuals and groups, with an interest in the project, viz., the NHIDCL, the highway users etc.

5.10.2 Discloser of Project Information

The sharing of information is essential for sustainable development. It stimulates public debate on and broadens understanding of development issues, and enhances transparency and accountability in the development process. It also strengthens public support to improve the lives of people, facilitates collaboration among the many parties involved in development, and improves the quality



Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

of projects and programs. It is now accepted everywhere that the expanded access to information by the public will enhance the dialogue on development, and make an important contribution to efforts to reduce poverty and promote sustainable development. In this development project the discloser of project information (during the feasibility stage) to the public in general and to the people who are likely to be impacted negatively in particular have been done by way of consultation process. During the consultation session it was observed that the local people are aware of this project through local newspaper, published from time to time.

5.10.3 Consultations for Determining Principle

The consultation process is not only targeted at project information dissemination to the people but another important aspect covered is determining of principle for formulating an entitlement frame work and eligibility policy for the project. The consultation process throws light of the people's expectations, aspirations etc. from the project as well as their expectations in terms of compensation and assistance from the project in case of adverse impacts.

5.10.4 Participants at different levels

The extent or the likely level of adverse impacts was one of the major criteria in deciding locations for public consultation sessions. The consultation programme has been tiered and conducted at several levels, such as:

- Heads of the households, likely to be impacted
- Members of the households, likely to be impacted
- Clusters of DPS
- Villagers
- Village Panchayats
- Local voluntary organisations and CB0s/NG0s
- Government agencies and departments

5.10.5 Levels of Consultation

The enactment of the participation and consultations with the stakeholders has been done at different levels throughout the project preparation stage. The Public Consultation was carried out at various stages of project preparation: Social Screening stage and Feasibility stage.

5.10.6 Consultation during Project Preparation

The Census Survey Team carried out preliminary consultations through Focus Group Discussions (FGD) and meetings with the DPs as well as the general public in the project area. FGDs were conducted primarily in settlements with problems of traffic congestion, dense informal/squatter settlement, close junctions and road intersections, and concentration of DPs. During the survey, intensive discussion and consultation meetings were conducted with large number of DPs in nearly every affected village wherein policy related issues; displacements and other related issues were discussed. Suggestions and comments by DPs were incorporated in the project road design as well as



the policy measures for resettlement management. Public discussions were conducted at important points, where people could assemble in large numbers. Panchayat members were contacted to inform the people. The Team also had informal meetings with village head, panchayat and other district level government officials, leaders of local level organization /association, trucker's association, and village women groups.

Illustration of Public Consultation meetings at our project corridor are tagged below:

Chairman and all the officials of the Village Council explain the local people about the project and its impacts and also promised that they would extend their co-operation in our Project work. During the implementation of the project.

Suggestions are given below:

- Village authority has satisfied as the proposed alignment would be built minimizing resettlement impact in the locality.
- Local People satisfied that the proposed alignment would be increase connectivity.

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.

5.10.7 Plan for further Consultation in the Project

The effectiveness of the R&R program is directly related to the degree of continuing involvement of those affected by the Project. Several additional rounds of consultations with DPs will form part of the further stages of project preparation and implementation. PIU conducting these consultations during RP implementation, which will involve agreements on compensation, assistance options, and entitlement package and income restoration measures suggested for the sub-project. The consultation will continue throughout the project implementation. The following set of activities will be undertaken for effective implementation of the Plan:

In case of any change in engineering alignment planning the DPs and other stakeholders will be consulted in selection of road alignment for minimization of resettlement impacts, development of mitigation measures etc.

The Project Implementation Unit (PIU) will conduct information dissemination sessions in the project area and solicit the help of the local community/ leaders and encourage the participation of the DP's in Plan implementation.

During the implementation of RP, PIU will organize public meetings, and will appraise the communities about the progress in the implementation of project works, including awareness regarding road construction.

Consultation and focus group discussions will be conducted with the vulnerable groups like women, SC, ST, and OBC's to ensure that the vulnerable groups understand the process and their needs are specifically taken into consideration.

To make reasonable representation of women in the project planning and implementation they will be specifically involved in consultation.

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR



Revision: RO April, 2020

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

5.10.8 Information Disclosure

To keep more transparency in planning and for further active involvement of DPs and other stakeholders the project information will be disseminated through disclosure of resettlement planning documents. The EA will submit the following documents to NHIDCL for disclosure on NHIDCL's website:

- The final resettlement plan endorsed by the EA after the census of displaced persons has been completed;
- A new resettlement plan or an updated resettlement plan, and a corrective action plan prepared during project implementation, if any; and
- The resettlement monitoring reports.

The EA will provide relevant resettlement information, including information from the above mentioned documents in a timely manner, in an accessible place and in a form and language(s) understandable to affected persons and other stakeholders. A resettlement information leaflet containing information on compensation, entitlement and resettlement management adopted for the project will be made available in local language (Manipuri) and distributed to DPs.

.



Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

5.11 OBJECTIVES AND POLICY FRAME WORK

This Resettlement Plan (RP) has been prepared in accordance with National Highway Safeguard Policies and RFCTLARR Act, 2013 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014. Policies are designed to protect the rights of the affected persons and communities. The primary objectives of the RP are to mitigate the adverse impacts of the project and to assist the displaced persons (DPs) in resettlement and restoration of their income and livelihoods.

The legal framework and principles adopted for addressing resettlement issues in the Project have been guided by the proposed legislation and policies of the GOI, the state Government of Manipur, National Highway Authority of India and in accordance with the principles of NHIDCL. Prior to the preparation of the Resettlement Plan, a detailed analysis of the proposed national and state policies was undertaken and an entitlement matrix has been prepared for the entire program. The section below provides details of the various national and state level legislations studied and their applicability within this framework. This RP is prepared based on the review and analysis of all applicable legal and policy frameworks of the country and NHIDCL's policy requirements. A summary of applicable acts and policies is presented in the following paragraphs and the detailed policy review and comparison is provided in the entitlement matrix.

5.11.1 Objectives of the Policy

The objectives of the Policy are as follows: -

To minimize displacement and to identify non-displacing or least-displacing alternatives;

To plan the resettlement and rehabilitation of Project Affected Families, (PAFs) including special needs of Tribal and vulnerable sections;

To provide better standard of living to DPs; and

To facilitate harmonious relationship between the Requiring Body and DPs through mutual cooperation.

5.11.2 The National Highways Act

For LA, the Act defines the various DPs of the process as follows: (i) section 3A - power to acquire land; (ii) 3B - power to enter for surveys; (iii) 3C - hearing of objections; (iv) 3D - declaration of acquisition; (v) 3E - power to take possession; (vi) 3F - power to enter into the land where land has vested in the central government; (vii) 3G - determination of amount payable as compensation; and (viii) 3F - deposit and payment of amount. The Act requires that the processes must be completed within a year from 3A to 3D. The acquisition process is faster due to central government coordination and provision for arbitration or power of civil court for trying any LA-related dispute. Although NHAI Act significantly reduces the time frame for acquisition, the rules and principles of compensation are derived from the LA Act of 1894 amended from time to time. The Act covers only legal title holders and provides for: (i) market value of the land; (ii) a solarium of 30% on the market value for compulsory acquisition; (iii) additional amount for trees, crops, houses or other immovable properties; (iv) damage due to severing of land, residence, place of business; (v) compensation to sharecroppers for loss of earning; and (vi) an interest of 12% on the market value from the date of

CETEST Engineering Consultants

notification to award.

The LA Act does not address many of the social and economic issues associated with displacement and resettlement of 'illegal" or non-titled informal settlers/squatters. However, in many donor-funded or DFBOT (Design Finance Built Operate Transfer) projects, EA assisted affected and/or displaced persons even without any legal title. The impacts of the present project are also on the roadside SBEs/households - people who are "non titled" informal dwellers and encroachers.

5.11.3 Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013.

The Government of India (GoI) in December 2013 had enacted RFCTLARR Act, 2014 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014 from 1st January 2015. It recognizes the following essential features:

- That Displaced Households (DHs) not only lose their lands, other assets and livelihoods, they also experience adverse psychological social/cultural consequences.
- The need to minimize large-scale displacement and where displacement and where inevitable, resettlement and rehabilitation has to be handled with care. This is especially necessary for tribal, small and marginal farmers and women.
- That cash compensation alone is often inadequate to replace agricultural land, homesteads and other resources. Landless labour, forest dwellers, tenants, artisans are not eligible for cash compensation. The need to provide relief especially to the rural poor (with no assets) and marginal farmers, SCs/STs and women; the revised draft of NPRR include poor (BPL) and deprived groups, vulnerable, an ex-gratia of Rs 20,000/- for linear acquisition, per family.
- The importance of dialogue between DFs and the administration; responsible for resettlement for smoother implementation of projects R&R.

Displaced agricultural labourer who has been working for a period of minimum three years and who used to earn his livelihood by working on the land which is now under acquisition and who has become jobless because of the acquisition, shall be entitled for onetime payment of two hundred days' wages as fixed by the govt. under minimum wages act and shall also be entitled for National/State level job card under National Rural Employment Guarantee Program.

The policy specifies that the entire cost for Resettlement and Rehabilitation, in addition to the cost of acquisition of land shall be borne by the respective requisitioning authorities. The Requisitioning Authority shall deposit an amount equivalent to 0.5 percent of the estimated cost of land under acquisition for the project to the Collector-cum-Administrator, Resettlement and Rehabilitation through a bank draft subject to maximum of Rs. 2 lacs (Two lacs) only. This amount shall be over and above the amount paid for establishment expenditure under LAA. This additional amount shall be paid for outsourcing the work of survey for Resettlement, Monitoring, Stationeries, POL and other incidentals like vehicle, Computer, Computer Operator, Amins, Drafts man, Chainman etc.

5.11.4 NHIDCL Policy of Social Safeguard

The NHIDCL Guidelines for Confirmation of Environmental and Social Considerations refer not only to



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: RO April, 2020

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

the natural environment, but also to social issues such as involuntary resettlement and respect for the human rights of indigenous peoples.

The objective of the Guidelines is to encourage project proponents seeking funding from NHIDCL to implement appropriate environmental and social considerations in accordance with the Guidelines. In doing so, it endeavours to ensure transparency, predictability and accountability in its confirmation of environmental and social considerations.

One of the basic principles of Guidelines regarding confirmation of environmental and social considerations is that the responsibility for environmental and social considerations for the project shall be that of the project proponent. NHIDCL confirms environmental and social considerations by undertaking screening, environmental review, and monitoring and follow ups.

Environmental and social considerations required for funded projects cover underlying principles, examination of measures, scope of impact to be examined, compliance with laws, standards and plans, social acceptability and social impacts, involuntary resettlement, indigenous peoples and monitoring.

The following are summary of requirements under the Guidelines. Social acceptability and social impacts

Projects must be adequately coordinated so that they are accepted in a manner that is socially appropriate to the country and locality in which the project is planned. For projects with a potentially large environment impact, sufficient consultations with stakeholders, such as local residents, must be conducted via disclosure of information from an early stage where alternative proposals for the project plans may be examined. The outcome of such consultations must be incorporated into the contents of the project plan; and

Appropriate consideration must be given to vulnerable social groups, such as women, children, the elderly, the poor and ethnic minorities who are susceptible to environmental and social impact and who may have little access to the decision-making process within society.

5.11.5 Involuntary resettlement

Involuntary resettlement and loss of means of livelihood are to be avoided where feasible, exploring all viable alternatives. When, after such examination, it is proved unfeasible, effective measures to minimize impact and to compensate for losses must be agreed upon with the people who will be affected;

People to be resettled involuntarily and people whose means of livelihood will be hindered or lost must be sufficiently compensated and supported by project proponents, etc., in a timely manner. The project proponents, etc. must make efforts to enable people affected by project, to improve their standard of living,

Income opportunities and production levels, or at least to restore them to pre project levels. Measures to achieve this may include: providing land and monetary compensation for losses (to cover land and property losses), supporting the means for an alternative sustainable livelihood, and providing expenses necessary for relocation and re-establishment of community at relocation sites;

Engineering Consultants

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

and

Appropriate participation by the people affected and their communities must be promoted in the planning, implementation and monitoring of involuntary resettlement plans and measures against the loss of their means of livelihood.

5.11.6 Indigenous peoples

When a project may have adverse impact on indigenous peoples, all of their rights in relation to land and resources must be respected in accordance with the spirit of the relevant international declarations and treaties. Efforts must be made to obtain the consent of indigenous peoples after they have fully informed.

5.11.7 National Highway Policy of Social Considerations

The NHAI Guidelines for Confirmation of Environmental and Social Considerations refer not only to the natural environment, but also to social issues such as involuntary resettlement and respect for the human rights of indigenous peoples.

The objective of the Guidelines is to encourage project proponents seeking funding from MoRTH or Funding Agency to implement appropriate environmental and social considerations in accordance with the Guidelines. In doing so, it endeavors to ensure transparency, predictability and accountability in its confirmation of environmental and social considerations.

One of the basic principles of Guidelines regarding confirmation of environmental and social considerations is that the responsibility for environmental and social considerations for the project shall be that of the project proponent. NHIDCL confirms environmental and social considerations by undertaking screening, environmental review, and monitoring and follow ups.

Environmental and social considerations required for funded projects cover underlying principles, examination of measures, scope of impact to be examined, compliance with laws, standards and plans, social acceptability and social impacts, involuntary resettlement, indigenous peoples and monitoring.

The following are summary of requirements under the Guidelines.

Social acceptability and social impacts

Projects must be adequately coordinated so that they are accepted in a manner that is socially appropriate to the country and locality in which the project is planned. For projects with a potentially large environment impact, sufficient consultations with stakeholders, such as local residents, must be conducted via disclosure of information from an early stage where alternative proposals for the project plans may be examined. The outcome of such consultations must be incorporated into the contents of the project plan; and

Appropriate consideration must be given to vulnerable social groups, such as women, children, the elderly, the poor and ethnic minorities who are susceptible to environmental and social impact and who may have little access to the decision-making process within society.



5.11.8 Policy Framework for this Project

Based on the above analysis of applicable legal and policy frameworks of the country and in consistent with state policy requirements the broad resettlement principle for this project shall be the following:

The involuntary resettlement would be avoided wherever possible or minimized as much as possible by exploring project and design alternatives.

The Project or all sub-projects under the program will be screened to identify past, present, and future involuntary resettlement impacts and risks. The scope of resettlement planning will be determined through a survey and/or census of displaced persons, including a gender analysis, specifically related to resettlement impacts and risks.

Meaningful consultations with affected persons, host communities, PIU will be carried out and all displaced persons will be informed of their entitlements and resettlement options. DP's participation in planning, implementation, and monitoring and reporting of resettlement programs will be ensured.

Particular attention will be paid to the needs of vulnerable groups, especially those below the poverty line, the landless, the elderly, women and children, and Indigenous Peoples, and those without legal title to land, and ensure their participation in consultations.

An effective grievance redress mechanism will be established to receive and facilitate resolution of the displaced persons' concerns. The social and cultural institutions of displaced persons and their host population will be supported through proper planning. Where involuntary resettlement impacts and risks are highly complex and sensitive, compensation and resettlement decisions should be preceded by a social preparation phase.

The livelihoods of all displaced persons will be improved or at least restored through (i) land-based resettlement strategies when affected livelihoods are land based where possible or cash compensation at replacement value for land when the loss of land does not undermine livelihoods, (ii) prompt replacement of assets with access to assets of equal or higher value, (iii) prompt compensation at full replacement cost for assets that cannot be restored, and (iv) additional revenues and services through benefit sharing schemes where possible.

Physically and economically displaced persons will be provided with needed assistance, including (i) if there is relocation, secured tenure to relocation land, better housing at resettlement sites with comparable access to employment and production opportunities, integration of resettled persons economically and socially into their host communities, and extension of project benefits to host communities; (ii) Transportation support and development assistance, such as land development, credit facilities, training, or employment opportunities; and (iii) civic infrastructure and community services, as required.

The standards of living of the displaced poor and other vulnerable groups, including women, will be improved to at least national minimum standards. In rural areas legal and affordable access to land and resources will be provided, and in urban areas appropriate income sources and legal and affordable access to adequate housing will be provided to the displaced poor.

CETEST Engineering Consultants

If land acquisition is through negotiated settlement, procedures will be developed in a transparent, consistent, and equitable manner to ensure that those people who enter into negotiated settlements will maintain the same or better income and livelihood status. If, however, the negotiated settlement fails, the normal procedure of land acquisition will be followed.

Displaced persons without titles to land or any recognizable legal rights to land will be ensured that they are eligible for resettlement assistance and compensation for loss of non-land assets.

A resettlement plan will be prepared elaborating on displaced persons' entitlements, the income and livelihood restoration strategy, institutional arrangements, monitoring and reporting framework, budget, and time-bound implementation schedule.

The draft resettlement plan, including documentation of the consultation process will be disclosed in a timely manner, before project appraisal, in an accessible place and in a form and language(s) understandable to affected persons and other stakeholders. The final resettlement plan and its updates will also be disclosed to displaced persons and other stakeholders.

Involuntary resettlement will be conceived and executed as part of a development project or program. Full costs of resettlement will be included in the presentation of project's costs and benefits. For a project with significant involuntary resettlement impacts, consider implementing the involuntary resettlement component of the project as a stand-alone operation.

All compensation will be paid and other resettlement entitlements will be provided before physical or economic displacement. The resettlement plan will be implemented under close supervision throughout project implementation.

Resettlement outcomes, their impacts on the standards of living of displaced persons will be monitored; it will be accessed whether the objectives of the resettlement plan have been achieved by taking into account the baseline conditions and the results of resettlement monitoring. Monitoring reports will be disclosed to DPs.

Land acquisition for the project would be done as per both National Highway Safeguard Policies and the RFCTLARR ACT, 2013 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014. To meet the replacement cost of land payment of compensation in revised market rate.

The uneconomic residual land remaining after land acquisition will be acquired as per the provisions of Land Acquisition Act. The owner of such land/property will have the right to seek acquisition of his entire contiguous holding/ property provided the residual land is less than the average land holding of the district.

People moving in the project area after the cut-off date will not be entitled to any assistance. In case of land acquisition, the date of publication of preliminary notification for acquisition under the RFCTLARR Act, 2013 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014 will be treated as the cut-off date.

All common property resources (CPR) lost due to the project will be replaced or compensated by the project.

The project will recognize three types of displaced persons like (i) persons with formal legal rights to



Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

land lost in its entirety or in part; (ii) persons who lost the land they occupy in its entirety or in part who have no formal legal rights to such land, but who have claims to such lands that are recognized or recognizable under national laws; and (iii) persons who lost the land they occupy in its entirety or in part who have neither formal legal rights nor recognized or recognizable claims to such land. The involuntary resettlement requirements apply to all three types of displaced persons.

5.11.9 Methodology for Determination of Valuation of Assets

All lands proposed to be acquired under this project will be compensated as per replacement cost. Land surveys to determine compensation rates will be conducted on the basis current land use and assessment of market value. Records as they are on the cut-off date will be taken into consideration while determining the current use of land. The EA will determine the replacement cost as per RFCTLARR ACT, 2013 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014 based on market survey and in consultation with DPs. After notification for acquisition as per National Highway Safeguard Policies, the EA will negotiate with DPs for voluntary acquisition and ensure payment of additional registration cost and solatium to all DPs. The EA will ensure that the rates established for the project are sufficient to purchase the same quality and quantity of land in the specific area.

The compensation for houses, buildings and other immovable properties will be determined on the basis of replacement cost as on date without depreciation. The EA will determine the replacement cost of structures in consultation with the owners by assessing (i) sources and cost of materials, whether the materials are locally available; (ii) type of shops (private or state-owned); (iii) distance to be traveled to procure materials; (iv) obtaining cost estimates through consultation with three contractors/suppliers in order to identify cost of materials and labor; (v) identifying the cost of different types of houses of different categories and compare the same with district level prices.

Cash compensation for properties belonging to the community if opted by the community, will be provided to enable construction of the same at new places through the community/ local self-governing bodies / appropriate authority in accordance with the modalities determined by such bodies / authority to ensure correct use of the amount of compensation.

Compensation for trees will be based on their market value. Loss of timber trees will be compensated at their replacement cost while the compensation for the loss of fruit bearing trees will be calculated as annual produce value for at next 15 years depending on the nature of crops/trees.

5.11.10 Procedure and Steps of Land Acquisition

The land acquisition in this project context will be accordingly the RFCTLARR Act, 2013 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014, along with additional provision made under RFCTLARR ACT, 2013 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014. The process for land acquisition in the project will be as follows:

- All the land identified for the project will be placed under the RFCTLARR ACT, 2013 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014 and a notification with Government's intension to acquire land will be issued by the District Collector (DC).
- Objections if any must be made within 30 days to the District Collector by the landowners.



Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

- The land will be then placed under the LA Authority where a declaration will be made by the Government for acquisition of land for public purpose.
- The DC will take steps for the acquisition, and the land is placed and notice will be issued by the DC in the name of persons interested.
- Once the land is placed under the EA will negotiate with DPs for voluntary acquisition to ensure payment of additional registration cost and solatium to all DPs.
- The DC will make declaration of award and disburse the compensation to the DPs



Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

5.12 ENTITLEMENTS, ASSISTANCE AND BENEFITS

5.12.1 Definition of DPs and Eligibility

The project will have three types of displaced persons i.e., (i) persons with formal legal rights to land lost in its entirety or in part; (ii) persons who lost the land they occupy in its entirety or in part who have no formal legal rights to such land, but who have claims to such lands that are recognized or recognizable under national laws; and (iii) persons who lost the land they occupy in its entirety or in part who have neither formal legal rights nor recognized or recognizable claims to such land. The involuntary resettlement requirements apply to all three types of displaced persons. DPs entitled for compensation, assistance and rehabilitation provisions under the sub project are:

All DPs losing land either covered by formal legal title, recognizable title, or without legal status;

Tenants and sharecroppers whether registered or not;

Owners of buildings, crops, plants, or other objects attached to the land; and DPs losing business, income, and salaries

Compensation eligibility is limited by a cut-off date as set for this project on the day of the beginning of the census survey which is March, 2020 or as decided by the EA. DPs who settle in the affected areas after the cut-off date will not be eligible for compensation. They, however, will be given sufficient advance notice, requested to vacate premises and dismantle affected structures prior to project implementation. Their dismantled structures materials will not be confiscated and they will not pay any fine or suffer any sanction.

5.12.2 Entitlements

The entitlement provisions various categories of DPs in terms loss of land house and income as per census survey are detailed below:

Agricultural land impacts will be compensated at replacement cost. Cash compensation at replacement cost will be determined according to RFCTLARR Act, 2013 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014. If the residual plot(s) is (are) not viable, i.e., the DP becomes a marginal farmer, three options are to be given to the DP, subject to his acceptance which are (i) The DP remains on the plot, and the compensation and assistance paid to the tune of required amount of land to be acquired, (ii) Compensation and assistance are to be provided for the entire plot including residual part, if the owner of such land wishes that his residual plot should also be acquired by the EA, the EA will acquire the residual plot and pay the compensation for it and (iii) If the DP is from vulnerable group, compensation for the entire land by means of land for land will be provided if DP wishes so, provided that land of equal productive value is available. All fees, stamp duties, taxes and other charges, as applicable under the relevant laws, incurred in the relocation and rehabilitation process, are to be borne by the EA.

Loss of homestead /Commercial land will be compensated at replacement cost. Cash compensation at replacement cost will be determined according to RFCTLARR Act, 2013 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014. All fees, stamp duties, taxes and other charges, as applicable under the relevant laws, incurred in the relocation and rehabilitation process, are to be borne by the EA.

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

Loss of Structures Residential/Commercial/Other will be compensated at replacement value with other assistance. The details on the determination of compensation will be as (i) Compensation of structure will be paid at the replacement cost to be calculated as per latest prevailing basic schedules of rates (BSR) without depreciation or the replacement cost, (ii) Shifting assistance of Rs. 50,000/-, (iii) Right to salvage material from demolished structure and frontage etc., and (iv) Rental assistance as per the prevalent rate in the form of grant to cover maximum three month rentals.

Loss of rental accommodation by the tenants will be compensated as rental assistance and shifting assistance. The details assistance will be as per (i) Rental assistance for both residential & commercial tenants as per the prevalent rate in the form of grant to cover maximum three month rentals, (ii) Additional structures erected by tenants will also be compensated and deducted from owner's compensation amount, (iii) Shifting assistance based on type of house and household assets, (iv) Any advance deposited by the tenants will be refunded from owners total compensation package to the tenant on submission of documentary evidences and (v) Right to salvage material from demolished structure and frontage etc. erected by tenants

Loss of Trees will be compensated to Land holders, Share- croppers and Lease holders based on the market value to be computed with assistance of horticulture department. This can further be detailed in specific ways such as (i) Advance notice to DPs to harvest fruits and remove trees, (ii) b) For fruit bearing trees compensation at average fruit production for next 15 years to be computed at current market value and (iii) For timber trees compensation at market cost based on kind of trees

Loss of Crops will be compensated to Land holders, Share- croppers and Lease holders based on the market value to be computed with assistance of agricultural department. The detailed compensation methods are (i) Advance notice to DPs to harvest crops and (ii) In case of standing crops, cash compensation at current market cost to be calculated of mature crops based on average production.

Loss of Livelihood due to Loss of primary source of income will be compensated through rehabilitation assistances. There are various categories of entitled persons under this category which are (i) Titleholders losing income through business, (ii) Titleholders losing income through agriculture, (iii) Wage earning employees indirectly affected due to displacement of commercial structure, (iv) Agricultural labourer/share-cropper and (v) Licensed mobile vendors and kiosk operators. Details of entitlements for the above categories are described below:

Title holders losing their business establishment due to displacement will be provided rehabilitation assistance through a lump sum Transportation allowance of Rs. 9,000/-

Titleholders losing income through agriculture will be provided with the rehabilitation assistance which are (i) Training Assistance will be provided for income generating vocational training and skill up gradation options as per DPs choice at the rate of Rs. 5,000 per affected household to those households losing their primary source of income and (ii) Employment opportunity for DPs in the road construction work, if available and if so desired by them.

Wage earning employees indirectly affected due to displacement of commercial structure will be assisted through rehabilitation assistance which are; (i) persons indirectly affected due to the employer having being displaced, on case-by-case, based on local wage rates for three months, (ii)

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Revision: RO April, 2020

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

Employment opportunity for DPs in the road construction work, if available and if so desired by them, or (iii) National/State level job card under National Rural Employment Guarantee Program.

Rehabilitation assistance for Agricultural labourer/share-cropper will be paid as per the details such as (i) Assistance is to be paid as per the prevailing local wage rates for 100 days., (ii) b) Employment opportunity for DPs in the road construction work, if available and if so desired by them, or (iii) National/State level job card under National Rural Employment Guarantee Program.

Licensed mobile vendors and kiosk operators will be provided with the rehabilitation assistance which are (i) Mobile vendors are not eligible for compensation or assistance (ii) Those mobile vendors in possession of a permit from local authorities to operate in the affected area will be treated as kiosks operators, (iii) Kiosk operators and vendors licensed to operate from affected locations will be entitled to a one time lump sum assistance of Rs. 10,000/-.

Loss of community infrastructure/common property resources will be compensated either by cash compensation at replacement cost or reconstruction of the community structure in consultation with the affected community

Additional Assistance to vulnerable groups (Vulnerable households including BPL, SC, ST, WHH, disabled and elderly) will be paid with Special Assistance which will be one time lump sum assistance of Rs. 20,000/ to vulnerable households. This will be paid above and over the other assistance(s) as per this entitlement matrix.

Other Unanticipated Impacts (Temporary impact during construction like disruption of normal traffic, damage to adjacent parcel of land / assets due to movement of heavy machinery and plant site) will be compensated to either individual or community in the form of (i) The contractor shall bear the cost of any impact on structure or land due to movement of machinery during construction or establishment of construction plant and (ii) All temporary use of lands outside proposed RoW to be through written approval of the landowner and contractor. Location of Construction camps by contractors in consultation with RCD.

Any unanticipated impacts (if any) due to the project will be documented and mitigated based on the spirit of the principle agreed upon in this entitlement matrix.

5.12.3 Entitlement Matrix

Compensation for the lost assets to all displaced persons will be paid on the basis of replacement cost. Resettlement assistance for lost income and livelihoods will be provided to title holders. Special resettlement and rehabilitation measures will be made available to the "Vulnerable Group" comprises of DPs living below poverty line (BPL), SC, ST, women headed households, the elderly and the disabled. An Entitlement Matrix has been formulated, which recognizes and lists various types of losses resulting out of the project and specific compensation and resettlement packages.



Table 5.18: Entitlement Matrix

SI.	Impact Category	Entitle	ements	Implementation Guidelines			
PAF	PART I.TITLE HOLDERS-Compensation for Loss of Private Property						
1	Loss of Land (agricultural, homestead, commercial or otherwise)	1.1	Compensation for land at Replacement Cost or Land for land, where feasible	Land will be acquired by the competent authority in accordance with the provisions of RFCTLARR Act, 2013. Replacement cost for Land will be, higher of (i) market value as per Indian Stamp Act, 1899 for the registration of sale deed or agreements to sell, in the area where land is situated; or (ii) average sale price for similar type of land, situated in the nearest village or nearest vicinity area, ascertained from the highest 50% of sale deeds of the preceding 3years; or (iii) consented amount paid for PPPs or private companies. Plus 100%solatium and 12%interest from date of notification to award. The multiplier factor adopted by GoM for land in rural area, based on the distance from urban area to the affected area, will be applied. In case of severance of land, house, manufactory or other building, As per Section 94(1), the whole land and /or structure shall be acquired, if the owner so desires.			
2	Loss of Structure (house, shop, building or immovable property or assets attached to the land)	2.1	Compensation at replacement cost	The market value of structures and other immovable properties will be determined by replacement cost and/or PWD on the basis of relevant PWD Schedule of rates (SR)N as on date without depreciation. Plus 100% solatium For partly affected structures, the DP will have the option of —claiming compensation for the entire structure, if the remaining portion is unviable.			



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 2020

	RT II. REHABILITATION A pendent on Land Acquire		ETTLEMENT- Both Land Owners an	nd Families Whose Livelihood is Primarily
3	Loss of land	3.1	Employment to at least one member per affected family in the project or arrange for a job in such other project as may be required after providing suitable training and skill development in the required field and at a rate not lower than the minimum wages provided for in any other law for the time being in force. Or One-time payment of Rs.5,00,000/-for each affected household. Or Annuity policy that shall pay Rs, 2000/- per month for 20 years with appropriate indexation to CPIAL	
		3.2	Monthly subsistence allowance of Rs, 3000/-per month for a period of one year to affected households who require to relocate due to the project	
		3.3	Transportation assistance of Rs, 50,000/- for affected households who require to relocate due to the project	
		3.4	One time assistance of Rs, 25,000/- to all those who loss a cattle shed	
		3.5	One time Resettlement Allowance of Rs, 50,000/- for affected house \hold who have to relocate	
		3.6	Additional onetime assistance of Rs, 50,000/-to scheduled caste and scheduled tribe families who are displaced from scheduled areas and who require to relocate due to the project	



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 2020

4	Loss of Residence	4.1	An alternative house for those	Stamp Duty and registration charges will
			who have to relocate, as per IAY specification in rural areas and constructed house/flat of minimum 50sq.m. in urban areas or cash in lieu of house if opted (the cash in lieu of house will be Rs, 70,000/-in the line with Gol IAY standards in rural areas and Rs, 1,50,000 in case of urban areas), for those who do not have any homestead land and who have been residing in the affected areas continuously for a minimum period of 3years	be borne by the project in case of new houses or sites.
		4.2	Employment to at least one number per affected family in the project or arrange for a job in such other project as may be required after providing suitable training and skill development in the required field and at a rate not lower than the minimum wages provided for any other law for the time being in force. Or One Time payment of Rs, 5,00,000/- for each affected household Or Annuity policy that shall pay Rs, 2000/- per month or 20 years with appropriate indexation to CPIAL	
		4.3	Monthly subsistence allowance of Rs, 3000/- per month for a period of one year to affected households who require to locate due to the project	
		4.4	Transportation assistance of Rs, 50,000/- for affected households who require to relocate due to the project	
		4.5	One time assistance of Rs, 25,000/-to all those who lose a cattle shed	
		4.6	One time assistance of Rs, 25,000/- for each affected family of an artisan or self- employed and who has to relocate	



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: RO April, 2020

		4.7	One time Resettlement Allowance of Rs, 50,000/-for affected household who have to relocate Additional onetime assistance of Rs, 50,000/-to scheduled caste and scheduled tribe families who are displaced from scheduled areas and who require to relocate due to the project Right to salvage affected materials.	
5	5 Loss of shop /trade /commercial structure	5.1	Employment to at least one number per affected family in the project or arrange for a job in such other project as may be required after providing suitable training and skill development in the required field and at a rate not lower than the minimum wages provided for in any other law for the Or One-time Payment of Rs,5,00,000/-for each affected household Or Annuity policy that shall pay Rs, 2000/-per month for 20 years with appropriate indexation to CPIAL	
		5.2	Monthly subsistence allowance of 3000/- per month for a period of one year to affected household who require to relocate due to the project Transportation assistance of Rs,	
		5.4	50,000/-for affected household who require to relocate due to the project One time assistance of Rs,	
			25,000/-for each affected family of an artisan or self- employed or small trader and who has to relocate	
		5.5	One time Resettlement Allowance of Rs, 50,000/-for affected household who have to relocate	



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 2020

		5.6	Additional onetime assistance of 50,000/-to scheduled caste and scheduled tribe families who are displaced from scheduled areas and who require to relocate due- to the project	
		5.7	Right to salvage affected materials	
PAR	T IV.IMPACT TO VULNE	RABLE I	HOUSEHOLD	
8	Vulnerable Household	8.1	Training for skill development. This assistance includes cost of training and financial assistance for travel/conveyance and food.	One adult member of the affected household, whose livelihood is affected, will be entitled for skill development. The PIU will identify the number of
		8.2	One time assistance of Rs,25,000/-to DHs who have to relocate	eligible vulnerable displaced persons during joint verification and updating of the RP and will conduct training need assessment in consultations with the DPs so as to develop appropriate training programmes suitable to the DPs skill and the region.
				Suitable trainers or local resources will be identified by PIU in consultation with local training institutes.
PAR	T V.IMPACT DURING CI	VIL WO	RKS	
9	Impact to structure / assets/tree/crops	9.1	The contractor is liable to pay damages to assets/tree/crops in privet/public land, caused due to civil works	The PIU will ensure compliance
10	Use of private land	10.1	The contractor should obtain prior written consent from the landowner and pay mutually agreed rental for use of private land for storage of material or movement of vehicles and machinery or diversion of traffic during civil works	
PAR	T VI. COMMION PROPE	RTY RE	SOURCES	
11	impact to common property resources such as places of worship, community buildings, schools, etc.	11.1	Relocation or restoration, if feasible, or cash compensation at replacement cost.	
12	Utilities such as water supply, electricity, etc.	12.1	Will be relocated and services restored prior to commencement of civil works	The PIU will ensure that utilities are relocated prior to commencement of civil works in that stretch of the road corridor in accordance with the civil works schedule

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

PART VII. UNFORSEEN IMPACT

Unforeseen Impacts encountered during implementation will be addressed in accordance with the principles of RFCTLARR2013/ Safeguard policy Guidelines of Multilateral Institutions



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 2020

5.13 GRIEVANCE REDRESS CELL

There is a need for an efficient grievance redressal mechanism, which will assist the DPs in resolving queries and complaints. Any disputes will be addressed through the grievance redressal mechanism.

Formation of Grievance Redressal Cell (GRC) is most important for grievance redressal and it is anticipated that most, if not all grievances, are settled by the GRC. Detailed investigation will be undertaken which may involve field investigation with the concerned DPs. The GRCs are expected to resolve the grievances of the eligible persons within a stipulated time.

The GRCs will continue to function, for the benefit of the DPs, during the entire life of the project including the defects liability period. The response time prescribed for the GRCs is 15 days. The GRC will meet once in a fortnight to expedite redressal of grievances.

People are not debarred from moving to the court for issues including those related to R&R Entitlement. However, it is expected that the GRCs will play a very crucial role in redressing grievances of the DPs, and will help the implementation of the project as scheduled.

5.13.1 Constitution of Grievance Redressal Committee (GRC)

The committee will comprise of representatives of PIU; public representatives (viz., Member of Parliament, Member of Legislative Assembly, etc.) from respective district; representative of women group, squatters and vulnerable DPs; line department and affected persons especially women as well as the representative of respective District Administration. Minimum participation of women in GRC will be 33%. At least two persons from each group will be there in the GRC. The functions of the GRC will be:

- To provide support for the DPs on problems arising out of Land/ Property acquisition.
- To record the grievances of the DPs, categorizes and prioritize and solve them within a month.
- To inform PIU of serious cases within an appropriate time frame; and
- To report to the aggrieved parties about the development regarding their grievance and decision of PIU.

5.13.2 Operational Mechanism

It is proposed that GRC will meet regularly (at least once in 15 days) on a pre-fixed date (preferably on first 7th day of the month). The committee will look into the grievances of the people and will assign the responsibilities to implement the decisions of the committee. The committee will deliver its decision within a month of the case registration.

The mechanism will be based on proposed laws. The Grievance Redressal Cell (GRC) will be set up at each district. Grievance not resolved amicably at the district level will be routed through PIU to the GRC. Arbitrator may also be appointed for unresolved cases. Arbitrator will be selected by PIU.

The various queries, complaints and problems that are likely to be generated among the DPs will primarily relate to disputes of ownership of assets, identification of legal heirs of deceased property



owner and other non-land related issues.

The PIU and office of NHIDCL will act as Public Information Centres, which will be in possession of all documents relating to the Project including compensation packages and grievance redressal procedures, and will provide any information regarding compensation and grievance redressal.


Through public consultations, the DPs will be informed that they have a right to grievance redressal. The DPs can present their grievances or queries to the GRC. The PIU will act as an in-built grievance redressal body.

5.13.3 Grievance Redressal Mechanism for DPs

The successive grievance redressal stages are illustrated in the flow chart shown below:

Flow Chart

Levels of Grievance Redressal





Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: RO April, 2020

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

District Level Committees: The first stage will be District Level Committees (DLCs). The DPs will be encouraged to be part of DLCs composed of:

- Representatives of affected persons;
- Panchayat members of the affected villages; and
- PIU's Field worker

33% participation of women from affected families and full participation of women from the women headed households will also be encouraged.

The DLCs will meet at regular intervals as decided by the community, specifically for grievance redressing purposes at a pre-decided date, time and place. The DPs can be formally present in these meetings and discuss their queries and grievances. At the community level, the committee will have the power to resolve matters either by providing information or agreeing on a follow-up action. It may also reject some grievances for not being legitimate. However, it will have to explain to the AP, the premise for not recording the grievance. Legitimate grievances, which the DLC is unable to resolve, will be taken to the GRC, which will then take the necessary action after reviewing the findings of a thorough investigation. The DLC will maintain a register of all queries and grievances, and the subsequent action taken.

The DPs will present their grievance, concerning compensation for structures / land and R&R assistance to the DLC. The DLC will examine the grievance, and where required will review with DRO/CA and will do utmost to reach an amicable settlement to the satisfaction of the DPs.

5.13.4 Role of NHIDCL/EA Headquarters

The DPs, who would not be satisfied with the decision of the GRC, will have the right to take the grievance to the NHIDCL/EA Head Office for its redressal. Failing the redressal of grievance at NHIDCL/EA, the DPs will take the case to Arbitration. The Arbitrator(s) will be independent but appointed by NHIDCL. Taking grievances to arbitration and Judiciary will be avoided as far possible and the PIU will make utmost efforts at reconciliation at the GRC level.



5.14 INCOME RESTORATION MEASURES

5.14.1 Introduction

The Development projects have an adverse impact on the income of project-affected persons. They also have a negative impact on the socio- cultural systems of affected communities. Restoration of pre-project levels of income is an important part of rehabilitating socio-economic and cultural systems in affected communities.

As indicated by the Income Restoration Study in road sector projects, income restoration interventions are much more complex due to occupational diversity of DPs. For example, there may be a mix of a large number of land title holders (big, small and marginal farmers) or/and engaged in small business enterprises (vehicle repairing shops, small hotels, other rural/semi urban small activity based shops, commercial squatters etc.) as displaced people. This complex nature of occupational diversity poses a problem for mitigation measures in the context of economic rehabilitation. The task becomes even more challenging due to the inherent pressure of completion of road construction work in a time bound manner.

However, the R&R framework proposed for the project has adequate provisions for restoration of livelihood of the affected communities. Attempts have been made towards improving the Income restoration strategies. The focus of restoration of livelihood is to ensure that the Affected Persons (DPs) are able to at least "regain their previous living standards". To restore and enhance the economic conditions of the DPs, certain income generation and income restoration programs are incorporated in the RP. To begin with, providing employment to the local people during construction phase will enable them to participate in the benefits of the project, reduce the size of intrusive work forces & keep more of the resources spent on the project in the local economy. It will also give the local communities a greater stake & sense of ownership in the project.

The R&R framework of the project provides that the loss of livelihood which would mainly result from the loss of land will be compensated by way of:

- Alternate economic rehabilitation support and training for up-gradation of skills or imparting new skills; and various R&R assistance such as Transportation Allowance, Economic rehabilitation grant for vulnerable.
- Preference of providing employment through the contractors for road works specially to those belonging to vulnerable groups.

Alternate village income sources such as village based industries will be promoted by the project in association with the local NGOs/CBOs. Villagers will be supported & encouraged to develop industries that are suited to their resources, skills and interests. Support in the form of technical assistance and training, marketing, business management and coordination will be provided by the PIU. The project affected families eligible for Income Restoration is shown in table below:

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 2020

Table 5.19: Income Restoration for displaced persons

SI.	Loss	No. of Person	Percentage
1	Owners of Commercial Structure	3	43%
2	Owners of Residential cum Commercial Structure (TH)	4	57%
3	Commercial tenant	0	0%
4	Employee in commercial Structures	0	0%
	Total	7	100.00%

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

The project will assist the DPs in liaison with PIU, to encourage the DPs to work in the road construction services. The project will:

- Assist to establish contact with the construction contractors for road works;
- Encourage to enlist labour for work to handle road related contract services;
- Compensate them for the loss of livelihood and income resulting from land acquisition;
- Identify training needs & modules;
- Assist access to poverty alleviation programs of the Govt. such as Swarnjayanti Gram Swa Rojgar Yojna especially for those below poverty line.
- Also assist to identify self-employment options.

5.14.2 Self-Employment Generation Scheme

PAPs will be encouraged to take up training for income generating activities, with active support from the project through the PIU, in self-employment schemes.

Besides the land losers, other DPs namely homestead losers; daily wage labourers and DP in the vulnerable category are eligible for enrolment into the training program. For training and upgradation of skills Rs. 5000 per family has been worked out as per the entitlement matrix. The PIU will take the initiative to make necessary arrangements for providing infrastructure and other institutional support that will be required, to assist the DP to get financial support through local bank and Government program. The PIU would generate awareness among the DPs about the different income earning opportunities and facilitate and training among DPs. The PIU-R&R cell will not only take the initiative for self-employment generating schemes and also arrange for appropriate training programmes so that the trained DPs will be eligible for others jobs.

The principles governing the resettlement and rehabilitation will take into consideration:

- Rehabilitation assistance in the form of shop space if opted by 50 people or more;
- Transportation allowance.
- ❖ Women, handicapped and BPLs will be in the vulnerable category, will be given priority in allotment of shops.
- Compensation for those who don't want shop space, these DPs will be assisted for alternate

CETEST Engineering Consultants

livelihood scheme. Till then, the amount paid for assistance will be kept in banks as joint account with the Project Authority.

- Only one shop per DP will be considered, multiple occupations will not be considered.
- Only those DPs will be eligible for such compensation whose primary source of income is from shops that will be lost.
- Conditions for shop allotment to DPs will be laid down which will include formation of market committees with DP participation, representative of this committee for smooth operation and maintenance of the complex. A nominal license fee will be charged.
- Access to loans will be facilitated by the Project Authority.
- Shops will be allotted based on the type of business carried out prior to eviction.

5.14.3 Option of self-employment and EA's Assistance

It is perceived that the EA will be unable to provide direct employment to the DPs. Hence, an alternative programmes are proposed as outlined in the above sections. Training for self-employment and assistance in setting up micro-enterprises is the primary vehicle of rehabilitation.

The following order of priority would be considered for the DPs entitled for self-employment:

Have the requisite educational qualification.

Have taken training in some micro-enterprise scheme and appeals to the EA for assistance; and Possess previous experience in running micro-enterprises.

However, relaxation will be made for women, those below poverty line, and minorities and vulnerable DPs who have taken training, but may not have requisite educational qualifications and experience. In both cases, the R&R cell in consultation with the PIU and the DLCs will vet appeals.

The key parameters of the EA level of assistance in setting up of micro-enterprises are as follows:

Survey of marketing opportunities by the PIU and information on DPs under the supervision of R&R Cell

Identification of training needs and modules that matches market opportunities. This will be done by the PIU.

PIU to assist the DPs to form groups/cooperatives that can bid for contracts tendered by the construction contractors or its sub-contractors. Activity to be supervised by R&R Cell.

R&R cell through the PIU will assist the DPs to get access to capital by facilitating formation of a credit window affordable to the DPs as individuals or groups in the local bank.

EA will co-ordinate with the local bank to extend credit to the DPs. EA will extend a letter of introduction to the bank.

Encourage the DPs to service loans and through awareness generation and training programmes by the PIU.

R&R cell in collaboration with the PIU will also facilitate the DPs access to poverty alleviation



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020 M Page: 17

programmes of the Government.

R&R cell will monitor the ventures established and incomes derived from these programmes. The information will be fed into the R&R database. The ventures and incomes derived will again be monitored by an independent agency and the Lending Institute vets the reports submitted by the PIU-R&R cell.

5.14.4 Market Feasibility Study

No business enterprise or income restoration program will sustain until and unless it is based on the market need and demand. Hence, EA through it's PIU who will undertake the detailed market feasibility study to clearly prepare the list of all viable and feasible enterprises. The scope of this study covers service and non-service based enterprises, the raw material availability and assured consumer market. The findings of the study will also be matched with the profile of the DPs and accordingly the options will be offered to the DPs. However, R&R consultants in the local district and village markets to make reconnaissance of the proposed demand and supply situation conducted rapid market survey. The local district markets comprise of all types of shops; hardware, construction materials, general store, vegetable markets, cloth stores, auto repair shops etc. The development of the project is expected to increase urbanization and commercialization of the society in particular along the project corridor. Demand for consumer goods in the area would consequently increase. Initiation of road construction activities will also result in a heavy spree of construction activities in and around the project area. This would consequently increase cash flow in the area.

Co-operatives of women markets can be set up for preparation of jams and pickles, basket making and moulding of leaf cups and plates. Poultry and animal husbandry units can also be set up in villages. Nursery to raise plants could also be quite viable since EA is committed to plant trees, as they would be felling many for the project. PIU will facilitate marketing facilities support through backward and forward linkages in order to make the self-employment schemes successful and will conduct periodical monitoring of these units over the implementation period and will take midterm correction measures if required. For marketing purposes, the PIU may tie these units up with khadi and village industries cooperatives (KVIC) or with the export promotion board or similar organizations. After establishment of the initial marketing linkages, the PIU will have to be gradually phase out their involvement.

5.14.5 Training Needs of DPs

Very few DPs possess any professional skills in the project area. Hence, a large scale and intensive training programme need to be undertaken. The DPs eligible for training will either be trained in the Training Institutes identified by PIU. Training will be provided to vulnerable DPs as per the entitlement matrix. It is expected that such training will be organized within 12 months of property acquisition. PIU shall carry out the detail exercise of skill mapping and training need assessment before finalization of any training schemes.



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: RO April, 2020

5.14.6 Comprehensive Training Policy

Training is an important part of RP. Following training modules will be developed;

5.14.7 Training of DPs

The training imparted will be essentially of two types: a technical training relevant for jobs and the other for non-land and land based self-employment and skill development schemes. The policy is devised under the following parameters:

- Eligible DPs will get training assistance.
- Provision for training has already been made in the RP budget. This amount is not redeemable in cash. It is based on an estimate of a minimum of 6 weeks of training per person, which may be stretched to:
- Maximum of one year, which could allow daily allowance to EP, cost of experts, trainers and other incidental expenses. In case where the type of training requested by the DPs exceeds the budgeted amount, the EA will review the request on a case-by-case basis through the R&R cell or the shortfall will be met from ERG in case DP is eligible for that.
- ❖ DPs will have the right to participate in institutional form of training at proposed institutions facilitated by PIU. DPs will have the right to transfer his/her training entitlement to his/her immediate family member if the DP desires to do so. The PIU will coordinate the process.
- ❖ DPs shall request the EA for participation in a particular training in consultation with the PIU.

 The EA will approve and pay the Training Institution directly and the cost will be deducted from the DPs training entitlement.
- Eligibility criteria for training will feature on the ID card.
- On completion of training DPs will receive an introductory letter/certificate from the EA. This will assist the DPs in approaching the bank for loans to start micro enterprises.

5.14.8 Training Mechanism

The implementation of the training procedure would involve the following DPs:

- Regular survey of perceived training needs of DPs by PIU in collaboration with the R&R cell and Panchayat level committees will lead to prioritizing and selection of schemes for training.
- Identification of Training Institutes/individuals/experts by the PIU can be subcontracted to conduct relevant training demanded by DPs within the financial means of the entitlement and preparation of TOR for the same by the PIU.
- Preparation of list of trainees (phase wise) by the PIU in collaboration with the DLCs and R&R
 Cell
- Awareness generation and information dissemination on the schemes by the PIU to the selected trainees to ensure transparency about the training schemes and the entitled amounts.

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020

April, 2020

Registration of the DPs, payment of courses and maintenance of all records, regarding portion of entitlement used by DP for a particular course, will be done by the PIU. The PIU will submit the information to the R&R cell, for inclusion in the R&R database.

The PIU, EA will co-ordinate with the different recognized training organization, including professionals who will be engaged by the EA, to impart training in different trades to the DPs either in the project area or any other place fixed by the institutes. Care shall be taken by the R&R cell that the funds for training are utilized in best possible ways.

5.14.9 Women's Needs & Participation

In the process of R&R, women require special attention. Change caused by relocation does not have equal implications for members of both the sexes and may result in greater inconvenience to women. Due to disturbance in production system, reduction in assets like land and livestock, women may have to face the challenge of running a large household in limited income and resources. This in turn may force woman as well as children to participate in work for supplementing the household income. In contrast to this, due to changes that are likely to take places for any development project, especially changes in environment and land labour ratio, those women who at present are engaged in activities like agriculture labour, or collection and sale of forest produce may find themselves unemployed and dependent.

EA would, therefore, make efforts to maintain the social support network for women headed households as far as possible so that they remain closer to their locations and /or provide special services at the new sites. Special assistance would consist of the following:

- Allowing them priority in site selection
- Relocating them near site wherever possible
- Arranging with the contractor to construct their houses
- Providing assistance with dismantling salvageable materials from their original home
- Providing them priority access to all other mitigation and development assistance, and
- Monitoring their nutritional & health status.

Some examples of meeting practical needs of women that will be implemented by EA are: -

- Reduce women's workloads by providing standpipes, toilet facilities, and the likes.
- Improve health services by providing safe drinking water, family planning and HIV/AIDS counselling, sanitation training, immunization, etc.
- Assist in childcare services for wage earning mothers, primary schools, inputs in kitchen gardening etc.
- Increase access to productive resources.
- Promote equal opportunities for women's employment.

Encouraging women's participation in development projects is a policy being followed by GoI. There are several ways in which women will be able to participate in the implementation programme: -

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

* The independent agency for monitoring and evaluation will have 33% representation of women key professionals and technical support team.

5.14.10 Measures towards income restoration and uplift of vulnerable people

EA has evolved a number of measures towards resettlement and rehabilitation of the vulnerable families including the women headed households, SC/ST below poverty line and the poor (BPL in general) getting affected by its projects. The considerations therein have been compiled as follows:

- All the affected families falling under the vulnerable category including the BPL are going to be assisted to uplift their economic status irrespective of their ownership status. Thus, it implies that whether they do or do not possess legal title of the lands/assets, whether they are tenants or encroachers or squatters, they will be assisted in restoring their livelihood.
- * Additional grant for severance of land, residual plots, expenses on fees, taxes, etc. and alternative economic rehabilitation support and training for up-gradation of the skills.
- * In case of loss of non-agricultural private property, option for residential/commercial plot at resettlement site will be provided free of cost to vulnerable families if so opted by a group of them apart from all other considerations like compensation at replacement value, Transportation allowance, shifting allowance, rental allowance for disruption caused to BPL tenants, compensation for advance rental deposits, right to salvage materials for the demolished site, etc.
- * There is a provision for additional support to the vulnerable people who have been affected by the loss of livelihood / primary source of income. The assistance will be the economic rehabilitation grant supported with vocational training of DPs choice. The training will include starting of a suitable production or service activity. In case the money is not spent on the training program, the equivalent amount is to be paid as per DPs choice.
- * Inter agency linkages for income restoration.

Majority of the eligible families for income restoration earn their livelihood from marginal agriculture or petty businesses, and it is imperative to ensure that the DPs are able to reconstruct their livelihood. Based on the market feasibility study, the list of livelihood schemes will be developed, and based on felt needs of the target group population the activities will be prioritized through people's participation. Further, these options will be tested for their viability against availability of skill, raw material and available appropriate technology. Suitable alternative livelihood schemes will be finally selected, where training on skill up gradation, capital assistance and assistance in the form of backward-forward linkages (with respect to the selected livelihood schemes) can be provided for making these pursuits sustainable for the beneficiaries, of the target group. Income generation schemes will be developed in consultation with the project affected/displaced families. The grants received for such purpose for the project, will be used for the skill development training to upgrade their proposed skill, purchase of small scales capital assets etc. While developing the enterprise development or the income generation activities, the PIU will contact the local financial institutions for financing the economic ventures. The marketing and milk federations will also be contacted for planning sustainable economic development opportunities.

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 2020 Page: 179

Page: 180

5.14.11 Short-Term Income Restoration Activities

Short term IR activities mean restoring DPs' income during periods immediately before and after relocation. Such activities will focus on the following:

- Ensuring that adequate compensation is paid before relocation.
- Transit allowances.
- Providing short term, welfare based grants and allowances such as:
- One-time relocation allowance or free transport to resettlement areas or assistance for transport.
- Free or subsidized items.
- ❖ Special allowance for vulnerable groups as per entitlement framework capacity. Timely establishment & involvement of appropriate R&R institutions would significantly facilitate achievement of objectives of the R&R program. The main R&R institution would include:
 - EA,
 - Local Administration
 - Line departments
 - PIU
 - DLC/GRC
 - Training Institutions
 - M&E Agency

5.14.12 The Process

EA will initiate the following activities to commence and implement the RP:

- Establish PIU and field offices
- Orientation and awareness seminars for Project Implementation Unit (PIU).
- ❖ Appointment of external monitoring and evaluation consultants


Effective RP implementation will require institutional relationships & responsibilities, rapid organizational development & collaborative efforts by EA, State Govt. The ESDU will establish operational links within EA (for e.g. finance for release of money on approval of micro plan) & with other agencies of Govt. involved in project induced settlement. It will provide means & mechanism for coordinating the delivery of the compensation & assistance entitled to those who will suffer loss. On behalf of EA, ESDU will assure the responsibility for representing the social impact & resettlement component of the project. The ESDU will also be responsible for disseminating the information to the public & providing additional opportunities for public comment. The ESDU at the apex level will have overall responsibility for policy guidance, coordination, contingency planning, monitoring and overall reporting during RP implementation.



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: RO April, 2020

The Organogram for the R&R cell is given in (Figure no. 5.8):

Figure 5.8: Implementation Structures for RAP





5.15 INSTITUTIONS FOR PLANNING & IMPLEMENTATION OF RP

5.15.1 Introduction

Institutions for planning & implementation of RP vary substantially in terms of their respective roles & capacity. Timely establishment & involvement of appropriate R&R institutions would significantly facilitate achievement of objectives of the R&R program. The main R&R institution would include:

- EA
- Local Administration
- Line departments
- PIU
- DLC/GRC
- Training Institutions
- M&E Agency

5.15.2 The Process

EA will initiate the following activities to commence and implement the RP:

- Establish PIU and field offices.
- Orientation and awareness seminars for Project Implementation Unit (PIU).
- ❖ Appointment of external monitoring and evaluation consultants.

Effective RP implementation will require institutional relationships & responsibilities, rapid organizational development & collaborative efforts by EA, State Govt. The ESDU will establish operational links within EA (for e.g. finance for release of money on approval of micro plan) & with other agencies of govt. involved in project induced settlement. It will provide means & mechanism for coordinating the delivery of the compensation & assistance entitled to those who will suffer loss. On behalf of EA, ESDU will assume the responsibility for representing the social impact & resettlement component of the project. The ESDU will also be responsible for disseminating the information to the public & providing additional opportunities for public comment.


The ESDU at the apex level will have overall responsibility for policy guidance, coordination, and contingency planning, monitoring and overall reporting during RP implementation.

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 2020

Page: 182

Figure 5.9: Institutional arrangement for RAP





April, 2020

Revision: R0

5.15.3 **Roles and Responsibilities**

The role and responsibilities of the various offices in R&R implementation are presented below:

At Corporate Level

The Project Director: -

- In-charge of overall project activities.
- * Participate in the State Level Committees to facilitate land acquisition, pre-construction activities and implementation of R&R activities.

EA: -

- * Co-ordinate the implementation of R&R activities with **corporate** and field staff.
- * Review the micro plans prepared by the PIU.
- * Review monthly progress report.
- * Monitor the progress on R&R and land acquisition.
- * Advice PIU/M&E Agency on policy related issues during implementation.
- * Ensure early release of money to PIUs for R&R activities.

5.15.4 **Project Implementation Unit (PIU)**

This unit will coordinate the process for land acquisition. Relocation and rehabilitation, distribution of project provided assistance and DPs access to government programs.

- * Survey and verification of the DPs.
- ** Verification of land records followed by verification on the spot related to identified plots and owners.
- * Develop rapport with the DPs.
- * Verify and Photograph of each DP for ID cards.
- * Assist to issue identity cards to the DPs.
- * Co-ordinate with the DRO to implement R&R activities.
- * Conduct market feasibility study.
- * Valuation of properties/assets for finalization of replacement value.
- Participate with the DRO to undertake public information campaign at the commencement of the projects.
- * Distribute the pamphlets of R&R policy to the DPs.
- * Assist the DPs in receiving the compensation.
- Facilitate the process of arranging loans for DPs.



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 2020

- Facilitate the opening of joint accounts.
- Generate awareness about the alternate economic livelihood and enable the DPs to make informed choice.
- Prepare micro-plans for R&R.
- Enable the DPs to identify the alternate sites for agriculture, residential and commercial plots.
- Participate in the consultation on allotment of shops and residential plots.
- Ensure the DPs have received their entitlements.
- Ensure the preparation of rehabilitation sites.
- ❖ Participate in the meetings organized by the PIU.
- Submit monthly progress reports.
- Identify training needs and institutions for the DPs for income generating activities.
- ❖ Participate in the disbursement of cheques for the assistance at public places.
- Coordinate the training programs of the DPs for income generating activities.
- Coordinate the meeting of District Level Committees.
- Accompany DP to GRC.
- Awareness campaigns for highway related diseases.
- Ensure the DP judiciously uses compensation and R&R assistance

5.15.5 RP Implementation Field Offices and Tasks

The PD-PIU will be responsible to carry out the following tasks concerning resettlement of the project:

- Overall responsibility of Implementation of R&R activities of RP.
- Responsible for land acquisition and R&R activities in the field.
- Ensure availability of budget for R&R activities.
- Liaison with District Administration for support for land acquisition and implementation of R&R.
- Participate in the district level committees.

District Resettlement and Rehabilitation Officer (DRRO)

- Co-ordinate with District Administration and PIU for land acquisition and R&R.
- Translation of R&R policy in local language.
- Prepare pamphlets of the policy.



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020 Page

Page: 186

April, 2020

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

- Printing of the policy and identity cards for the DPs.
- Ensure development of resettlement sites, wherever required.
- Participate in the allotment of residential, commercial and agricultural plots.
- Liaison with District Administration for dovetailing government's income generating and developmental programs for the DPs.
- Ensure the inclusion of those DPs who may have not been covered during the census survey; facilitate the opening of joint accounts in local banks to transfer assistance for R&R for DPs and organize disbursement of cheques for assistance in the affected area in public.
- ❖ Monitor physical and financial progress on land acquisition and R&R activities.
- Participate in regular meetings.
- Organize Bi-monthly meetings with the PIU to review the progress on R&R.
- Review micro plan & monthly reports submitted by PIU.

5.15.6 District Level Committee (DLC)

RP will be implemented through District Level Committees that will be established in the districts of Churachandpur in Manipur. The committee would include District Magistrate or his representative, District Land Acquisition Officer, Pradhan of Panchayat Samities, representative of affected villages including women, representative of Revenue Department, Line Departments, PWD, Mining Departments, people's representatives, and representatives of affected population. The formation of DLCs would be facilitated by PIU. The functions of the DLC will be as follows: (i) to meet regularly to review the progress of land acquisition/ R&R; (ii) approval of the micro-plan on the basis of methodology defined in the RP; and (iii) facilitate the implementation of the RP programs in the project-affected area.

The DLC would also: (i) meet regularly at pre-decided dated specifically for grievance redressing purpose; (ii) help in amicable settlement of disputes at community level; (iii) carry forward the ones which are not reconciled at the Grievance Redressal Committee (iv) coordination with local govt. authorities & field offices.

Coordination with Other Agencies and Organizations

R&R Cell will establish networking relationships with line departments and other Govt. & non-Govt. organizations. The Revenue Department has an influencing role in land acquisition proceedings, and initiation of resettlement process. Unless the compensation process is prompt and efficient, implementation process will get delayed. R&R Cell will coordinate with the Project Land Acquisition Officer to expedite the land acquisition process.

Income restoration will be sole responsibility of the Project Authority. PIU will facilitate linkages to be established with the agencies implementing centrally sponsored poverty alleviation programs to restore the income of DPs.

Restoration of community assets such as hand pumps, bore wells will require help from PHED. EA



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

will extensively work on developing lateral linkages for mobilization of resources to benefit the DPs and to achieve the desired results expected from implementation of RP.

The Revenue Department is responsible for providing land records, acquiring land and other properties and handing them over to the proper authorities. The District Rural Development Agency (DRDA) will extend the IRDP and other developmental schemes to include the DPs. The representative of these departments/agencies will be in contact with the R&R Cell, which will facilitate the integration of the various agencies, involved in the R&R process.



Page: 188

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

5.16 RESETTLEMENT AND REHABILITATION BUDGET

5.16.1 Introduction

The resettlement cost estimate for this project includes eligible compensation, resettlement assistance and support cost for RP implementation. The support cost, which includes staffing requirement, monitoring and reporting, project implementation and other administrative expenses are part of the overall project cost. The unit cost for structures and other assets in this budget has been derived through field survey, consultation with affected families, relevant local authorities and reference from old practices. Contingency provisions have also been made to take into account variations from this estimate. Some of the major items of this R&R cost estimate are outlined below:

- Compensation for agricultural, residential and commercial land at their replacement value
- Compensation for structures (residential/ commercial) and other immovable assets at their replacement cost
- Compensation for crops and trees
- Assistance in lieu of the loss of business/ wage income/ employment and livelihood
- Assistance for shifting of the structures
- Resettlement and Rehabilitation Assistance in the form of Training allowance
- ❖ Special assistance to vulnerable groups for their livelihood restoration
- Cost for implementation of RP.

Compensation

5.16.1.a Private Agricultural Land:

The unit rate for agricultural land has been estimated as per Land Acquisition Resettlement and Rehabilitation Act, 2013 in accordance to Manipur RFCTLARR Rules, 2014 and National Highway Authority of India Act, 1956. To meet the replacement cost of land compensation will be calculated over updated land rate with additional as registration cost plus solatium or as decided by District Magistrate. It may be noted that the District Magistrate have the discretionary power in valuation of land in his jurisdiction. The State Government may also announce packages for Land Acquisition.

5.16.1.b Residential/ Commercial and other structures:

The compensation cost of structures are arrived at by assessment of market value, consultation with DPs and data collected from building contractors and property agents this meets the replacement cost of the structures.

- The R & R budget has been calculated on the following basis: -
- The R & R budget is calculated on the basis of DLC rates or market value.
- The budget for the compensation of affected structures is based on the rates of various types as described in Basic Schedule Rates (BSR), PWD, Govt. Of Manipur, 2016 and/or the

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: RO April, 2020

market values.

The average estimated rate for permanent structures without land has been calculated at Rs. 16,218/m², semi-permanent structures have been calculated at Rs. 12,448/m², and temporary structures have been calculated at the rate of Rs. 3,769/m2. The compensation for boundary walls at per running metre is Rs. 6,244/ per metre.2

5.16.2 **Assistance**

Shifting allowance: Shifting allowance will be provided to all the affected households losing structures and tenants. The unit cost has been derived on a lump sum basis of Rs. 50,000/-.

Rental Assistance: Rental assistance to titleholder (structures) and tenants in structures will be provided in the form of grants to cover maximum three months' rentals @ Rs. 4,000/- per month.

Rehabilitation Assistance to DPs Losing Business Establishment: Title holders losing their business establishment due to displacement will be provided with a lump sum transitional allowance of Rs. 50,000/-. This rate has been fixed based on the estimates of average income for a period of three months.

Training Assistance to Agricultural Titleholders: Training Assistance will be provided for income generating vocational training and skill up-gradation options as per DPs choice at the rate of Rs. 5,000/- per affected household to those households losing their primary source of income.

Rehabilitation Assistance to Employees in Structure: Wage earning employees indirectly affected due to displacement of commercial structure will be provided assistance as per the prevailing local wage rate for 3 plus months i.e. @ Rs. 146/- for 100 days.

Rehabilitation Assistance to Agricultural Labourers/Sharecroppers: Agricultural Labourers/ Sharecroppers will be provided with assistance as per the prevailing local wage rate for 100 days @ Rs. 173/- per day.

Assistance to Vulnerable Households: One time lump sum assistance of Rs. 50,000/- will be paid to each vulnerable households. (This will be paid above and over the other assistance(s) as per the entitlement matrix).

5.16.3 **Compensation for Community and Government Property**

Religious and Community Structures: The religious and community structures are being partially affected and do not require full replacement. However, a lump sum provision of Rs. 3,00,000/- per structure is made in the budget to rebuild are enhance the ambience of these structures. However, any religious or community structure requires full relocation will be compensated in replacement rate.

5.16.4 **RP Implementation and Support Cost**

For grievance redress process a lump sum of Rs 4,80,000/- is provided for two years and cost of other RP implementation and administrative activities will be a part of proposed departmental

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0



Page: 189

² Annexure attached.

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

expenditure. The separate fund for grievance redressal for this project is made based on intensity of impacts. In addition, the process will involve interdepartmental arrangement and include participation by representatives of DPs, particularly of vulnerable DPs, local government representatives, representative of other interest groups besides PIU.

5.16.5 Source of Funding and Fund Flow Management

The cost related to land acquisition and resettlement cost will be borne by the EA. EA will ensure allocation of funds and availability of resources for smooth implementation of the project R&R activities. The EA will, in advance, initiate the process and will try to keep the approval for the R&R budget in the fiscal budget through the ministry of finance. In the case of assistance and other rehabilitation measures, the EA will directly pay the money or any other assistance as stated in the RP to DPs. The PIU will be involved in facilitating the disbursement process and rehabilitation program.



5.16.6 R&R Budget

A detailed indicative R&R cost is given in Table

Table 5.20: Estimates of Entitlements for Package-IIIA

	Tes of Entitlements to		T	
Item	Rate (as per Minimum Guidance Value)	Rate (as per Avarage Market Rate)	Total Area (Ha)	Cost (as per Minimum Guidance Value)
	(in Rs. Per Ha)	(in Rs. Per Ha)		(in Rs.)
I. Compensation for I	oss of Private Property	1		
1. Loss of Land (agric	cultural, homestead, co	mmercial or otherwise	e)	
Effective Average Cost of Urban Land	2152780	32291700	0	0
Effective Average Cost of Rural Land	107639	5381950	26.91	2896565.49
			Sub Total (A)	28,96,565.49
2. Loss of Structure (house, shop, building	or immovable property	or assets attached to	land
Type of Structure		Rs. Per Sqm	Area Sqm	
Permanent		16218	165.3	26,80,835.40
Semi-Permanent		12448	0	0.00
Temporary		3769	5074.57	1,91,26,054.33
Compound Wall		6244	0	0.00
Pillar (In Numbers)		1500	0	0.00
Memorial Structure (In	Numbers)	32000	38	12,16,000.00
			Subtotal (B)	2,30,22,889.73
		100% Solatium for La	and and Structure (C)	2,59,19,455.22
II. Rehabilitation and	Resettlement (Land ov	ners & families depen	dent on Land)	
3. Loss of Residence				
Special Cash Assistan	ce of Rs. 5 lakhs	5,00,000.00	61	30500000
Shifting Assistance to	DPs	50,000.00	61	3050000
Subsistence Allowance	e for 12 months	36,000.00	61	2196000
Additional Assistance t	to Vulnerable Groups	50,000.00	61	3050000
One Time Resettlemer	nt Allowance	50,000.00	61	3050000
			Subtotal (D)	4,18,46,000.00
4. Loss of Shop/trade	e/commercial structure			
Special Cash Assistan	ce of Rs. 5 lakhs	5,00,000.00	4	2000000
Subsistence Allowance	e for 12 months	36,000.00	4	144000
Additional Assistance t	to Vulnerable Groups	50,000.00	4	200000
Transitional Allowance	:	50,000.00	4	200000
One Time Resettlemen	nt Allowance	50,000.00	4	200000
			Subtotal (E)	27,44,000.00
III. Impact to Standing	g Crops and Trees		T	.
Average cost of the fru	it bearing trees	0	0	0



Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

		Subtotal (F)	0									
IV. Impact to Vulnerable Household												
One time Assistance who have to relocate	25,000.00	0	0									
		Subtotal (G)	0									
V. Impact to Tenant during Construction												
Subsistence Allowance for 3 months	18,000.00	0	0									
Rental Assistance of Rs. 9,000	9,000.00	0	0									
		Subtotal (H)	0.00									
VI. Community/Government Property												
Religious Structures	2,50,000.00	0	0									
School/Community Property/office	1,00,000.00	8	800000									
Memorial Structure	32,000.00	0	0									
Cost of structure in lieu of community Land	25,00,000.00	0	0									
		Subtotal (I)	800000									
VIII. Unforeseen Impacts												
Contingency of 5%	Total of (A to L)	5%	48,61,445.52									
		Subtotal (J)	48,61,445.52									
IX. Implementation of RAP												
Support for implementation of RAP (lumpsum)[9]	22,25,000	1	22,25,000.00									
M & E consultant (lumpsum)	1	2,40,000.00										
		Subtotal (K)	24,65,000.00									
	Grand Total(L) = (A to I											

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

The above estimate is based on rates vide Entitlement Matrix as per the norms of RTFCLARR 2013 and in accordance with National Highway Authority of India's Policies. The total project R and R Cost is Rs. **10.45 Cr** as per Minimum Guidance Value



5.17 IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

5.17.1 Introduction

Implementation of RP mainly consists of compensation to be paid for affected structures and rehabilitation and resettlement activities. The time for implementation of resettlement plan will be scheduled as per the overall project implementation. All activities related to the land acquisition and resettlement must be planned to ensure that compensation is paid prior to displacement and commencement of civil works. Public consultation, internal monitoring and grievance redress will be undertaken intermittently throughout the project duration.

However, the schedule is subject to modification depending on the progress of the project activities. The civil works contract for each project will only be awarded after all compensation and relocation has been completed for project and rehabilitation measures are in place.

5.17.2 Schedule for Project Implementation

The proposed project R&R activities are divided in to three broad categories based on the stages of work and process of implementation. The details of activities involved in these three phases i.e. Project Preparation phase, RP Implementation phase, Monitoring and Reporting period are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Project Preparation Phase

The major activities to be performed in this period include establishment of PMU and PIU at project and project level respectively; submission of RP for approval NHIDCL; and establishment of GRC etc. The information campaign & community consultation will be a process initiated from this stage and will go on till the end of the project.

5.17.3 RP Implementation Phase

After the project preparation phase the next stage is implementation of RP which includes issues like compensation of award by EA; payment of all eligible assistance; relocation of DPs; initiation of economic rehabilitation measures; site preparation for delivering the site to contractors for construction and finally starting civil work.

5.17.4 Monitoring and Reporting Period

As mentioned earlier the internal monitoring will be the responsibility of PMU, PIU and will start early during the project when implementation of RP starts and will continue till the complementation of the sub-project. The independent monitoring and reporting will be the responsibility of Construction Supervision Consultant (CSC) to be hired for the sub-project.

5.17.5 R&R Implementation Schedule

A composite implementation schedule for R&R activities in the project including various sub tasks and time line matching with civil work schedule is prepared and presented in the form of Table. The cut-off date will be notified formally for titleholder as the date of LA notification. However, the sequence may change or delays may occur due to circumstances beyond the control of the Project

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

and accordingly the time can be adjusted for the implementation of the plan. The implementation schedule can also be structured through package wise. The entire project road has been divided into four contract packages and the completion of resettlement implementation for each contract package shall be the pre-condition to start of the civil work at that particular contract package.



Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Table 5.21: Implementation Schedule of NH

	2	017	'										20	18											201	L9										2	:020)			
	1	Q		20	Q		30)		4Q			10			20	(3Q	Į.		40)		1Q			2Q			30	Į		40)	1	Q.		2	Q.	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1 0	1 1	1 2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1 0		1 2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1 0		1 2	1 2	2 3	4	5	6
Project Preparation Stage																																									
Scree project impact																																									
Public Consultation on alignment																																									
Prepare Land Acquisition Plan																																									
Carry out Census Survey																																								Ī	
Prepare Resettlement Plan (RP)																																									
RP Implementation Stage																																								Ī	
Obtaing RP approval from NHIDCL																																									
Disclosure of RP																																									
Formation of GRC (Grievance																																	\perp								
Mechanism)																																									
Implementation of GRC																																									
Public Consultation																																									
Co-ordination with district										-	-		-	-	-		-	-	П	-	-	-	al	-																	
authority for LA																																									
Submission of LA proposals to DC																																									
Declaration of cut-off date (LA																			П																						
notification)																																								<u> </u>	
Payment of compensation																																								<u> </u>	
Taking procession of acquired land																							Щ															\bot	$oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{eta}}}$	$oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{eta}}}$	
Handling over the acquired land to																							П																		
contractor																																								<u> </u>	
Rehabilitation of DPs																																						\bot	$oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{eta}}}$	$oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{eta}}}$	
Monitoring and Reporting Period																																						1	\perp	\bot	<u> </u>
Internal monitoring and reporting																																						╧	\perp	$oldsymbol{\perp}$	
Hiring Construction Supervision																																									
Consultant																											L											╧	\perp	$oldsymbol{\perp}$	
External monitoring and reporting																																								<u>L</u>	

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020

Page: 195



5.18 MONITORING AND EVALUATION

5.18.1 Introduction

Monitoring is a periodic assessment of planned activities providing midway inputs, facilitates changes and gives necessary feedback of activities and the directions on which they are going, whereas Evaluation is a summing up activity at the end of the project, assessing whether the activities have actually achieved their intended goals and purposes. In absence of an effective monitoring strategy it would be impossible to ensure that all anticipated benefits and entitlements reach DPs in time and in an efficient grievance free manner. It will be a systematic and continuous process of collecting and analysing information about the progress of the project and a tool for identifying strengths and weaknesses within a project. Resettlement monitoring will include the collection, analysis, reporting and use of information about the progress of resettlement, based on the RP. Monitoring in resettlement will focus on restoration of income and standard of living of the affected persons as the primary focus. Several key activities such as delivery of entitlements will also be monitored. EA will have two tiers (Internal and External) monitoring system.

5.18.2 The Internal Monitoring

The internal monitoring will be handled by PIU. A monitoring cell will be established in PIU with individuals having appropriate skills and capacity. A comprehensive and relevant database and management 'information system (MIS) will be established and updated periodically for monitoring various activities of the project. The RP information generated through various surveys like census, baseline socio-economic, land and structures will become important input of the information system. Effective Monitoring will help accomplish this task and facilitate appropriate changes in resettlement implementation based on the information obtained, through routine collection of data. Therefore, EA will develop a monitoring plan that covers all essential stages of resettlement i.e. preparatory stage, relocation stage & rehabilitation stage.

PIU, EA will form independent monitoring cell which will work at the time of Project Implementation.

5.18.3 Key Indicators of Monitoring

EA, considering the importance of the various stage of project cycle, will handle the monitoring at each stage as stated below:

5.18.4 Preparatory Stage

During the pre-relocation phase of resettlement operation, monitoring is concerned with administrative issues such as, establishment of resettlement unit, budget, land acquisition, consultation with DPs in the preparation of resettlement plan, payments of entitlements due, grievance redressal, and so on.

The key indicators for monitoring at this stage will be:



Page: 197

- Conduct of baseline survey
- Consultations
- Identification of DP and the numbers
- Identification of different categories of DPs and their entitlements
- Collection of gender disaggregated data
- Inventory & losses survey
- Asset inventory Entitlements
- Valuation of different assets
- Budgeting
- Information dissemination
- Institutional arrangements
- ❖ Implementation schedule review, budgets and line items expenditure

5.18.5 Relocation Stage

Monitoring during the relocation phase covers such issues as site selection in consultation with DPs, development of relocation sites, assistance to DPs (especially to vulnerable groups) in physically moving to the new site. Likewise, aspects such as adjustment of DPs in the new surroundings, attitude of the host population towards the new-comers and development of community life are also considered at this stage. The key indicators for monitoring will be:

- Payment of compensation
- Delivery of entitlement
- Grievance handling
- Land acquisition
- Preparation of resettlement site, including civic amenities '(water, sanitation, drainage, paved streets, electricity)
- Consultations
- Relocation
- DPs who do not relocate
- Payment of compensation
- Livelihood restoration assistance.

5.18.6 Rehabilitation Stage

Once DPs have settled down at the new sites, the focus of monitoring will be on issues of economic recovery programmes including income generating schemes (IGSs), acceptance of these schemes by



DPs, impact of IGSs on living standards, and the ability of the new livelihood patterns. The key indicators for monitoring will be:

- Initiation of income generation activities
- Provision of basic civic amenities and essential facilities in the relocated area
- Consultations
- Assistance to enhance livelihood and quality of life

The most crucial components/indicators to be monitored are specific contents of the activities and entitlement matrix.

- Input and output indicators related to physical progress of the work will include items as:
- Training of PIU, ROs and other staff completed
- Public meetings held
- Census, assets inventories, assessments and socio-economic studies completed.
- Meeting of DLCs
- Meeting of GRCs
- Grievance redresses procedures in-place and functioning.
- Compensation payments disbursed.
- Shops space allotted.
- Relocation of DPs completed.
- Employment provided to DPs.
- Community development activities completed.
- Infrastructure repaired, bus stands, water and sanitation facilities provided.
- Village roads repaired.
- Training of DPs initiated.
- Income restoration activities initiated.
- Number of families displaced and resettled.
- Extent of government land identified and allotted to the DPs.
- Monitoring and evaluation reports submitted.

5.18.7 Reporting Mechanism

As stated earlier one of the main roles of PIU will be to oversee proper and timely implementation of all activities in RP. Internal Monitoring will be a regular activity for PIU and Rehabilitation Manager will oversee the timely implementation of R&R activities. Internal Monitoring will be carried out by the PIU (through the R&R Cell) and its agents, PIU will prepare monthly/quarterly reports on the



progress of RP Implementation. PIU will collect information from the project site and assimilate in the form of monthly progress to access the progress and results of RP implementation and adjust work programme where necessary, in case of delays or problems. Both monitoring and evaluation will form parts of regular activities and reporting on this will be extremely important in order to undertake mid-way corrective DPs. The reports can broadly be classified as:

- Progress reports during Implementation of the RP
- Qualitative reports highlighting the qualitative aspects
- Financial reports
- Evaluation reports based on benefits and impact of assistance provided.

5.18.8 Resources Requirement and Database Management

For the PIU to function, EA will allocate adequate financial resource towards office space, computers, transport and staff budget. The following essential requirements will be planned:

- Annual budget for Monitoring
- Office space
- Tables, chairs and furniture.
- Computer dedicated to the monitoring unit
- Transport
- Administrative support staff
- Appropriate technical staff
- Add on database management

5.18.9 External or Independent Monitoring

External (or Independent) monitoring will be hired to provide an independent periodic assessment of resettlement implementation and impacts to verify internal monitoring, and to suggest adjustment of delivery mechanisms and procedures as required. A social and economic assessment of the results of delivered entitlements and measurement of the income and standards of living of the DPs before and after resettlement will be integral components of this monitoring activity.

To function effectively, the organization responsible for external monitoring will be independent of the governmental agencies involved in resettlement implementation. The agency will submit monthly and quarterly monitoring reports. Mid-term and final evaluation will be done by the agency to find out if the R&R objectives have been achieved as against the performance impact indictors.

5.18.10 Scope of Work of External Monitor:

- **Section** Examine and verify internal monitoring system and suggest changes.
- Prepare independent reports based on monitoring visits.



- Major recommendations for remedial actions.
- Major recommendations for policy changes.
- Maintenance of database.

5.18.11 Detail Activities to be undertaken by External Monitor:

The scope of activities will include but not be limited to:

- Verification of internal reports, by field check of delivery of the following:
- Payment of compensation including its levels and timing.
- Land readjustment.
- Preparation and adequacy of resettlement sites.
- House construction.
- Provision of employment, its adequacy and income levels.
- Training.
- Rehabilitation of vulnerable groups.
- ❖ Infrastructure repair, relocation or replacement.
- Enterprise relocation, compensation and its adequacy.
- Transition allowances.

5.18.12 Property and demographic survey of the following affected persons:

- ❖ 100% census survey of persons who were severely affected by Project works and have relocated either to group resettlement sites or preferred to self-relocate.
- 20% sample survey of persons who had property, assets, incomes and activities marginally affected by Project works and did not relocate.
- 20% sample survey of those affected by off-site project activities by contractors' subcontractors, including employment, use of land for contractor's camps, pollution, public health etc.
- Generate gender disaggregated socio-economic data, socio-economic condition, needs and priorities of women etc.

5.18.13 Evaluation of Delivery and Impacts of Entitlements

- dentify the categories of impacts and evaluate the quality and timeliness of delivery of entitlements (compensation and rehabilitation measures) for each category of impact. He/she will ensure that how the entitlements were used and examine impact and adequacy to meet the specified objectives of the RP.
- Ensure the quality, sufficiency of funds and on-time delivery of entitlements according to RP.
 Also verify other monitoring reports prepared during implementation by an independent

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

April, 2020 Page: 200

Revision: RO

source.

❖ Establish by appropriate investigative and analytical techniques, the pre-and post- Project socio-economic conditions of the affected people. In the absence of baseline socio-economic data on income and living standards, and given the difficulty of DPs having accurate recollection of their pre-Project income and living standards, develop some quality checks on the information to be obtained from the DPs. Such quality checks could include verification by neighbours and local village leaders. The methodology for assessment should be very explicit.

5.18.14 Evaluation of Consultation and Grievance Procedures

Identify, quantify and qualify the types of conflicts and grievances reported and resolved and the consultation and participation procedures.

5.18.15 Declaration of Successful Implementation

Provide a summation of whether involuntary resettlement was implemented (a) in accordance with the RP, and (b) in accordance with Policy on Involuntary Resettlement.

5.18.16 Actions Required

Describe any outstanding actions that are required to bring the resettlement into compliance with Policy on Involuntary Resettlement. Describe further mitigation measures needed to meet the needs of any affected person or families judged and/or perceiving themselves to be worse off as a result of the Project.

Provide a timetable and define budget requirements for these supplementary mitigation measures and detail the process of compliance monitoring and final "signing off" for these DPs.

5.18.17 Reporting Cycle/Frequency

PIU is responsible for supervision and implementation of the RP & will prepare monthly progress reports on resettlement activities. The external M&E expert will submit bi-annual review directly to EA and determine whether resettlement goals have been achieved, more importantly whether livelihoods and living standards have been restored/enhanced and suggest suitable recommendations for improvement.

5.18.18 Participation of affected people in M&E

The general approach to be used is to monitor activities and evaluate impacts ensuring participation of all stakeholders especially women and vulnerable groups. Monitoring tools would include both quantitative and qualitative methods:

Baseline household survey of a representative sample, disaggregated by gender and vulnerable groups to obtain information on the key indicators of entitlement delivery, efficiency, effectiveness, impact and sustainability. 20% percent random sample of DPs will be covered.



- Focused Group Discussions (FGD) that would allow the monitors to consult with a range of stakeholders (local government, resettlement field staff, PIU, community leaders and DPs).
- ❖ Key informant interviews: select local leaders, village workers or persons with special knowledge or experience about resettlement activities and implementation.
- Community public meetings: open public meetings at resettlement sites to elicit: -
- Information about performance of various resettlement activities.
- Structured direct observations: field observations on status of resettlement
- Implementation, plus individual or group interviews for crosschecking purposes.
- Informal surveys/interviews: informal surveys of DPs, host village, workers, resettlement staff, and implementing agency personnel using non-sampled methods. In the case of special issues, in-depth case studies of DPs and host populations from various social classes will be undertaken to assess impact of resettlement.

5.18.19 Impact on Women

The project will have both positive and negative impact on the women of the region. The women, by virtue of their biological difference, enjoy a low privilege status in the society as compared to their male counterpart. Any negative impact of the project would have greater magnitude on this less privileged class of the society. It is imperative to have a continuous monitoring and evaluation of implication of RP implementation on the women.



5.19 CONCLUSION

The Government of Manipur has taken up the initiative to develop, maintain the highways and other district roads of the State of Manipur under the big push of agricultural as well as tourist growth and increase of trade with outer world where the intensity of traffic has increased considerably and there is necessity for augmentation of capacity for safe and efficient movement of traffic. One such project is the development for 2-laning of NH-102B from Churachandpur to Tuivai for a length of 145.984 km (design chainage).

The project road starts from Churachandpur Town (Junction of NH-102B and old NH-2) and ends at Tuvai in the district of Churanchandpur. As per design the total length of the project road comes out as 145.984 km. The Start co-ordinate of the project is Latitude 24°20′46.44″ N and Longitude 93°42′00.34″ E. The End co-ordinate is Latitude 24°01′22.40″ N and Longitude 93°15′12.64″ E. Most part of the District is in through mountainous / hilly terrain and very small portion. The topography is mostly rural in nature. This Road is passing through Churachandpur, New Lamka, Munnuam, Mata village, Muallam, Bulian, Singngat, Suangdoh, Tuimai, Lungthul, Mualnuam, Sinzawl and Tuivai. The project road has distributed in four packages. This Report elaborate and describe the Third package. As per the design chainage the 3rd (IIIA) package starts from Chainage 69.875 to 88.980 km and it lie on Churachandpur district only.

Existing ROW does not cater to the codal provision of 24m ROW of Hill Road in open areas and 20m in built-up area and hence land is required to be acquired to adhere to the codal provision.

A project census survey was carried out to identify the persons both private owners and customary right holders who would be displaced by the project and to make an inventory of their assets that would be lost to the project, which would be the basis of calculation of compensation. The cut-off date is finalized as March, 2020.

The public consultation on the focus groups and the stakeholders give the opportunity to address issues, which were already resolved after making appropriate changes in design and alternative finalisation. The stakeholders become aware of the development schemes and at the same time influence and share to control over these initiatives, decisions and resources. Community consultations also help to avoid opposition to the project, which is otherwise likely to occur.

Decisions regarding providence of the resettlement and rehabilitation entitlement would be done as per the guidelines of EA and Government of India. The DPs may go to the Grievance Redressed Cell and to the Arbitrator as per the provision laid in the Guidelines. It may be noted that the redress to the grievances of the DPs may be done with consideration.

In the total SIA, there is very little impact of resettlement and rehabilitation programmes as there is no major impact in their livelihood and their socio economic as well as cultural way of life of the people of these areas. Out of the 91 DHs (Private Structure owner, Tenent & Emploeey of commercial Structute), there would be only major impact on 4 DHs, who are both residential and commercial have to be shifted.

According to the proposed alignment of the Project Road the estimated cost for the various categories of Affected Persons for different purpose and objective of Resettlement and



Rehabilitation based on rates vide Entitlement Matrix (June-July 2018) followed for EA road development works with an escalation of 12% on the said matrix. The estimated cost of Resettlement and Rehabilitation is not the total socio – economic cost of the project. The PIU should look into the income restoration of the Affected Families with the objective that the families are 'as well off as before'. The Resettlement Budget is Rs. **10.45 Cr** as per Minimum Guidance Value.

The Resettlement Impact is summarized in Tabular format.

Table 5.22: Resettlement Impacts for Package-IIIA

SI.	Impacts	Number
1	Total land acquisition requirements (in ha)	26.91
2	Total no. of private Residential structures	82
3	Total no. of private Commercial structures	3
4	Total number of Residential cum Commercial structures	7
5	Total number of other private structure	54
6	Total No. of Affected Families by affected structure	91
7	Total Number of Vulnerable households affected of Affected structure	91
8	Total number of displaced persons (DPs)	528
9	Total number of affected Community / Government structures	8

Source: Census Survey on March, 2020

The widening of the NH gives an immense scope of development of the region in regards of easy accessibility. Other than the development of the agricultural sector there would be easy accessibility of the other industrial and consumerable products to the region and the finished Handicrafts and cottage industrial products with the rest the country as well as for International Market. The socio – economic status of the region is being changing drastically with inflow venture and human capital. The changing climate of the socio – economic and political scenario of the state of Manipur with the working culture of the people with a huge supply of local skilled and unskilled labourers would increase the scope of developments by manifolds. Infrastructural investment such as the NH 102B would remove the bottle neckness of development and help in taking a huge positive leap of sustainable socio- economic growth of the region.



Social Analysis Package-IIIA

NH - 102B CHURACHANDPUR-TUIVAI ROAD (CHURACHANDPUR DISTRICT) LAND AND STRUCTURE DETAILS





sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
										R-20	RESIDENTIAL	GF	PERMANENT	60.32	60.32		
										R-20	RESIDENTIAL	FF	PERMANENT	60.32	60.32		
1	Headman	68+880- 75+175	вотн	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	G SUANCHINPAU S/O G.ZAMKHOGIN	4493 7965 9968	Private	R-20	RESIDENTIAL	FF	PERMANENT- PROJECTION	6.12	6.12		
2	Only Structure	70+610- 70+620	RIGHT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	THANGCHUAILOU S/O TANGTHANGUUNG	7291 6428 8725	Private	R-21	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	24.4	24.4		
3	Only Structure	70+680- 70+690	RIGHT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	EMANUEL S/O GOSHTAB	3231 0162 0499	Private	R-22	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	39.2	39.2		
										L-34	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	92	92		The same of the sa
4	Only Structure	70+710- 70+720	LEFT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	ZAMKHANTHANG S/O CHINZATHANG	4215 9762 1982	Private	L-34	RESIDENTIAL	FF	TEMPORARY	92	92		
	Only	70+730- 70+740,	LEFT/			GBP-	THANGKHANSON	3816		L-35	URINAL SHED	-	PERMANENT	3.91	3.91		
5	Structure	78+785-	RIGHT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	1	S/O ZAMKHOGIN	8920 2798	Private	R-26A	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	54.02	54.02		- Aller
		70+795						2190		R-26B	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	11.02	11.02		
6	Only Structure	70+740- 70+750	LEFT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	THANGKHANKHUAL S/O TUNSUANKAP	8432 4176 2011	Private	R-23	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	92	92		





Social Analysis Package-IIIA

sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
7	Only Structure	70+750- 70+765	LEFT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	SUANKHANLIAN S/O THANGHENPAU	8523 1423 9253	Private	R-24	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	85.8	85.8		
8	Only Structure	70+765- 70+780	RIGHT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	KHAMCHINNANG S/O SONGKHOPAU	6378 9524 2128	Private	R-25	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	77.38	77.38		
										R-27A	RESIDENTIAL- STORE	GF	TEMPORARY	10.24	10.24		
9	Only Structure	70+795- 70+815	RIGHT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	THANGZAMUAN S/O DAMKHOTUAN	2534 4472 7664	Private	R-27B	TOILET	-	TEMPORARY	2.94	2.94		
										R-27C	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	82	82		
10	Only Structure	70+815- 70+825	RIGHT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	SENNGAIHTHANG S/O S TUNSUANKAP	3675 6114 2904	Private	R-28	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	15.51	15.51		
11	Only Structure	70+830- 70+840	RIGHT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	HAUNGAIHLUN W/O KAIKHOSIAM	3925 9631 8361	Private	R-29	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	75.65	75.65		
12	Only Structure	70+885- 70+890	RIGHT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	KHAMZACHIN S/O CHINZATHANG	7221 3863 3469	Private	R-30	BATHROOM	-	TEMPORARY	4.5	4.5		





Manipur.

Social Analysis Package-IIIA

sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
13	Only Structure	70+890- 70+895	RIGHT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	PUMNEIHOI W/O GINLALSUAN	6205 9765 7171	Private	R-31	BATHROOM	-	TEMPORARY	4.83	4.83		
14	F-1 (Only Plantation)	71+120- 71+190	LEFT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	SUANKHANLIAN S/O THANGHENPAU	8523 1423 9253	Private	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
15	F-2 (Only Plantation)	71+332- 71+375	LEFT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	LALMINTHANG S/O KHAIMANG	4801 3054 2260	Private	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
16	F-3 (Only Plantation)	71+375- 71+560	LEFT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	THANGCHINLAM S/O TUNKHOKAM	6139 2734 1104	Private	-	-	-	-	-	1		-
17	Only Structure	73+920- 73+925	RIGHT	G.Bualjang	Suangdoh	GBP- 1	KAMKHANLUN S/O PAUZATHANG		Private	R-32	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	1	-	
18	Portion of Disputed (79+060- 79+645)	75+175- 76+918, 75+175- 78+111, 77+140- 82+730, 78+240- 82+730		Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	CHINZALUN S/O LIANKHOMANG	9811 9217 6557	Private	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
19	Only Structure	76+170- 76+180	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	PAUKHANTHANG S/O DOUKHAMG	6304 8916 6474	Private	R- 33A&B	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	-		
20	Only Structure	76+210- 76+220	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	KH THANGNGAIHPAU S/O LUAHKHOGIN	4557 4122 0720	Private	R-34	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	-		





Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Final Detailed Project Report Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
21		76+918- 77+140	LEFT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 2	ZAMKHUACIN NAULAK S/O NENGPUM	3843 9562 1184	Private	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
22	Only Structure	77+980- 77+990	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	CHINZALUN S/O LIANKHOMANG	9811 9217 6557	Private	R-36	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE				
23	F-4 (Only Plantation)	77+539- 77+668	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	M HAUZASIAM S/O NENGKHANSUAN	9882 2980 6359	Private	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
24	Only Structure	78+020- 78+030	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	GINZAMUNG S/O KAICHINTHANG	3800 2191 9460	Private	R-37	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE				
25	Only Structure	78+040- 78+075	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	S PAUKHANSUAN S/O THUALKHOPAU	9780 5865 6430	Private	R- 38A&B	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	-		
26		78+111- 78+180, 77+670- 77+680, 78+100- 78+110	RIGHT/ RIGHT/ RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 3	ZAMZAMUAN S/O DAIKHANKHUAL	7603 1186 2546	Private	R-35	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	-		





Manipur.

Social Analysis Package-IIIA

sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
27		78+180- 78+240	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 4	CHINGNOU W/O BROJEN	7287 3693 5346	Private	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
28	Only Structure	78+240- 78+250	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	G LIANKAP S/O TUALKHOZAN	7953 8110 4253	Private	R-39	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE				
29	Only Structure	78+275- 78+295	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	S PAUKHANSUAN S/O THUALKHOPAU	9780 5865 6430	Private	R-40A R-40B	RESIDENTIAL TOILET	GF -	TEMPORARY	1.5	85.56 1.5		
30	Only Structure	78+320- 78+330	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	GOVERNMENT	Not Appli cabl e	Govern ment	CPR-5	WATER TANK	-	PERMANENT	1.69	1.69	Not Applicable	
31	Only	78+330- 78+350,	LEFT/RI	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP-	C/O- S PAUKHANSUAN		Commu	R-41	CHURCH QUARTER	GF	TEMPORARY	69.16	69.16		See As a second
	Structure	78+310- 78+320	GHT		out.igue.i	1	S/O THUALKHOPAU		nity	CPR-6	SCHOOL	GF	TEMPORARY	80.85	80.85		
32	Only Structure	78+330- 78+340	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	H SOILIAN S/O PAUZATHANG		Private	R-42	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	54.76	54.76	Not Present	
							S MANGCHINKHUP	4360		R-43	SHED MEMORIAL	-	TEMPORARY	12.5	12.5		
33	Only Structure	78+360- 78+370	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	NGAIHTE S/O S ZOUKHANLIAN NGAIHTE	6340 3516	Private	R-43	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	-		





Manipur.

Social Analysis Package-IIIA

sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
34	Only Structure	78+385- 78+400	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	DAMKHANPAU S/O TUALNGAI	2460 2267 1215	Private	R-44	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	47.94	47.94		
35	Only Structure	78+400- 78+410	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	OWNER NOT PRESENT		Private	R-45	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	61.44	61.44		
36	Only Structure	78+410- 78+420	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	PAUCHINZAM S/O KHAIKHOKAM	8156 4019 9952	Private	R-46	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	69.56	69.56	-	
37	Only Structure	78+420- 78+430	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	LIANCHINPAU S/O LT. HELZACHIN	4008 2904 6491	Private	R-48	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	-		
38	Only	78+430- 78+440,	RIGHT/ LEFT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP-	THANGNGAIHPAU S/O LUAHKHOGIN	4557 4122	Private	R-47	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	31.68	31.68		
	Structure	78+525- 78+535	LEFI	-	-	ı	5/O LUARKHUGIN	0790		L-37	COMMERCIAL- RICE MILL	GF	TEMPORARY	25.53	25.53		
39	Only Structure	78+450- 78+460	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	GINKHANMUAN		Private	R-49	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	28.48	28.48	Not Present	
40	F-5 (Only Plantation)	78+450- 78+480	LEFT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	K GINZALIAN S/O KHAICHINKAM	7906 3332 7047	Private	-	-	-	-	-	-		-



Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Final Detailed Project Report Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
41	Only Structure	78+475- 78+480	RIGHT		Suangdoh	LLP- 1	C/O- S MANGCHINKHUP NGAIHTE S/O S ZOUKHANLIAN NGAIHTE	4360 6340 3516	Govern ment	CPR-7	WATER TANK	-	PERMANENT	2.86	2.86		
										L-36A	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	53.58	53.58		The bank of the state of the st
42	Only	78+480-	LEFT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP-	SUTTHANG S/O	9106 3207	Private	L-36A	RESIDENTIAL	FF	TEMPORARY	53.58	53.58		
42	Structure	78+500		Lunginur	Suariguori	1	PAVCHINKHAI	1502	riivale	L-36B	TOILET	-	TEMPORARY	1.26	1.26	Cop Ald St	
										L-36C	SANITARY TANK	-	PERMANENT	18.1	18.1		
43	Only Structure	78+490- 78+500	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	PAUCHINKHUP S/O TUALKHOZAM	4734 6174 1919	Private	R-50	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	74.2	72.1		
44	Only	78+500-	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suanadoh	LLP-	T MANGCHNLIAN	7175	Private	R-51A	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	55.61	55.61	63	
44	Structure	78+510	KIGIII	Lunginui L	Guanguon	1	S/O KAIKHOZAM	8294 1566	Filvate	R-51B	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	-	9	
45	Only Structure	78+515- 78+520	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	DOULIANMANG S/O ONZAGIN	4254 6922 2096	Private	R-52	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	-	2	
46	Only Structure	78+525- 78+545	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	NUENPI D/O AWNZAGIN	4961 5443 9688	Private	R-53	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	41.48	41.48		



Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Final Detailed Project Report Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur-Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
4.7	Only	78+550-	DIOLIT			LLP-	CHINGZANIANG	3579	D: /	R-54A	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	100.3	100.32		
47	Structure	78+573	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	1	W/O DOUSUAN	5106 1508	Private	R-54B	TOILET	-	TEMPORARY	1.35	1.35		
48	Only Structure	78+570- 78+580	LEFT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	K THANGKHANGEN S/O K KHAIDOUKHAN	9159 4342 6865	Private	L-38	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	109.7 4	109.74		
49	Only Structure	78+573- 78+578	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	TAVNEIHHOIH W/O GINLUN	5618 8403 2921	Private	R-55	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	34.98	34.98	2	
50	Only Structure	78+580- 78+585	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	GOUZALANG S/O SONGKHOPAU		Private	R-56	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	111.7 2	111.72	Not Present	
51	Only Structure	78+590- 78+600	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	M HAVZASIAM S/O NENGKHANSUAN	9882 2980 6359	Private	R-57	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	79.9	79.9		THE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO THE PERSON NAMED IN COLU
52	Only Structure	78+600- 78+610	LEFT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	KHAIDOUKHAN S/O NENGZATHANG	7184 3475 8120	Private	L-39	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	88.74	88.74		
53	Only Structure	78+600- 78+610	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	TUNTHIANMANG S/O SONGKHOGIN	5623 7862 1342	Private	R-58	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	26.64	26.64	9	





sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
54	Only Structure	78+615- 78+625	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	NGILKHODOU S/O THANGZAKHAI	6561 2564 8027	Private	R-59	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	83.82	83.82		
55	Only Structure	78+625- 78+632	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	M HAUBIAKLUN S/O M DOUKHANLIAN	2830 7007 5904	Private	R-60	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	67.32	67.32		
56	Only Structure	78+630- 78+640	LEFT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	S KHAMLEMTHAN S/O THANGCHINLAM	5078 7910 2826	Private	L-39Y	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	66.3	66.3		THE NEW YORK
										Private L-39Y	RESIDENTIAL	FF	TEMPORARY	66.3	66.3		
57	Only Structure	78+632- 78+640	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	GINZATHANG S/O KAIZATHANG	3800 2191 9460	Private	R-61	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	74.2	74.2		
58	Only Structure	78+640- 78+655	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	LEMLAL MUNLUAH S/O NENGSUAN	7491 7818 7099	Private	R-62A	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	-		
										R-62B	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	86.45	86.45		
59	Only Structure	78+655- 78+670	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	KAMTHANG S/O PAUZALANG	3712 0787 3267	Private ·	R-63A	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	-		
										R-63B	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	114.3 9	114.39		

Social Analysis

Package-IIIA







Social Analysis

Package-IIIA

sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
60	Only	78+670- 78+675,	RIGHT/	Lungihul L	Suanadoh	LLP-	S MANGCHINKHUP NGAIHTE S/O S	4360 6340	Private	R-64X	WATER TANK	-	PERMANENT	2.8	2.8		
00	Structure	79+125- 79+130	RIGHT	Lunginui L	Suanguon	1	ZOUKHANLIAN NGAIHTE	3516	riivale	R-87	WATER TANK	-	PERMANENT	2.24	2.24		
61	Only Structure	78+675- 78+680	LEFT	Lungthul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	OWNER NOT PRESENT			L-39X	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	-	Not Present	
62	Only Structure	78+675- 78+683	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	THANGKAP S/O THANGZADAI	6882 9151 2534	Private	R-64	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	52.92	52.92		
63	Only Structure	78+690- 78+705	LEFT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	P KHAMKHANTHANG S/O NIANNGAIHTHUM	5301 6589 5545	Private	L-40	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	55.62	55.62		E WITTE STATE
64	Only Structure	78+705- 78+715	LEFT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	DONBIAKMAWI D/O TUANZATHANG	9333 6048 8511	Private	L-41	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	62.25	62.25		
65	Only	78+705-	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suanadah	LLP-	DAIBIAKLIAN S/O	4881 8876	Private	R-65A	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	-		
00	Structure	78+715	NIGHT	Lunginui L	Suariguofi	1	NGILKHODOU	3383	Filvate	R-65B	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	62.62	62.62		





Social Analysis

Package-IIIA

sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
66	Only Structure	78+715- 78+725	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	SUTMUANCHIN S/O KAPCHINKHAM	9482 8473 8052	Private	R-66	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	67.23	67.23		
										R-67A	RESIDENTIAL- STORE	GF	TEMPORARY	5.52	5.52		
67	Only Structure	78+725- 78+740	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	PAUKHANMANG S/O LAMZAKHUP	4611 0456 9017	Private	R-67B	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	135.5 2	135.52	Not Present	
										R-67C	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE				
68	Only	78+740-	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP-	NEMKHANCHIN	6443 3524	Private	R-68A	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	51.41	51.41		
00	Structure	78+755	NIGITI	Lungmu L	Suanguon	1	D/O PAUZALANG	5059	riivale	R-68B	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	1	-		
69	Only Structure	78+755- 78+766	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	KHAIKHANPAU S/O THANGZADAI	7068 3753 1840	Private	R-69	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	50.22	50.22		
70	Only	78+766-	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP-	KAIDOU S/O	5272 8709 3325	Private	R-70A	TOILET	-	TEMPORARY	1.6	1.6		
70	Structure	78+790	MOITI	Edingiliai E	Guanguon	1	THANGZADAI	3325	Tivate	R-70A	SANITARY TANK	-	PERMANENT	4.2	4.2		





Social Analysis


Package-IIIA

sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
										R-70B	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	92.25	92.25		
										R-70C	MEMORIAL STONE		MEMORIAL STONE	-	-		F
71	Only Structure	78+800- 78+805	LEFT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	PAUZANIANG W/O NENGZAKHUP	5742 4298 7650	Private	L-42	RESIDENTIAL CUM COMMERCIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	33.21	33.21		
72	Only Structure	78+810- 78+820	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	CHINZALUN S/O LIANKHOMANG	9811 9217 6557	Private	R-71	MEMORY STONE	ı	MEMORY STONE	1	-		
73	Only Structure	78+820- 78+825	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	KAIDOU S/O THANZADAI	5272 8709 3325	Private	R-72	MEMORY STONE	1	MEMORY STONE	-	-		
74	Only Structure	78+870- 78+875	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	CHINNGAIHUNG		Private	R-73	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	-	Not Present	
75	Only Structure	78+895- 78+900	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	M GINNNIAL		Private	R-74	MEMORY STONE	1	MEMORY STONE	-	-	Not Present	
76	Only Structure	78+900- 78+925	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	THANGLIANMONG S/O KHAIKHOHAU	9199 4330 5735	Private	R-75A	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	-		



Manipur.

sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
										R-75B	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	114.8 4	114.84		
										R-75C	RESIDENTIAL- STORE	GF	TEMPORARY	6.3	6.3		
77	Only Structure	78+925- 78+940	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	PAUTHIAWMANG S/O PAUZALAI	6365 0646 1374	Private	R-76	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	79.52	79.52		
78	Only	78+945- 78+955,	RIGHT/	Lungihul L	Suanadoh	LLP-	K DOUKHANKHUP S/O K	6591 4736	Private	R-77	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	88.14	88.14		
70	Structure	79+00- 79+005	LEFT	Lunginui L	Suanguon	1	THANGKHANDAL	1745	Filvate	L-45	MEMORY STONE	1	MEMORY STONE	-	-		
										R-78A	RESIDENTIAL- STORE	GF	TEMPORARY	18.49	18.49		
79	Only Structure	78+955- 78+970, 78+940- 78+950	RIGHT/ LEFT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	T LAMKHANSUAN S/O T DAIKHOKAM	4008 5580 2556	Private	R-78B	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	56.12	56.12		
										L-43	RICE MILL	GF	TEMPORARY	20.16	20.16		





Manipur.

sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
80	Only Structure	78+975- 78+980	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	C/O- S MANGCHINKHUP NGAIHTE S/O S ZOUKHANLIAN NGAIHTE	4360 6340 3516	Govern ment	R-78X	WATER TANK	-	PERMANENT	3.91	3.91		
81	Only	78+975- 78+987,	RIGHT/	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP-	GIWLIANTHAG S/O	6598 2449	Private	R-79	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	100.8 6	100.86		
	Structure	78+987- 79+000	LEFT	Lungina L	Guangaon	1	SUAHZACHIN	1954	Tivalo	L-44	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	58.22	58.22		
82	Only	78+978-	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP-	DOUTHIANMANG S/O	4517 1507	Private	R80A	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE				
02	Structure	79+005	RIGITI	Lungmur L	Suanguon	1	THANGKHANPAU	0456	Filvate	R-80B	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	50.88	50.88		
83	Only Structure	79+035- 79+045	вотн	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	PAUZALAM S/O THANGKHENPAU		Private	R-81	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	64.78	64.78		
84	Only Structure	79+060- 79+070	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	S GINMANG S/O PAUTHAWN	4522 4132 6669	Private	R-82	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	53.12	53.12		
85	Only Structure	79+080- 79+090	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	HAUKHANLIAN S/O DAHTHANG	9759 2510 8986	Private	R-84	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	91.53	91.53		





Manipur.

sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
86	Only Structure	79+100- 79+110	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	KHUPSIAM S/O PAUTHAWN	4749 4240 4724	Private	R-85	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	81.76	81.76		
87	Only	79+113-	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP-	EBC COMMUNITY		Commu	L-76	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	71.1	71.1		
	Structure	79+125			out.igue.i	1			nity	R-86	EBC QUARTER	GF	TEMPORARY	76.59	76.59	Not Applicable	
88	Only	79+135-	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP-	PAUHENLAM S/O	4478 4601	Private	R-88A	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	56.42	56.42		
	Structure	79+155	NOTT	Edilgillar	Cuangaon	1	SONGKHOPAU	1395	Tilvate	R-88B	COMMERCIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	25.42	25.42		
89	Only Structure	79+170- 79+180	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	KHANPIANG S/O PAUZALANG	5687 8356 9164	Private	R-89	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	21.2	21.2		
00	Only	79+180-	DICUIT		Sugnadah	LLP-	DOLII AMTHANG	6867	Drivesto	R-90A	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE			Not Present	
90	Structure	79+190	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	1	DOULAMTHANG	0958 0841	Private	R-90B	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	54.87	54.87		





Social Analysis Package-IIIA

sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
91	Only Structure	79+190- 79+200	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	GINLIANTHANG S/O SUAHZACHIN	6598 2449 1954	Private	R-91	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	84.75	84.75		
										R-92A	PAVMENT	•	PERMANENT	7.29	7.29		
92	Only Structure	79+220- 79+250	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	THONGZASUAN S/O SUAHZACHIN	3407 8284 0759	Private	R-92B	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	91.98	91.98	Not Present	
										R-92C	TOILET	ı	TEMPORARY	3.6	3.6		
93	Only Structure	79+250- 79+255	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	TUALKAPLIAN S/O LIANZACHIN	2492 0100 9781	Private	R-93	MEMORY STONE	ı	MEMORY STONE				
94	Only Structure	79+308- 79+312	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	OWNER NOT PRESENT		Private	R-94	MEMORY STONE	1	MEMORY STONE			Not Present	
95	Only Structure	80+005- 80+010	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	HT SAMUEL S/O H CHINZAMUN	4704 4913 6607	Private	R-95	MEMORY STONE	1	MEMORY STONE				
96	Only Structure	80+010- 80+015	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	KAMTHANG S/O PAUZALANG	3712 0787 3267	Private	R-96	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	-		



sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
97	Only Structure	80+210- 80+215	RIGHT	Lungihul L	Suangdoh	LLP- 1	ZAMZALIAN S/O VUNGZATUN		Private	R-97	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	ı	Not Present	
98	B-1 (Only Plantation)	82+970- 82+995	LEFT	Lungthul D	Suangdoh	LDP- 1	OWNER NOT PRESENT		Private	-	-	-	-	-	1	Not Present	-
99		82+730- 84+890	вотн	Lungthul D	Suangdoh	LDP- 1	MANGLIANMUNG GUITE S/O M.JAMKHENMANG	4908 0956 2975	Private	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
100		84+890- 85+730, 84+890- 87+000, 85+919- 86+876, 87+105- 87+520, 87+180- 88+982, 87+900- 88+982	вотн	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	G KHAMKHOHAU S/O G CHINZALIAN	5917 9604 4862	Private	-	-	-	-	-	-	Na st	-
101	Only Structure	85+730- 85+919	RIGHT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-4	G. HAUSUAN S/O KHATKHAWTHANG	2773 3921 7793	Private	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
102	Only Structure	86+050- 86+060	LEFT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	NANGSUANKHUAL S/O KAMNGAIHTHANG	9640 9641 3328	Private	NL-1	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	3.9	3.9	OLYMPIC	
103	Only Structure	86+115- 86+125	RIGHT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	DONGJAGIN S/O SONGKHONENG	8199 4170 2970	Private	NR-1	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	6	6		



sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
104	Only Structure	86+140- 86+150	RIGHT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	CHINNGAIHMAN D/O LT. EL KHOTHANG	5917 9604 4862	Private	NR-2	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	9.13	9.13	Not Present	
105	Only Structure	86+205- 86+215	LEFT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	SONGKHANTHANG S/O LT. CHINTHUAM	5746 4223 2569	Private	NL-2	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	72.38	72.38		
106	Only Structure	86+235- 86+245	RIGHT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	NENGKHANHAU S/O KAIKHOTHANG	4643 7622 5654	Private	NR-3	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	8.75	8.75		
								2497		NL-3	RESIDENTIAL CUM COMMERCIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	60.16	60.16	96	
107	Only Structure	86+290- 86+300	LEFT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	KAMLIANSIAM S/O GINZALHANG	4046 5723	Private	NL-3	RESIDENTIAL CUM COMMERCIAL	FF	TEMPORARY	60.16	60.16		
								2107		NL-4	RESIDENTIAL CUM COMMERCIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	59.94	14.06	75	
108	Only Structure	86+290- 86+300	LEFT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	GINKHANZAM S/O KAMPU	2107 3064 2094	Private	NL-4	RESIDENTIAL CUM COMMERCIAL	FF	TEMPORARY	59.94	14.06		
109	Only Structure	86+300- 86+310	LEFT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	G KHAMKHOHAU S/O CHINZALIAN	5917 9604 4862	Commu nity	NCPR- 1	WAITING SHED	GF	SEMI- PERMANENT	4.16	4.16	Not Applicable	CPR1





Manipur.

sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
110	Only Structure	86+300- 86+310	RIGHT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	THONGKHANCHIN VALTE S/O LT. KAIKHOTHANG	2847 0142 7653	Private	NR-4	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	10.12	10.12		
111	Only Structure	86+310- 86+320	LEFT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	PAUZALAM S/O SUTZAGIN	3639 2299 2562	Private	NL-5 NL-5	RESIDENTIAL	GF FF	TEMPORARY	4.29	4.29		
112	Only	86+310-	RIGHT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	VNIALZACHIN S/O	4011 3616	Private	NR-5A	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	19.6	19.6		
112	Structure	86+330	RIGHT	капукар	Suanguon	NF-1	LT. SUTZAGIN	8460	Filvate	NR-5B	TOILET	-	TEMPORARY	8.32	8.32		
113	Only Structure	86+320- 86+330	LEFT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	CHINKHANMUAN S/O HAUKHANPAU	6932 2926	Private	NL-6	RESIDENTIAL CUM COMMERCIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	70.56	20.16	N.S. citatel	
	Ollubialio	00.000					5/6 1///6/1///1//////	6069		NL-6	RESIDENTIAL CUM COMMERCIAL	FF	TEMPORARY	70.56	20.16		
114	Only Structure	86+330- 86+340	LEFT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	VUNGCHING W/O NENGKHOGIN	7196 6443 6867	Private	NL-7	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	44	44		





sl. No.	Remarks	Chainage	Side	Village	Sub- Division	Plot No.	Owner's Name	Aad haar No	Owners hip	Struct ure No	Usage	Flo or No.	Type of Structure	Total area (Sqm /M)	Affect ed Area (Sqm/ M)	Owner's Photograph	Structure Picture
115	Only Structure	86+360- 86+370	LEFT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	G KHAMKHOHAU S/O G CHINZALIAN	5917 9604 4862	Private	NL-8	MEMORY STONE	-	MEMORY STONE	-	ı		
116	Only Structure	86+370- 86+380	RIGHT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	TINZALAL S/O LT. KHAIKHOKAM	7479 9946 8381	Private	NR-6	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	23.04	7.2		
117	Only Structure	86+460- 86+470	RIGHT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-1	KAMKHANPAU S/O LT. NENGZAKAM	3864 3492 6818	Private	NR-7	RESIDENTIAL	GF	TEMPORARY	11.78	1.55	PERFUMEIA	
118		86+876- 87+105, 87+000- 87+180	RIGHT/ LEFT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-5	KSUANZAGIN S/O THUAMZAGIN	4785 0944 3939	Private	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
119	Disputed Land	87+520- 87+900	RIGHT	Kangkap	Suangdoh	KP-6	DALKHANNANG S/O LIANKHANTHUAM	2128 9480 2389	Private	-	-	-	-	-	-	Not Present	-





Final Detailed Project Report Social Analysis Package-IIIA

Page: 226

ACRONYMS

AROa : Assistant Resettlement Officer

AWC : Anganwadi Centre

BDO : Block Development Officer

BPL : Below Poverty Line
BSR : Basic Schedule Rates

CPR : Common Property Resource

DC : District Commissioner

DGM : Deputy General Manager

DPR : Detailed Project Report

EA : Executing Agency

EPC : Engineering, Procurement and Construction

FGD : Focus group discussions
Gol : Government of India
GP : Gram Panchayat

GRC : Grievance Redressal Committee

GSB : Paved sub base

HIV/AIDS : Human Immunodeficiency virus / Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome

IA : Implementing Agency

ICDS : Intregreted Child Development Service
ICDS : Integrated Child Development Services

KII : Key Informant Interview

MT : Motorized traffic

NGO : Non-Government Organization

NH : National Highway

NHIDCL: National Highway Infrastructural Development Corporation Limited

NMT : Non-motorized traffic

NRRP : National Rehabilitation and Resettlement Policy

OBC : Other Backward Castes

PHC : Primary health centre

PMU : Project Monitoring Unit

PIU : Project Implementation Unit

PT : Pedestrian traffic

R&R : Resettlement and Rehabilitation
RCD : Road Construction Department

SC : Scheduled Castes
SH : State Highway
ST : Scheduled Tribes

WHH : Women Headed Household



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: RO April, 2020

CHAPTER - 6 TRAFFIC SURVEYS AND ANALYSIS

6.1 INTRODUCTION

The National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited (NHIDCL) has been entrusted with the assignment of Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur - Tuivai road section on NH-102B on Engineering, Procurement and Construction mode in the state of Manipur.

The project road starts from 3-legged junction of NH-102B and NH-150 (old NH-2) at New Lamka in Churachandpur and ends near Manipur-Mizoram state border at Tuivai village in the district of Churachandpur. This road is passing through Churachandpur town, New Lamka town, Munnuam village, Mata village, Muallam village, Bulian village, Singngat village, Suangdoh village, Tuimai village, Lungthul village, Mualnuam village, Sinzawl village and ends at Tuivai village. As per preliminary study the total length of the project road comes out as 161.349 km.

6.2 OBJECTIVE & SCOPE

The objective of the traffic studies carried out as part of this assignment is for technical understanding and assessment of the project. In order to assess the project, volume of traffic that uses the corridor and the future traffic demand were estimated with various types of traffic surveys as stipulated in the TOR.

The detailed scope of services is as follows:

- To carry out 7 days continuous 24 hrs Classified Traffic Volume Count Survey at locations informed to Client.
- To analyze the data collected through possible leakage points and study of travel pattern to determine through traffic for important segments of the route.
- Determination of possible leakage points and alternative diversion routes by detailed network study.
- To carry out Intersection count survey (1day x 12 hours) at Major Intersections along the project road.
- To carry out OD and Axle Load survey.
- Calculation of MSA values based on Traffic volume for use in the pavement design.
- The details of the data collection, primary as well as secondary, results from its analysis are presented in the following sections.

6.3 TRAFFIC SURVEYS

In order to understand the characteristics and the volume of traffic using the project road, data on existing road network, traffic volume on the project road were collected through primary surveys. For this purpose, a detailed reconnaissance survey was conducted to identify appropriate locations for

April, 202

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

primary traffic surveys. The details on the types of primary traffic surveys carried out on the Project Road and their locations are given in the following sections, followed by findings from the analysis of this data.

6.3.1 SURVEY LOCATIONS

At the beginning of the study, a detailed reconnaissance survey has been carried out to identify traffic homogeneous sections so that each homogeneous section will have similar traffic volume and composition. Based on the above, the length of total project road has been considered as two homogeneous sections.

Homogeneous Section I: Km 0+000 to Km 74+000 (Churachandpur – Lungthul)

Homogenous Section II : km 74+000 to km 161+665 (Lungthul – Tuivai)

6.3.2 CLASSIFIED TRAFFIC VOLUME COUNT LOCATIONS

Locations have been considered for classified Traffic volume counts (7days x 24hrs). The locations are:

- ✓ At Churachandpur on old NH 02 (Now NH 150) (Near Ch. 0+000 km)
- ✓ At Buallian (Ch. 5+500 km)
- √ At Singngat (Ch. 34+175 km)
- √ At Khuanggin (Ch. 135+500 km)
- √ At Sinzawl (Ch. 149+200 km)

6.3.3 TURNING MOVEMENT COUNT SURVEY LOCATIONS

Five major intersections were identified on the project road corridor. 1 Day x 12 hour turning movement count survey was carried out at following five intersections on the project road. The intersection points taken into consideration are as follows:

- √ 3-legged intersection at Churachandpur (Ch. 0+000 Km)
- √ 4-legged intersection at New Lamka (Ch. 0+526 Km)
- √ 4-legged intersection at New Lamka (Ch. 1+840 Km)
- √ 3-legged intersection at Singngat (Ch. 34+175 Km)
- √ 3-legged intersection at Sinzawl (Ch. 149+582 Km)

6.3.4 AXLE LOAD SURVEY LOCATION

Axle Load survey (2 days x 24hrs) has been conducted at two locations which are as follows:

- ✓ At Churachandpur on NH 102B (Ch. 0+500 Km)
- √ At Sinzawl on NH 102B (Ch. 149+200 km)

6.3.5 ORIGIN DESTINATION SURVEY LOCATION

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Origin Destination survey (1day x 24hrs) has been conducted at two locations which are as follows:



Revision: R0

April, 2020

- √ At Churachandpur on NH 102B (Ch. 0+000 Km)
- √ At Sinzawl on NH 102B (Ch. 149+200 km)

6.3.6 PEDESTRIAN SURVEY LOCATION

Pedestrian survey (1day x 12 hrs.) has been conducted at six locations along the road stretch which are as follows:

- ✓ At Churachandpur (Ch. 0+000 Km)
- ✓ At Mata (Ch. 7+250 Km)
- ✓ At Singngat (Ch. 34+155 Km)
- √ At Maokot (Ch. 48+300 Km)
- √ At Suangdoh (Ch. 64+200 km)
- √ At Sinzawl (Ch. 148+450 km)

Detailed Traffic Survey Schedule is mentioned in Table 6.1

TABLE 6.1: TRAFFIC SURVEY SCHEDULE

SI.	Type of Survey	Nos. Proposed	Locations
1	Classified Traffic Volume Count	5	 ATCC-1 at Churachandpur on old NH-2 (Near Ch. 0+000 km) ATCC-2 at Buallian(Ch. 5+500 km) ATCC-3 at Singngat (Ch. 34+175 km) ATCC-4 at Khuanggin (Ch. 135+500 km) ATCC-5 at Sinzawl (Ch. 149+200 km)
2	Turning Movement Count Survey	5	 TMC-1 at Churachandpur (Ch. 0+000 km) TMC-2 at New Lamka (Ch-0+526 km) TMC-3 at New Lamka (Ch-1+840 km) TMC-4 at Ch-34+175 (Singngat) TMC-5 at Ch. 149+582 km (Sinzawl)
3	Axle Load Survey	2	 <u>Axle-1</u> at Churachandpur (Ch.0+500) <u>Axle-2</u> at Sinzawl (Ch. 149+200 km)
4	Origin-Destination Survey	2	 OD-1 at Churachandpur (Ch.0+000) OD-2 at Sinzawl (Ch. 149+200 km)
5	Pedestrian Count/Animal Cross Traffic Count Survey	6	 Pedestrian-1 at Churachandpur (Ch.0+000 km) Pedestrian-2 at Mata (Ch.7+250 km) Pedestrian-3 at Singngat (Ch.34+155 km) Pedestrian-4 at Maokot (Ch.48+300 km) Pedestrian-5 at Suangdoh (Ch.64+200 km) Pedestrian-6 at Sinzawl (Ch.148+450 km)
6	Speed & Delay Survey	162 Km	Total Project Stretch

Trained enumerators were used for counting traffic under the supervision of qualified and experienced transport planners/supervisors. The data collected from the traffic surveys was coded and entered into the computer for its analysis and interpretation of results with respect to existing traffic, travel pattern and for forecasting purposes. The details from the analysis of survey data are presented in the following sections. A traffic survey location map is given in Figure 6.1

April, 202



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0




Fig 6.1: Location Map of Traffic Survey

6.4 CLASSIFIED TRAFFIC VOLUME COUNTS

Classified directional traffic volumes were conducted with ATCC for 7 days 24 hours at five identified locations on the project road which are as follows:

- ✓ At Churachandpur on old NH 02 (Now NH 150) (Near Ch. 0+000 km)
- √ At Buallian (Ch. 5+500 km)
- √ At Singngat (Ch. 34+175 km)
- √ At Khuanggin (Ch. 135+500 km)
- √ At Sinzawl (Ch. 149+200 km)



Classified directional traffic volumes were conducted to obtain the following.

- Average Daily Traffic (ADT)
- Temporal Variation
- ✓ Daily Variation
- ✓ Hourly Variation
- Directional Distribution
- Traffic Composition



April, 2020 Page: 230 S.C. 805

- Seasonal Variation
- ✓ Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT)
- ✓ Peak Season AADT

The details of the above are presented in the following sections.

6.4.1 AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (ADT)

The traffic volumes counted in 15 minute intervals have been aggregated to one-hour volumes. These are presented in Appendix to Main Report. The hourly volumes have been aggregated into daily volumes for the entire survey period (7-days). The daily volumes are then averaged for ADT. To express the classified vehicular count in terms of PCUs, the PCU factors as given in IRC-108: 1996 have been considered. For ready reference, the PCU Factors considered in the analysis are given in Table-6.2

TABLE 6.2: PCU FACTORS CONSIDERED FOR THE STUDY

	111222 01211 00		1	
SI. No.		Vehicle Type		
1	Two Wheeler		0.50	
2	Car/Jeep/ Van/Taxi/	Auto	1.00	
3	BUS	Mini	1.50	
4	Б03	Standard	3.00	
5	LCV		1.50	
6		2-Axle	3.00	
7	Truck	3 -Axle	3.00	
8		Multi-Axle	4.50	
9	A gricultural Tractor	With Trailer	4.50	
10	Agricultural Tractor	Without Trailer	1.50	
11	Cycle		0.50	
12	Cycle Rickshaw		2.00	
13	Hand Cart	Hand Cart		
14	Animal Drawn	Bullock Cart	8.00	
15	Animai Drawn	Horse	4.00	

The summary of ADT, as observed on the Project Road, in terms of vehicles and PCUs at different survey locations is given in Table 6.3.

TABLE 6.3: ADT (FEBRUARY 2018) AS OBSERVED ON THE PROJECT ROAD

Vehicle Type	On Old NH-2	Buallian (Ch. 5+500 km)	Singngat (Ch. 34+175 km)	Khuanggin (Ch. 135+500)	Sinzawl (Ch. 149+200)
Two Wheeler	10235	166	116	1	2
Car/Jeep/Van/Taxi/Auto	11173	190	74	11	12
Mini Bus	11	5	1	0	0
Standard Bus	30	6	5	0	0
LCV	383	65	68	13	12
2-Axle	205	61	49	21	ATING CO. PL

CETEST Engineering Consultants

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

Vehicle Type	On Old NH-2	Buallian (Ch. 5+500 km)	Singngat (Ch. 34+175 km)	Khuanggin (Ch. 135+500)	Sinzawl (Ch. 149+200)
3-Axle	5	1	1	0	0
Multi-Axle	0	0	0	0	0
Tractor With Trailer	1	0	0	0	0
Tractor Without Trailer	14	0	0	0	0
Cycle	211	3	4	0	0
Cycle Rickshaw	255	0	0	0	0
Hand Cart	4	1	0	0	0
Bullock Cart	0	0	0	0	0
Horse Cart	0	0	0	0	0
Total Motorized Vehicles (Number)	22059	495	315	46	30
Total Non Motorized Vehicles (Number)	469	4	4	0	0
Total Vehicles(Number)	22528	499	318	46	30
Total Motorized Vehicles (PCU)	17631	583	401	93	44
Total Non Motorized Vehicles (PCU)	627	4	2	0	0
Total Vehicles(PCU)	18258	587	403	93	44
Total Commercial Vehicle per day(Number)	636	138	124	34	16

6.4.2 TEMPORAL VARIATION

Analysis has been carried out to understand the following parameters on temporal variation of traffic on the Project Road

- Hourly variation of traffic, and
- Peak Hour Factor (PHF)

The results and findings from the above analysis are given below.

Engineering Consultants

April, 2020 Page: 232, S.C. 805

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

Daily Variation




Figure 6.2: Daily Variation of Traffic at 5+500 Km in Vehicles per Day




Figure 6.3: Daily Variation of Traffic at 5+500 Km in PCU per Day



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

April, 2020






Figure 6.4: Daily Variation of Traffic at 34+175 Km in Vehicles per Day




Figure 6.5: Daily Variation of Traffic at 34+175 Km in PCU per Day



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

April, 2020

Page: VS.C. BOSE




Figure 6.6: Daily Variation of Traffic at 135+500 Km in Vehicles per Day




Figure 6.7: Daily Variation of Traffic at 135+500 Km in PCU per Day



April, 2020 Page: 295 SC 805




Figure 6.8: Daily Variation of Traffic at 149+200 Km in Vehicles per Day




Figure 6.9: Daily Variation of Traffic at 149+200 Km in PCU per Day



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020

From the above figure, the following conclusions are made:

- > At location-2, Chainage 5.500 km near Buallian the daily variation of fast moving vehicle in number is within 13.80 % to -14.50% and in PCU is within 14.38% to -20.69% with respect to average daily traffic.
 - The daily variation of slow moving vehicle in number is 100% and in PCU is within 100 % with respect to average daily traffic.
- > At location-2, Chainage 34.175 Km near Singngat ,the daily variation of fast moving vehicle in number is within 19.53% to -31.02% and in PCU is within 23.23% to -29.48% with respect to average daily traffic.
 - The daily variation of slow moving vehicle in number is within 68.00% to -72.00% and in PCU is within 68.00% to -72.00% with respect to average daily traffic.
- > At location-3, Chainage 135.500 Km near Khuanggin ,the daily variation of fast moving vehicle in number is within 42.19% to -25.63% and in PCU is within 70.30% to -36.61% with respect to average daily traffic.
- > At location-4, Chainage 149.200 Km Sinzawl, the daily variation of fast moving vehicle in number is within 23.33% to -50.00% and in PCU is within 25.73% to -44.50% with respect to average daily traffic.

Hourly Variation

Similar to daily variation, analysis has also been carried out for hourly variation. The hourly variations in traffic (in Vehicles and PCUs per Day) observed at both the count stations on the Project Road have been presented in the following figures.

April, 202

Revision: R0




Figure 6.10: Hourly Variation of Traffic at 5+500 Km in Vehicles per Day




Figure 6.11: Hourly Variation of Traffic at 5+500 Km in PCU per Day



April, 2020 Page: 238 S.C. 805




Figure 6.12: Hourly Variation of Traffic at 34+175 Km in Vehicles per Day




Figure 6.13: Hourly Variation of Traffic at 34+175 Km in PCU per Day



April, 2020




Figure 6.14: Hourly Variation of Traffic at 135+500 Km in Vehicles per Day




Figure 6.15: Hourly Variation of Traffic at 135+500 Km in PCU per Day



April, 2020 Page: 240 S.C. 908




Figure 6.16: Hourly Variation of Traffic at 149+200 Km in Vehicles per Day




Figure 6.17: Hourly Variation of Traffic at 149+200 Km in PCU per Day

From these above figures on hourly variation the following can be derived:

The passenger traffic found at all the locations and therefore on the Project Road, moves mostly during the day time, and it is very low particularly in the night.

6.4.3 **Directional Distribution**

The directional distribution observed at the count stations on the Project Road have been presented in **Table 6.4**.

Table 6.4: Directional Distribution

Tim	ie		Km	5+500			Km	34+175			Km	135+500			Km :	149+200	
Inter (Hou		Traffic	(PCU)		ctional ution (%)	Traffi	c (PCU)	Direc Distribu	tional tion (%)	Traffi	c (PCU)	Direct Distribu		Traffi	ic (PCU)	Direct Distribu	
From	То	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down
8	9	167	193	46.45%	53.55%	201	127	61.37%	38.63%	84	108	43.60%	56.40%	72	109	39.61%	60.39%
9	10	179	209	46.12%	53.88%	214	176	54.81%	45.19%	96	111	46.38%	53.62%	87	107	44.82%	55.18%
10	11	221	193	53.38%	46.62%	192	234	45.06%	54.94%	131	121	52.09%	47.91%	117	115	50.32%	49.68%
11	12	204	206	49.76%	50.24%	170	198	46.19%	53.81%	96	121	44.21%	55.79%	82	120	40.69%	59.31%
12	13	206	148	58.19%	41.81%	168	168	50.00%	50.00%	105	102	50.61%	49.39%	94	87	51.93%	48.07%
13	14	185	178	50.96%	49.04%	130	150	46.42%	53.58%	98	108	47.45%	52.55%	78	95	45.09%	54.91%
14	15	265	199	57.11%	42.89%	145	178	44.89%	55.11%	113	123	47.88%	52.12%	99	104	48.64%	51.36%
15	16	173	172	50.15%	49.85%	156	140	52.71%	47.29%	101	95	51.53%	48.47%	98	83	54.14%	45.86%
16	17	200	205	49.38%	50.62%	210	142	59.66%	40.34%	115	82	58.52%	41.48%	112	89	55.75%	44.25%
17	18	160	198	44.62%	55.38%	157	135	53.86%	46.14%	99	78	56.09%	43.91%	85	73	53.80%	46.20%
18	19	59	91	39.33%	60.67%	73	48	60.17%	39.83%	34	19	64.15%	35.85%	31	24	56.36%	43.64%
19	20	85	104	44.95%	55.05%	53	40	57.07%	42.93%	43	19	69.11%	30.89%	37	13	73.74%	26.26%
20	21	26	45	36.17%	63.83%	29	33	46.72%	53.28%	17	11	61.82%	38.18%	20	17	53.42%	46.58%
21	22	18	28	39.13%	60.87%	10	32	24.10%	75.90%	7	6	56.00%	44.00%	7	4	66.67%	33.33%
22	23	5	21	19.61%	80.39%	6	6	52.17%	47.83%	4	1	80.00%	20.00%	8	1	88.89%	11.11%
23	0	7	17	29.17%	70.83%	13	10	57.78%	42.22%	7	1	87.50%	12.50%	9	1	89.47%	10.53%
0	1	5	3	62.50%	37.50%	1	0	100.00%	0.00%	1	0	100.00%	0.00%	1	6	14.29%	85.71%
1	2	0	2	0.00%	100.00%	0	6	0.00%	100.00%	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
2	3	0	3	0.00%	100.00%	3	3	50.00%	50.00%	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	3	0	100.00%	0.00%
3	4	1	18	5.26%	94.74%	2	2	42.86%	57.14%	2	4	30.00%	70.00%	3	2	60.00%	40.00%
4	5	15	8	65.91%	34.09%	6	6	50.00%	50.00%	1	3	28.57%	71.43%	1	3	28.57%	71.43%





Revision: R0

Final Detailed Project Report Traffic Surveys and Analysis Package-IIIA


Time Km 5+500			Km 34+175		Km 135+500			Km 149+200									
Inter (Hou	-	Traffic	(PCU)		tional ution (%)	Traffic	c (PCU)	Direct Distribu	tional tion (%)	Traffi	c (PCU)	Direct Distribu		Traffi	c (PCU)	Direct Distribu	
From	То	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down	Up	Down
5	6	27	10	72.97%	27.03%	17	32	34.69%	65.31%	6	4	57.89%	42.11%	2	3	40.00%	60.00%
6	7	33	46	41.67%	58.33%	22	58	27.50%	72.50%	20	21	49.38%	50.62%	16	15	52.46%	47.54%
7	8	207	136	60.44%	39.56%	77	103	42.74%	57.26%	66	83	44.11%	55.89%	34	57	37.36%	62.64%
Tota	al	2444	2428	50.16%	49.84%	2050	2021	50.36%	49.64%	1242	1217	50.52%	49.48%	1092	1125	49.26%	50.74%

The overall directional distribution is 50:50 at Km 5+500, 50:50 at Km 34+175, 51:49 at Km 135+500 and 49:51 at Km 149+200 considering the traffic flow at all the count stations.



6.4.4 TRAFFIC COMPOSITION

While detailed traffic counts are presented in Appendix to Main Report, for ready reference and easier appreciation, the composition of traffic at various count locations has been shown in Figure 6.18, 6.19, 6.20 & 6.21.







Figure 6.18: Traffic Composition (5+500 km)



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR





Figure 6.19: Traffic Composition (34+175 km)



Revision: R0









Figure 6.20: Traffic Composition (135+500 km)






Figure 6.21: Traffic Composition (149+200 km)



April, 2020 Page: 245 S.C. 805

From the above figures it can be seen that car & two wheelers comprise about 39-50% of total traffic whereas 2-axle & 3-axle trucks comprise about 1-3% of total traffic on the project road.

The traffic composition shown in the above figures has been summarized in Tables 6.5(a), Tables 6.5(b), Tables 6.5(c) and Tables 6.5(d)

Table 6.5(a): Traffic Composition at km 5+500

rable 0.5(a). Traine composition at kin 5.500							
Type of Vehicle	% of Total	ADT					
Two Wheeler	33.22	166					
Car/Jeep/Van/Taxi/Auto	38.17	190					
Mini Bus	1.06	5					
Standard Bus	1.15	6					
LCV	13.09	65					
2-Axle	12.17	61					
3-Axle	0.20	1					
Multi-Axle	0.03	0					
Tractor With Trailer	0.03	0					
Tractor Without Trailer	0.06	0					
Cycle	0.69	3					
Cycle Rickshaw	0.00	0					
Hand Cart	0.14	1					
Bullock Cart	0.00	0					
Horse Cart	0.00	0					

Table 6.5(b): Traffic Composition at km 34+175

rable 0.5(b). Traine composition at kin 341175							
Type of Vehicle	% of Total	ADT					
Two Wheeler	36.51	116					
Car/Jeep/Van/Taxi/Auto	23.35	74					
Mini Bus	0.45	1					
Standard Bus	1.62	5					
LCV	21.28	68					
2-Axle	15.27	49					
3-Axle	0.36	1					
Multi-Axle	0.04	0					
Tractor With Trailer	0.00	0					
Tractor Without Trailer	0.00	0					
Cycle	1.12	4					
Cycle Rickshaw	0.00	0					
Hand Cart	0.00	0					
Bullock Cart	0.00	0					
Horse Cart	0.00	0					



April, 2020 Page: 246

Table 6.5(c): Traffic Composition at km 135+500

Type of Vehicle	% of Total	ADT
Two Wheeler	2.50	1
Car/Jeep/Van/Taxi/Auto	23.75	11
Mini Bus	0.00	0
Standard Bus	0.00	0
LCV	28.44	13
2-Axle	45.31	21
3-Axle	0.00	0
Multi-Axle	0.00	0
Tractor With Trailer	0.00	0
Tractor Without Trailer	0.00	0
Cycle	0.00	0
Cycle Rickshaw	0.00	0
Hand Cart	0.00	0
Bullock Cart	0.00	0
Horse Cart	0.00	0

Table 6.5(d): Traffic Composition at km 149+200

Table 0.5(a). Traine composition at kin 145 1200							
Type of Vehicle	% of Total	ADT					
Two Wheeler	5.71	2					
Car/Jeep/Van/Taxi/Auto	38.57	12					
Mini Bus	0.00	0					
Standard Bus	0.48	0					
LCV	40.95	12					
2-Axle	14.29	4					
3-Axle	0.00	0					
Multi-Axle	0.00	0					
Tractor With Trailer	0.00	0					
Tractor Without Trailer	0.00	0					
Cycle	0.00	0					
Cycle Rickshaw	0.00	0					
Hand Cart	0.00	0					
Bullock Cart	0.00	0					
Horse Cart	0.00	0					

CETEST Engineering Consultants April, 2020 Page: 47 S.C. 8058

Revision: R0

6.4.5 SEASONAL CORRECTION

The traffic plying on any road generally varies over different periods of year depending on the cycle of different socio-economic activities in the regions through which it passes. Therefore, in order to have more realistic picture of the traffic on the project road, it is required to assess seasonal variation in traffic to estimate Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) and Peak Season ADT. Therefore, the ADT observed during the survey duration is multiplied by a Seasonal Correction Factor (SCF) to derive AADT and Peak season ADT. The seasonal correction factor is generally derived from secondary data sources such as past month-wise traffic data on the project road, sales of fuel at different filling stations along the project highway etc. In the absence of any other data, either of the project road or in the vicinity, only the monthly figures of fuel sales collected from one petrol bunk on the project road is considered in the estimation of seasonal variation and seasonal correction factors.

SEASONAL CORRECTION FACTOR

For the present study, firstly the petrol and diesel sale figures have been used from one petrol pumps on the project road. The petrol and diesel fuel sale data for the years 2016-2017 have been collected and analyzed for estimation of Average Seasonal Correction Factor (ASCF) and Peak Seasonal Correction Factor (PSCF). As the traffic surveys were conducted in the month of February, the above factors for the month of February is considered. The fuel sales figures at the filling station in the region are presented season wise in Table 6.6 - 6.8.

TABLE 6.6: SUMMARY OF PETROL SALES DATA AT FUEL STATION

	Petrol Sales Data (in Litre)									
		Fuel Stations								
Year	Month	M/S Niangchin Service Station	Avg. Sales Per Station (Lt.)							
2016	Apr	12000	12,000							
2016	May	12000	12,000							
2016	Jun	12000	12,000							
2016	Jul	12000	12,000							
2016	Aug	12000	12,000							
2016	Sep	12000	12,000							
2016	Oct	12000	12,000							
2016	Nov	12000	12,000							
2016	Dec	12000	12,000							
2017	Jan	12000	12,000							
2017	Feb	12000	12,000							
2017	Mar	12000	12,000							

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR



Revision: R0

TABLE 6.7: SUMMARY OF DIESEL SALES DATA AT FUEL STATION

	Diesel Sales Data (in Litre)									
		Fuel Stations								
Year	Month	M/S Niangchin Service Station	Avg. Sales Per Station (Lt.)							
2016	Apr	90000	90,000							
2016	May	90000	90,000							
2016	Jun	90000	90,000							
2016	Jul	90000	90,000							
2016	Aug	90000	90,000							
2016	Sep	90000	90,000							
2016	Oct	90000	90,000							
2016	Nov	90000	90,000							
2016	Dec	90000	90,000							
2017	Jan	90000	90,000							
2017	Feb	90000	90,000							
2017	Mar	90000	90,000							

TABLE 6.8: SUMMARY OF SEASONAL VARIATION FACTOR (PETROL AND DIESEL)

Month	Avg. Se	ales (Lt.)		nal Correction ctor	Peak Se Correctio	
	Petrol	Diesel	Petrol	Diesel	Petrol	Diesel
Jan	12,000	90,000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Feb	12,000	90,000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Mar	12,000	90,000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Apr	12,000	90,000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
May	12,000	90,000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Jun	12,000	90,000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Jul	12,000	90,000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Aug	12,000	90,000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Sep	12,000	90,000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Oct	12,000	90,000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Nov	12,000	90,000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Dec	12,000	90,000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Avg. Sale per Month	12,000	90,000				

Revision: R0

Engineering Consultants

April, 2020 Page: 49 S.C. 8058

Average Seasonal Correction Factor for Petrol operated vehicles =	1.00			
Average Seasonal Correction Factor for Diesel operated vehicles =				
Average Seasonal Correction Factor to be considered for both type of vehicles =				
Peak Seasonal Correction Factor for Petrol operated vehicles =				
Peak Seasonal Correction Factor for Diesel operated vehicle =				
Peak Seasonal Correction Factor to be considered for both type of vehicles =				

The Average Seasonal Correction Factor (ASCF) has been applied on the ADT observed at the count location to derive AADT which will be used for pavement design and Economic Analysis. On the other hand Peak Seasonal Correction Factor (PSCF) has been applied on the ADT to derive Peak Season ADT which will be used for the Capacity Assessment.

The following observation can be made from the above tables:

An average of ASCF (1.00) and average of PSCF (1.00) has been calculated based on petrol and diesel sales percentage of total fuel consumption on the project road are applied on ADT.

6.4.6 ANNUAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC (AADT)

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

The Average seasonal correction factors for petrol and diesel driven vehicles, described in the previous sections have been applied to ADT to derive AADT. The AADT is used for pavement design and economic analysis. AADT of project road is used for capacity assessment are given in the following Table 6.9.

TABLE 6.9: ESTIMATES OF AADT AS OBSERVED ON THE PROJECT ROAD (FEBRUARY 2018)

AADT						
Vehicle Type	NH 150 (On Old NH-2)	Buallian (Ch. 5+500 km)	Singngat (Ch. 34+175 km)	Khuanggin (Ch. 135+500 km)	Sinzawl (Ch. 149+200)	
Two Wheeler	10235	166	116	1	2	
Car/Jeep/Van/Taxi/Auto	11173	190	74	11	12	
Mini Bus	11	5	1	0	0	
Standard Bus	30	6	5	0	0	
LCV	383	65	68	13	12	
2-Axle Truck	205	61	49	21	4	
3-Axle Truck	5	1	1	0	0	
Multi-Axle	0	0	0	0	0	
Tractor With Trailer	1	0	0	0	0	
Tractor Without Trailer	14	0	0	0	0	
Cycle	211	3	4	0	0	
Cycle Rickshaw	255	0	0	0	0	
Hand Cart	4	1	0	0	0	
Bullock Cart	0	0	0	0	0	
Horse Cart	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Motorized Vehicles (Number)	22059	495	315	46	30	
Total Non Motorized Vehicles (Number)	469	4	4	0	STING CO. AL	

Revision: R0

	AADT											
Vehicle Type	NH 150 (On Old NH-2)	Buallian (Ch. 5+500 km)	Singngat (Ch. 34+175 km)	Khuanggin (Ch. 135+500 km)	Sinzawl (Ch. 149+200)							
Total Vehicles (Number)	22528	499	318	46								
Total Motorized Vehicles (PCU)	17631	583	401	93	44							
Total Non Motorized Vehicles (PCU)	627	4	2	0	0							
Total Vehicles (PCU)	18258	587	403	93	44							
Total Commercial Vehicle per day(Number)	636	138	124	34	17							

6.4.7 TRAFFIC GROWTH RATES

As per IRC: SP 48:1998, Hill road manual, 7.5% growth of traffic is considered for hill road when past data is not available.





6.4.8 TRAFFIC PROJECTION FOR HOMOGENEOUS SECTION – I (KM 0+000 TO KM 74+000)

Table 6.10: Traffic Projection of homogeneous section-I

					-				AAD		Homogen		· · · · · ·					
Year	Growth Factors	Two Wheeler	Car/Jeep/ Van/Taxi/ Auto	Mini / RTVs Bus	Stand. Bus	LCV	2- Axle	3 - Axle	Multi- Axle	Agri. Tract. With Trailor	Agri. Tract. Without Trailor	Cycle	Cycle Rickshaw	Hand Cart	Bullock Cart	Horse Cart	Total in Numbers	Total in PCU
2017	7.5%	166	190	5	6	65	61	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	498	587
2018	7.5%	178	204	5	6	70	66	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	535	630
2019	7.5%	192	220	6	7	75	70	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	576	678
2020	7.5%	206	236	6	7	81	76	1	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	619	729
2021	7.5%	222	254	7	8	87	81	1	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	665	783
2022	7.5%	238	273	7	9	93	88	1	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	715	842
2023	7.5%	256	293	8	9	100	94	2	0	0	0	5	0	2	0	0	769	905
2024	7.5%	275	315	8	10	108	101	2	0	0	0	5	0	2	0	0	826	973
2025	7.5%	296	339	9	11	116	109	2	0	0	0	5	0	2	0	0	888	1046
2026	7.5%	318	364	10	12	125	117	2	0	0	0	6	0	2	0	0	955	1124
2027	7.5%	342	392	10	12	134	126	2	0	0	0	6	0	2	0	0	1026	1209
2028	7.5%	368	421	11	13	144	135	2	0	0	0	7	0	2	0	0	1103	1299
2029	7.5%	395	453	12	14	155	145	2	0	0	0	7	0	2	0	0	1186	1397
2030	7.5%	425	486	13	15	166	156	3	0	0	0	8	0	3	0	0	1275	1502
2031	7.5%	457	523	14	17	179	168	3	0	0	0	8	0	3	0	0	1371	1614
2032	7.5%	491	562	15	18	192	180	3	0	0	0	9	0	3	0	0	1474	1735
2033	7.5%	528	604	16	19	207	194	3	0	0	0	10	0	3	0	0	1584	1866
2034	7.5%	568	650	17	21	222	209	3	0	0	0	10	0	3	0	0	1703	2005
2035	7.5%	610	698	18	22	239	224	4	0	0	0	11	0	4	0	0	1831	2156
2036	7.5%	656	751	20	24	257	241	4	0	0	0	12	0	4	0	0	1968	2318
2037	7.5%	705	807	21	25	276	259	4	0	0	0	13	0	4	0	0	2115	2491
2038	7.5%	758	868	23	27	297	279	5	0	0	0	14	0	5	0	0	2274	2678
2039	7.5%	815	933	25	29	319	299	5	0	0	0	15	0	5	0	0	2445	2879
2040	7.5%	876	1003	26	32	343	322	5	0	0	0	16	0	5	0	0	2628	3095
2041	7.5%	942	1078	28	34	369	346	6	0	0	0	17	0	6	0	0	2825	3327
2042	7.5%	1012	1159	30	37	396	372	6	0	0	0	18	0	6	0	0	3037	3577

Engineering Consultants








Fig: 6.22 Traffic Projection of homogeneous section-I (KM 0+000 TO KM 74+000)

From the traffic projection table 6.10, the projected traffic of homogeneous section – I considering 20 years of design life of pavement comes out as 3577 PCU. Therefore 2-lane is found adequate to cater this projected traffic with level of service B







Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

6.4.9 TRAFFIC PROJECTION FOR HOMOGENEOUS SECTION – II (KM 74+000 TO KM 161+665)

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Table 6.11: Traffic Projection of homogeneous section-II

									AAD		mogenee							
Year	Growth Factors	Two Wheeler	Car/Jeep/ Van/Taxi/ Auto	Mini / RTVs Bus	Stand. Bus	LCV	2- Axle	3 - Axle	Multi- Axle	Agri. Tract. With Trailer	Agri. Tract. Without Trailer	Cycle	Cycle Rickshaw	Hand Cart	Bullock Cart	Horse Cart	Total in Numbers	Total in PCU
2017	7.5%	1	11	0	0	13	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	94
2018	7.5%	1	12	0	0	14	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	101
2019	7.5%	1	13	0	0	15	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53	109
2020	7.5%	1	14	0	0	16	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	117
2021	7.5%	1	15	0	0	17	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	126
2022	7.5%	1	16	0	0	19	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	66	135
2023	7.5%	2	17	0	0	20	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71	145
2024	7.5%	2	18	0	0	22	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	76	156
2025	7.5%	2	20	0	0	23	37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	168
2026	7.5%	2	21	0	0	25	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	88	180
2027	7.5%	2	23	0	0	27	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	95	194
2028	7.5%	2	24	0	0	29	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	102	208
2029	7.5%	2	26	0	0	31	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	110	224
2030	7.5%	3	28	0	0	33	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	118	241
2031	7.5%	3	30	0	0	36	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	127	259
2032	7.5%	3	33	0	0	38	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	136	278
2033	7.5%	3	35	0	0	41	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	146	299
2034	7.5%	3	38	0	0	44	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	157	321
2035	7.5%	4	40	0	0	48	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	169	346
2036	7.5%	4	43	0	0	51	83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	182	371
2037	7.5%	4	47	0	0	55	89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	195	399
2038	7.5%	5	50	0	0	59	96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	210	429
2039	7.5%	5	54	0	0	64	103	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	226	461
2040	7.5%	5	58	0	0	69	111	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	243	496
2041	7.5%	6	62	0	0	74	119	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	261	533
2042	7.5%	6	67	0	0	79	128	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	281	573

CETEST Engineering Consultants



Revision: R0

Non




Fig: 6.23 Traffic Projection of homogeneous section-II (KM 74+000 TO KM 161+665)

From the traffic projection table 6.11, the projected traffic of homogeneous section – II considering 20 years of design life of pavement comes out as 573 PCU. Therefore 2-lane is found adequate to cater this projected traffic with level of service B.








INTERSECTION TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS

Intersection turning movement surveys were carried out at the identified 5 major intersections on the project road. The locations considered for intersection turning movement surveys is described earlier. However for ready reference these are listed once again:

- ✓ 3-legged intersection at Churachandpur (Ch. 0+000 Km)
- √ 4-legged intersection at New Lamka (Ch. 0+526 Km)
- √ 4-legged intersection at New Lamka (Ch. 1+840 Km)
- √ 3-legged intersection at Singngat (Ch. 34+175 Km)
- √ 3-legged intersection at Sinzawl (Ch. 149+582 Km)



Classified directional turning movements were counted at each of the above five intersections for 1day x 12 hours. Trained enumerators have carried out these surveys under close supervision of Traffic Expert. The details on the turning movement counts are given in **Annexure-7**. The peak hour flows (in vehicles and PCUs) have been presented in Figures 6.24 to 6.33. The peak hour turning movements at various major junctions on the Project Road have been calculated. These forecasts consider the likely growth in the traffic at intersections. Forecasts of peak hour intersection turning movements are given in Annexure. As per IRC: 62-1976, grade separator should be provided at intersection of divided rural highway if the ADT (fast moving vehicles only) on the cross road within the next 5 years exceed 5000. Where these traffic figures will reach within next 20 years, the need for such facility should be kept in view for future construction.

TABLE 6.12: SUMMARY OF PEAK HOUR AND FORECAST OF INTERSECTION FLOWS

SI. No.	Name of Intersection	Туре	As per IRC 62-1976, Grade Separator should be provided at intersection of divided rural highway if ADT (fast vehicles) at the cross roads within the next 5 years exceeding 5000 nos. ADT crossing the through traffic 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023						Requirement of proposed improvement
1	Charach and drawn (0,000 lane)	21	2018						Not Donisional
1	Churachandpur (0.000 km)	3-Legged	845	887	932	978	1027	1078	Not Required
2	New Lamka (0.526 km)	4-Legged	1339	1406	1476	1550	1628	1709	Not Required
3	New Lamka (1.840 km)	4-Legged	277	291	305	321	337	354	Not Required
4	4 Singngat (34.175 km) 3-Legge		41	43	45	47	50	52	Not Required
5	5 Sinzawl (149.582 km) 3-Legged		2	2	2	2	2	3	Not Required

CETEST Engineering Consultants April, 2020






Fig 6.24: Peak hour traffic in Number at Ch. 0+000 Km at Churachandpur Junction




Fig 6.25: Peak hour traffic in PCU at Ch. 0+000 Km at Churachandpur Junction









Fig 6.26: Peak hour traffic in Number at Ch. 0+526 Km New Lamka Junction










Fig 6.27: Peak hour traffic in PCU at Ch. 0+526 Km New Lamka Junction










Fig 6.28: Peak hour traffic in Number at Ch. 1+840 Km New Lamka Junction








Fig 6.29: Peak hour traffic in PCU at Ch. 1+840 Km New Lamka Junction










Fig 6.30: Peak hour traffic in Number at Ch. 34+175 Km Singngat Junction





Fig 6.31: Peak hour traffic in PCU at Ch. 34+175 Km Singngat Junction










Fig 6.32: Peak hour traffic in Number at Ch. 149+582 Km Sinzawl Junction




Fig 6.33: Peak hour traffic in PCU at Ch. 149+582 Km Sinzawl Junction





6.6 ORIGIN DESTINATION SURVEY A. ROAD SIDE INTERVIEWS FOR O-D

To understand the travel demand pattern in the region, Origin and Destination (O-D) Survey was carried out for one day (24 hours) at two locations. The locations of the Origin Destination survey, as given earlier, were on near

- ✓ At Churachandpur on NH 102B (Ch. 0+000 Km)
- ✓ At Sinzawl on NH 102B (Ch. 149+200 km)



The O-D surveys on the project road were carried out based on the roadside interview method as per IRC: 102-1988. Both passenger and commercial vehicles plying on the project road were stopped on a random sampling basis and interviewed. Police assistance was arranged at the survey locations for successfully carrying out these surveys. The travel characteristics obtained by O-D survey facilitate the identification of the traffic characteristics based on its origin and destination.

Trained enumerators under the supervision of transport planners collected the trip characteristics using the survey forms designed for this purpose. The O-D survey elicited characteristics like origin, destination, frequency, length of trip, etc., both for passenger and goods vehicles. The information collected during roadside interviews was analyzed to obtain the trip distribution based on a zoning system suitably designed in the study.

Before presenting the travel pattern, the sample size considered for O-D surveys are presented first in the following section.

B. SAMPLE SIZE CONSIDERED FOR O-D SURVEYS

As described earlier, the vehicles for the OD survey were interviewed on a random sample basis. Tables 6.13(a) and 6.13(b) show the ADT and the sample size (both in absolute numbers and in percentage terms) captured during the survey on NH 102C at CH 0+000 and at CH. 149+200 Km.

Table 6.13 (a): On NH 102B at Ch. 0+000 km

Road Name:	NH 102B				Date - 09.02.2018
Location : Chu	ırachandpur			Time	8.00am to 8.00 am
Vehicle Type	Sample Collected	Actual Volume	Exp. Fact.	Composition	Sample Size %
Car	348	9652	27.74	61.48	4
LCV	141	452	3.21	24.91	31
Bus	8	46	5.75	1.41	17
2 - Axle	69	298	4.32	12.19	23
3 - Axle	1	5	5.00	0.18	20
Total	566	10448			5%



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0





Table 6.13 (b): On NH 102B at Ch. 149+200 km

Road Name :	NH 102B				Date - 19.02.2018
Location : SIN	ZAWL			Time	8.00am to 8.00 am
Vehicle Type	Sample Collected	Actual Volume	Composition	Sample Size %	
LCV	12	12	1.00	75.00	100
Bus	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
3-Axle	0	0	0.00	0.00	0
2 - Axle	4	5	1.25	25.00	80
Total	16	17			94%

C. ZONING SYSTEM

For understanding the spatial dimensions of the trip characteristics of the vehicles interviewed during the O-D survey, a scientifically derived zoning system was adopted. The Zoning System considered in this study has been presented in Table 6.14(a) and in Table 6.14(b)

Table 6.14(a): Zone code for OD at Churachandpur on NH 102B

Zone Code	Name of Zone
1	Near Project Road (Churachandpur, Mata, Singngat, Belpuan, Belpong, Bollaing, Butllar, Dragging, Ferjai, Haijai, Kanway, Laging, Melun, Mullam, Phazala, Toubong, Mualtan, Bualian)
2	North side of Project Road (Imphal, Kotline, Fuirang, Kandouvong, Moirang, Salbunu, Topanog, Tollen, Tuibong, Zenhong, Belpuna, Dhiza, Haizang, Phaila)

Table 6.14(b): Zone code for OD at Sinzawl on NH 102B

Zone Code	Name of Zone
1	Near Project Road (Churachandpur, Songtal, Khauggin, Singngat, Khawsei, Sinzawl, Tuima, Lungthul L, Khodgsei)
2	South side of Project Road (Aizawl, Ngopa, Malkonta)

D. TRAVEL PATTERN


The origins and destinations of various types of vehicles observed at the O-D survey locations have been analyzed for O-D Matrices as per the Zoning System presented above. Details OD calculations are given in a separate Appendix.

CETEST Engineering Consultants April, 2020



Table 6.15: On NH 102B at Churachandpur

Count of All Vehicles		Destination Zone									
Origin Zone	1	1 2 Grand Total									
1	62	116	178								
2	189	206	395								
Grand Total = 251 322 573											
Local Traffic = 87%											





Revision: R0






Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Table 6.16: On NH 102C at Sinzawl

Count of All Vehicles		Destination Zo	one							
Origin Zone	1	1 2 Grand Total								
1 9 11 20										
2	9	0	9							
Grand Total = 18 11 29										
Local Traffic = 91%										



From the above tables, the following travel pattern on the project road can be deduced:

- For OD on NH 102B at Churachandpur, it has been seen that most of the traffic has been produced from zone 1 and zone2 & mostly are local traffic.
- For OD on NH 102B at Sinzawl, it has been seen that most of the traffic has been produced from zone 1 and zone 2 & mostly are local traffic.



6.5 PEDESTRIAN COUNT SURVEY

Pedestrian count survey was conducted at the following location to obtain the number of pedestrians crossing the Project road.

- √ At Churachandpur (Ch. 0+000 Km)
- √ At Mata (Ch. 7+250 Km)
- ✓ At Singngat (Ch. 34+155 Km)
- ✓ At Maokot (Ch. 48+300 Km)
- √ At Suangdoh (Ch. 64+200 km)
- √ At Sinzawl (Ch. 148+450 km)







Analysis of Pedestrian count survey data is presented below in Table 6.17 to 6.22 respectively.

LOCATION OF SURVEY STATION: On NH-102B (Churachandpur)

DATE & DAY OF SURVEY: 06.02.2018
ROAD NAME- NH-102B

PLACE		COUNT HOUR	RS	Side of Carriageway		Across the Carriageway		Total		Total(in all direction)
			1	2	1A	2A	1+2	1A+2A		
	8:00	to	9:00	41	37	22	10	78	32	110
	9:00	to	10:00	55	46	16	14	101	30	131
	10:00	to	11:00	68	54	14	17	122	31	153
_	11:00 to 12:00						15	114	24	138
ndþ	12:00	to	13:00	42	38	15	12	80	27	107
an	13:00	to	14:00	55	41	11	9	96	20	116
act	14:00	to	15:00	42	57	12	12	99	24	123
Churachandpur	15:00	to	16:00	57	35	17	10	92	27	119
O	16:00	to	17:00	39	23	11	9	62	20	82
	17:00	to	18:00	15	9	5	5	24	10	34
	18:00	to	19:00	10	5	2	6	15	8	23
	19:00	to	20:00	1	2	0	2	3	2	5
	TOTAL	478	408	134	121	886	255	1141		
HOURL	Y AVERAGE P	40	34	11	10	74	21	95		
PEAK HOURLY PEDESTRIAN	8.00 AM	to	9.00 AM	1	114	2	4	1	38	153




Table 6.17: Pedestrian Count Survey at 0+000 km



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 202






Table 6.18: Pedestrian Count Survey at 7+250 Km



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

April, 2020



LOCATION OF SURVEY			(Singnga	t)						
DATE & DAY OF SURVE	: Y :	08.02.2018 NH-102B								
PLACE	(COUNT HOURS		le of ageway	l	ss the geway	То	tal	Total(in all direction)	
				1	2	1A	2A	1+2	1A+2A	
	8:00	to	9:00	11	13	6	12	24	18	42
	9:00	to	10:00	13	23	12	14	36	26	62
	10:00	to	11:00	20	18	13	11	38	24	62
	11:00	to	12:00	11	13	17	16	24	33	57
ť	12:00	to	13:00	10	9	10	9	19	19	38
nga Ba	13:00	to	14:00	15	12	13	14	27	27	54
Singngat	14:00	to	15:00	23	10	8	10	33	18	51
S	15:00	to	16:00	12	10	8	8	22	16	38
	16:00	to	17:00	15	11	9	13	26	22	48
	17:00	to	18:00	10	9	6	9	19	15	34
	18:00	to	19:00	6	9	3	6	15	9	24
	19:00	to	20:00	3	5	0	3	8	3	11
	TOTAL	•		149	142	105	125	291	230	521
	VERAGE PE	DESTRIAN		12	12	9	10	24	19	43
PEAK HOURLY PEDESTRIAN	11:00	to	12:00		24	3	3	5	57	62
Maokot (2	1A	<u>→</u>		Acros		Carriage	way	
	< <u>1</u>				1,2 =	Side o	of Carri	ageway	,	

Table 6.19: Pedestrian Count Survey at 34+155 Km



LOCATION OF SURVEY S DATE & DAY OF SURVEY		10.02.2018	(IVIAUKUL)							
ROAD NAME-		NH-102B								
PLACE (COUNT HOURS		Side of Carriageway		Across the Carriageway		То	tal	Total(in all direction)
				1	2	1A	2A	1+2	1A+2A	
	8:00	to	9:00	6	3	5	2	9	7	16
	9:00	to	10:00	6	6	7	7	12	14	26
	10:00	to	11:00	11	7	9	9	18	18	36
	11:00	to	12:00	7	2	6	3	9	9	18
. [12:00	to	13:00	6	6	2	1	12	3	15
Maokot	13:00	to	14:00	4	9	2	6	13	8	21
Jac	14:00	to	15:00	7	4	3	2	11	5	16
_	15:00	to	16:00	7	6	1	7	13	8	21
	16:00	to	17:00	8	4	2	2	12	4	16
	17:00	to	18:00	2	1	3	6	3	9	12
	18:00	to	19:00	1	4	1	0	5	1	6
	19:00	to	20:00	0	1	1	1	1	2	3
	TOTAL			65	53	42	46	118	88	206
HOURLY A	/ERAGE PE	DESTRIAN		5	4	4	4	10	7	17
PEAK HOURLY PEDESTRIAN	10.00 AM	to	11.00AM		9	!	9	1	.8	36
2 2A				1 →		Acros	s the C	Carriage\	way	
Pallel				_	•		of Carri	iageway	,	

Table 6.20: Pedestrian Count Survey at 48+300 Km







Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

LOCATION OF SURVEY	STATION:	On NH-102B	(Suangdo	h)						
DATE & DAY OF SURVE	Υ:	12.02.2018								
ROAD NAME-		NH-102B								
PLACE	C	COUNT HOURS		l			Across the Carriageway		tal	Total(in all direction)
				1	2	1A	2A	1+2	1A+2A	
	8:00	to	9:00	7	9	3	2	16	5	21
	9:00	to	10:00	10	11	3	4	21	7	28
	10:00	to	11:00	13	13	5	7	26	12	38
	11:00	to	12:00	8	6	5	2	14	7	21
	12:00	to	13:00	9	10	1	5	19	6	25
Suagdoh	13:00	to	14:00	10	9	2	1	19	3	22
gen	14:00	to	15:00	12	10	7	5	22	12	34
ري آ	15:00	to	16:00	9	11	5	7	20	12	32
	16:00	to	17:00	6	7	1	5	13	6	19
	17:00	to	18:00	5	6	2	2	11	4	15
	18:00	to	19:00	3	5	1	2	8	3	11
	19:00	to	20:00	1	2	0	1	3	1	4
	TOTAL		•	93	99	35	43	192	78	270
HOURLY A	VERAGE PE	DESTRIAN		8	8	3	4	16	7	23
PEAK HOURLY	10.00 AM	to	11.00AM		14	:	7	2	21	38
PEDESTRIAN										
		2			.A, 2A =	Acros	s the C	Carriage	way	
↓			1A		→ Sin	gngat				
1				1,2 =	Side o	of Carri	ageway	,		

Table 6.21: Pedestrian Count Survey at 64+200 Km



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR





LOCATION OF SURVEY	STATION:	On NH-102B	(Sinzawl)							
DATE & DAY OF SURVE	Υ:	17.02.2018								
ROAD NAME-		NH-102B								
PLACE	PLACE COUNT		s	Side of Carriageway		Across the Carriageway		Total		Total(in all direction)
				1	2	1A	2A	1+2	1A+2A	
	8:00	to	9:00	6	6	3	5	12	8	20
	9:00	to	10:00	6	8	5	7	14	12	26
	10:00	to	11:00	10	11	2	9	21	11	32
	11:00	to	12:00	3	7	3	6	10	9	19
ے	12:00	to	13:00	8	6	1	2	14	3	17
Suagdoh	13:00	to	14:00	8	4	0	2	12	2	14
gen nag	14:00	to	15:00	5	7	3	3	12	6	18
v	15:00	to	16:00	7	7	7	1	14	8	22
	16:00	to	17:00	5	8	2	2	13	4	17
	17:00	to	18:00	4	2	2	3	6	5	11
	18:00	to	19:00	3	1	0	1	4	1	5
	19:00	to	20:00	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
	TOTAL			65	67	29	42	132	71	203
HOURLY A	VERAGE P	DESTRIAN		5	6	2	4	11	6	17
PEAK HOURLY PEDESTRIAN	9.00 AM	to	10.00AM		10	9	Э	1	.9	32
		2		1	A, 2A =	Acros	s the C	Carriage	way	
Tuivai 📥 2A		1A	_	→ So	ngtal					
	<				1,2 =	Side o	of Carri	iageway	,	

Table 6.22: Pedestrian Count Survey at 148+450 Km



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 2020

Final Detailed Project Report Traffic Surveys and Analysis Package-IIIA

TABLE-6.23: TOTAL CROSS PEDESTRIAN AT PROJECT ROAD

Location	Total Cross Pedestrian (TCP)	Peak Hr. Pedestrian (P)	Total Motorised Traffic during Pedestrian Peak Hour (V)	P.V ²	Limiting value of P.V ²
Km 0+000	1141	110	1695	0.919336	1X10 ⁸
Km 7+250	284	40	34	0.000161	1X10 ⁸
Km 34+155	521	57	49	0.000792	1X10 ⁸
Km 48+300	206	36	34	0.000208	1X10 ⁸
Km 64+200	270	38	34	0.000138	1X10 ⁸
Km 148+450	203	26	3	0.00000108	1X10 ⁸

CETEST Engineering Consultants Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: RO April, 2020

6.6 AXLE LOAD SURVEYS AND VEHICLE DAMAGE FACTORS

For the purpose of preliminary pavement design required for the project, axle load surveys have been carried out on the project road at the following locations:

These surveys were carried for 2 day x 24 hrs on

- ✓ At Churachandpur on NH 102B (Ch. 0+500 Km)
- √ At Sinzawl on NH 102B (Ch. 149+200 km)



The axle load spectrum observed on the project road, along with the derivation of vehicle damage factors (VDFs) as per the relevant IRC Codes are given in Table 6.24(a) and Table 6.24(b) which summarizes the VDF observed on the Project Road. Details of VDF Calculation are produced in Appendix to Main Report.

Table 6.24(a): On NH 102B at Churachandpur (VDF Calculation)

TYPE OF VEHICLES	VDF								
TYPE OF VEHICLES		UP	DOWN						
	VDF	Frequency	VDF	Frequency					
3 Axle truck	3.79	5	9.15	3					
2 Axle truck	1.27	67	2.18	73					
LCV	0.00	69	0.00	62					
Bus	0.13	16	0.17	11					
TOTAL		157		149					
WEIGHTED VDF IN EACH DIRECTION		0.68	1	27					
Max. WEIGHTED VDF 1.27									
WEIGHTED V	DF OF COMME	RCIAL VEHICLE		1.27					

April, 2020 Page

Revision: R0





Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Table 6.24(b): On NH 102B at Sinzawl (VDF Calculation)

TVDE OF VEHICLES	VDF							
TYPE OF VEHICLES		UP		DOWN				
	VDF	Frequency	VDF	Frequency				
3 Axle truck	0.00	0	0.00	0				
2 Axle truck	2.81	4	1.68	8				
LCV	0.001	9	0.000	7				
Bus	0.000 0		0.000	0				
TOTAL		13		15				
WEIGHTED VDF IN EACH DIRECTION		0.86		0.89				
Max. WEIGHTED VDF	0.89							
WEIGHTED	VDF OF COMI	MERCIAL VEHICLE		0.89				

Hence, maximum VDF value 1.27 has been adopted for calculation of design msa of the project road.

CETEST Engineering Consultants April, 2020

6.7 **SPEED DELAY SURVEY**

Speed Delay Survey was conducted for the entire project road to determine the average journey speed on the existing road. The calculation for determination of Average Journey Speed and Delay Time is shown below:

Table 6.25: Speed Delay Survey (Churachandpur - Tuivai)

Sectio			From				ay Survey	Tuivai								
n:	On Jouri Tim	ney	-	Choped Play	urachano No	lpur of Veh	To:	(h)			+	, >		×		
Direction	Min	Sec	Min	Sec	Over- taking	Over - take n	From opp. Direction (na)	Overtaking (-) Overtaken (1	Average journey Time in min. (tw)	Average Journey Time from opp. Stream (ta)	Average Volume q= (na + ny) / (ta+tw)	Average Journey Time t = tw (ny/q)	Length of Travel in km (L)	Average Journey Speed = L/t 60 (km/hr)		
	460	50	6	32	6	2	85									
С-Т	470	20	6	15	10	2	106									
	459	15	7	5	10	3	85	6.33	463. 46	453. 58	0.11	405. 9	162	24		
Total	1390	25	19	52	26	7	276									
Mean	463	28	6	37	8.66	2.33	92									
	446	40	7	10	3	-	92									
T-C	452	40	6	45	14	4	76									
	461	25	8	40	8	1	67	5.67	453. 58	463. 46	0.1	396. 88	162	24.5		
Total	1360	45	22	35	25	8	235									
Mean	453	35	7	31	8.33	2.66	78.33									

The average speed of travel from Churachandpur to Tuivai is 24 Km/hr and from Tuivai to Churachandpur is 24.5 Km/hr.

Detail calculation of Speed Delay Survey is given in **Annexure-7**.



6.8 ESTIMATION OF DESIGN ESA

Traffic growth rate (Both direction): 7.5 %

➤ Vehicle Damage Factors (F): 1.27

Lane Distribution Factor (D): 0.50

(i) ESA Computation for Homogeneous Section-I (from Km 0+000 to Km 74+000)

N: Cumulative number of standard axle to be created for in the design in terms of msa

A: Initial traffic in the year of completion of construction in terms of the number of commercial vehicle per day=184

D: Lane distribution factor (Refers IRC: 37-2012, Clause 4.5.1(ii), page-8) = 0.5

F: Vehicle Damage Factor (Refers IRC: 37-2012, Clause 4.4.6), page-8) =1.27

n: Design life in years = 20

r: Annual growth rate of commercial vehicles in decimal =0.075

 $= [(365*[(1+r)^n-1]*A*D*F)/r = 3 msa$

(ii) ESA Computation for Homogeneous Section-II (from Km 74+000 to km 161+665)

N: Cumulative number of standard axle to be created for in the design in terms of msa

A: Initial traffic in the year of completion of construction in terms of the number of commercial vehicle per day = 46

D: Lane distribution factor (Refers IRC: 37-2012, Clause 4.5.1(ii), page-8) = 0.5

F: Vehicle Damage Factor (Refers IRC: 37-2012, Clause 4.4.6), page-8) =1.27

n: Design life in years = 20

r: Annual growth rate of commercial vehicles in decimal =0.075

= $[(365*[(1+r)^n-1]*A*D*F)/r = 1 msa$

As per IRC: SP 73-2015 (Para-5.4.1, Page No-44), 20 msa (minimum) is adopted for pavement design.

So, Pavement design has been done considering design traffic 20 msa.

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR



Revision: RO April, 2020



CHAPTER - 7 INDICATIVE DESIGN STANDARDS

7.1 GEOMETRIC DESIGN STANDARDS (FOR HIGHWAYS)

PROPOSED DESIGN BASIS

Manipur.

The following guiding principles form the basis of all good highway design:

- A uniform application of design standards for any area is essential from the viewpoint of road safety and the smooth flow of traffic. The selection of optimum design standards reduces the possibility of early obsolescence of the facility, which can be MORT&H thought about by any inadequacy in the original standards.
- ❖ Faulty geometric standards, after construction, are frequently difficult to rectify at a later date and they are always costly. As such, both horizontal and vertical geometry should be accorded due importance at the initial design stage itself and selected standards should not be compromised without the most careful deliberation.
- ❖ The design should thus be consistent within any area and the standards proposed for the different elements should be compatible with one another. It is sometimes necessary to reduce the selected design speed for economic reasons but any abrupt changes in the design speed must be avoided.
- The selected design should minimize the total transportation cost, including initial construction costs, costs for maintenance of the facility, and the cost borne by the road users.
- Safety should be built-in into design elements.
- * "Ruling" standards should be followed as a matter of routine. "Minimum" standards should be followed only where serious restrictions are imposed by technical or economic consideration.

7.2 HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT

7.2.1 TERRAIN CLASSIFICATION

The geometric design of a highway is influenced significantly by terrain conditions. Economy dictates a sensible choice of different standards for different types of terrain but the guiding principles above will always apply. Where it is necessary to change design standards, this will be done in discrete areas and with a careful eye to consistency and road safety.

The project road lies in mountainous terrain (i.e. cross slope of the ground more than 25 percent) and the geometric standards relevant to mountainous terrain as per IRC: SP: 48-1998 & IRC: SP: 73-2015 will be adopted.

7.2.2 DESIGN STANDARD

In general the design standard follows the provisions in the Hill Road Manual (IRC: SP-48-1998) and manual of specifications & standards for two laning of highways IRC: SP -73-2015.

7.2.3 DESIGN SPEED

The project is for up-gradation of existing road has been made to raise to NH standard with 2- lane with paved shoulder having design speed of 40 kmph to 60 kmph in mountainous terrain follows the provisions in Hill Road Manual (IRC: SP-48-1998) & two lane manual (IRC:SP:73:2015). However the design speed can be reduced up to 20 Kmph in hair pin bend location.

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020 Page: 280

Nature of Terrain	Design Spo	eed(km/h)
Nature of Terrain	Ruling	Minimum
Mountainous	60	40

7.2.4 SIGHT DISTANCE

Stopping sight distance is the clear distance ahead needed by a driver to bring his vehicle to a stop before meeting a stationary object on his path. Intermediate sight distance is defined as twice the stopping sight distance. On hill roads, stopping sight distance is the absolute minimum from safety angle and must be ensured regardless of any consideration.

Design values for stopping sight distance and intermediate sight distance for various speeds:

	Design Values (meters)					
Speed (km/h)	Stopping sight distance	Intermediate sight distance				
60	90	180				
50	60	120				
40	45	90				
30	30	60				
20	20	40				

Criteria for measuring sight distance:

SI. No.	Sight Distance	Driver's eye sight	Height of object
1.	Safe stopping distance	1.2 m	0.15 m
2.	Intermediate sight distance	1.2 m	1.20 m

7.2.5 RIGHT-OF-WAY (ROW)

Desirable target width of road land (ROW) is as follows:

For Mountainous terrain:

Open Area	Built up Area
24 m	20 m

7.2.6 CROSS SECTIONAL PARAMETERS

For Plain terrain

(1) In Built-up Area at plain terrain

Carriageway = 7.0 mHard Shoulder = $2 \times 1.5 \text{ m}$ Covered Drain cum Footpath = $2 \times 1.0 \text{ m}$ Total Road Width = 12.0 m

(2) In Rural Area at Plain Terrain

Carriageway = 7.0m
Hard Shoulder = 2×1.5 m
Earthen Shoulder = 2×1.0 m
Total Road Width = 12.00m

For Mountainous terrain

(3) In Built Up Area at Mountainous terrain

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Carriageway} & = 7.0 \ \mbox{m} \\ \mbox{Hard Shoulder} & = 2 \ \mbox{x 1.5 m} \\ \mbox{Covered Drain cum Footpath} & = 2 \ \mbox{x 1.0 m} \\ \mbox{Total Road Width} & = 12.0 \ \mbox{m} \\ \end{array}$

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

April, 2020

Revision: R0

2020 Page: 2

(4) In Rural Area Mountainous Terrain

(Both Side Valley)

Carriageway = 7.0m Hard Shoulder = 2×1.5 m Earthen Shoulder in Valley Side = 2×1.0 m Total Road Width = 12.00m

(5) In Rural Area Mountainous Terrain

(One Side Hill & Other Side Valley)

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Carriageway} & = 7.0\text{m} \\ \text{Hard Shoulder} & = 2 \times 1.5\text{m} \\ \text{Earthen Shoulder in Valley Side} & = 1 \times 1.0\text{m} \\ \text{Total Road Width} & = 11.00\text{m} \\ \end{array}$

(6) For Through Cutting portion

Carriageway = 7.0 mHard Shoulder = $2 \times 1.5 \text{m}$ Drain = $2 \times 1.0 \text{ m}$ Total Road Width = 10.00 m

WIDENING OF CARRIAGEWAY AT CURVE

On horizontal curves with radius upto 300 m, width of pavement and roadway shall be increased as per the table given below:

Radius of Curve	Extra Width				
75-100 m	0.9 m				
101-300 m	0.6 m				

7.2.7 CROSS-SLOPE/CAMBER

Bituminous surfacing has been proposed. The pavement in the straight reaches is to be provided with a crown in middle and surface on either side is to have slope of 2.5% towards edge. In reaches with winding alignment where straight sections are few and far between, the carriage way is to be given a unidirectional cross fall of 2.5% having regard to super elevation at the flanking horizontal curves and ease of drainage.

The cross fall of hard shoulders is to be at least 0.5% more than that of carriage way subject to a minimum of 3.0% and cross slope of earthen shoulder shall be of 3.5% for proper surface runoff. Paved shoulders and shoulders on super-elevated sections is to have the same cross fall as the pavement.

7.2.8 MINIMUM RADIUS OF HORIZONTAL CURVES

As a general rule, the horizontal alignment should be fluent and should blend well with the surrounding topography. In a given section there should be consistency and no element of surprise or unexpected situation for the driver.

RADII OF HORIZONTAL CURVES

The desirable minimum and absolute minimum radii of horizontal curves for various classes of terrain are given in the table below:

Name of the terrain	Desirable minimum radius	Absolute minimum radius
Mountainous & Steep	150 m	75 m

7.2.9 TRANSITION CURVES

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 April, 20

Transition curves are necessary for vehicles to progress from a straight alignment into a circular curve or between curves of different radius. The transition curve also facilitates a gradual application of the super elevation and any widening of the carriageway which may be required for horizontal curves.

The minimum length of the transition curve shall be determined from the following two considerations, the larger of the two values being adopted for design:

i) Ls =
$$\frac{0.0215 \text{ V}^3}{\text{CR}}$$

Where, Ls = length of transition in meters

V = speed in km/hr

R = radius of circular curve in meters

subject to a maximum of 0.8 and minimum of 0.5

ii) The rate of change of superelvation (i.e. the longitudinal grade developed at the pavement edge compared to through grade along the centre line) should be such as not to cause discomfort to travelers of to make the road appear unsightly. The formula for minimum length of transition on this basis for Mountainous and Plain Terrain are:

Ls =
$$1.0 \frac{V^2}{R}$$
 and Ls = $2.7 \frac{V^2}{R}$

7.2.10 SUPERELEVATION

Super elevation provided on horizontal curves is based on the following formula:

$$e = \frac{V^2}{225R}$$

Where

e = super elevation (meter/meter)

V = speed (km/hr) R = radius (meters)

Super elevation shall be limited to 7%, if radius of curve is less than the desirable minimum. It shall limited to 5% if the radius is more than desirable minimum.

7.2.11 RADIUS OF HORIZONTAL CURVES

The radius of horizontal curve is obtained from following formula:

$$R = \frac{V^{2}}{127 \text{ (e+f)}}$$

Where,

V = Vehicle speed (km/hr)

e = Super elevation ratio (meter/meter)

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

f = Coefficient of side friction between vehicle tyre and pavement (taken as 0.15)

R = Radius in meters

7.2.12 SET-BACK DISTANCE AT HORIZONTAL CURVES

Set-back distance from the centerline of the carriageway for obtaining requisite sight distance across


Revision: R0

Page:

April, 2020

the inside of horizontal curves is calculated from following equation (vide figure-7.1 for definitions):


	М	=	$R - (R - N) \cos\theta$	
where,				
	θ	=	S/2(R-N) radians	
	m	=	the minimum set-back distance from centerline of the road to sight	
			obstruction in meters at middle of the curve	
	r	=	radius of centerline of the road in meters	
	n	=	distance between the centerline of road and the inside lane in meter	
			(n = 1.5 may be taken considering possible widening at curve)	
	S	=	stopping sight distance (minimum),	
			intermediate sight distance (if feasible)	



Provision of lateral clearance with intermediate sight distance is often not economically feasible in hill roads. However, vision berms, as shown in **figure-7.2**, may be provided by benching on hill sides in curves to provide better sighting of vehicles wherever considered necessary.











7.3 VERTICAL ALIGNMENT

7.3.1 GRADIENT

The project road should provide for a smooth longitudinal profile. Grade change should not be to frequent as to cause kinks and visual discontinuities in the profile. The ruling and limiting gradients are given below:

Classification of Gradient	Mountainous terrain	Steep terrain
i) Ruling gradient	5.0 %	6.0%
ii) Limiting gradient	6.0%	7.0%

Long sweeping vertical curves shall be provided at all grade changes.

7.3.2 VERTICAL CURVES

Vertical curves should be provided at all grade changes exceeding those indicated below. The minimum lengths of curve for satisfactory appearance are shown alongside:

For Hill Road:

Design Speed (km/hr)	Maximum Grade Change (%) not requiring a vertical curve	Minimum Length of -vertical curve (m)
Up to 35	1.5	15
40	1.2	20
50	1.0	30

7.3.3 SUMMIT CURVES

The length of summit curves is governed by the choice of sight distance. For the project road length is calculated for safe stopping sight distance on the basis of following formula:

➤ For Safe Stopping sight distance

Case (i) When length of the curve exceeds the required sight distance i.e. L > S

$$L = \underline{NS^2}$$

4.4

where,

N = Deviation angle i.e. the algebraic difference between the two grades

L = Length of parabolic vertical curve in metres

S = Sight distance in metres

Case (ii) When length of the curve is less than the required sight distance i.e. L < S

> For Intermediate sight distance

Case (i) When length of the curve exceeds the required sight distance i.e. L > S

$$L = \frac{NS^2}{9.6}$$

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

pril, 2020 Pag

Page: 28

Case (ii) When length of the curve is less than the required sight distance i.e. L < S

7.3.4 VALLEY CURVES

The length of valley curves should be such that for night travel, the head light beam distance is equal to the stopping sight distance. The length of the curve is calculated as under:

Case (i) When the length of the curve exceeds the required sight distance, i.e. L > S

$$L = NS^{2}$$

$$1.50 + 0.035S$$

Case (ii) when the length of the curve is less than the required sight

distance i.e. L < S

$$L = 2S - 1.5 + 0.035 S$$
N

In both cases,

N = Deviation angle i.e. the algebraic difference between the two grades

L = Length of parabolic vertical curve in meters

S = Stopping sight distance in meters

7.3.5 HAIR-PIN BENDS

Hair-pin Bends are designed as a circular curve with transition curves at each end. The following design criteria are adopted for design of Hair-pin Bends:

Minimum design speed = 20 km/hr

Minimum roadway width at apex for NH/SH = 11.5m for Double Lane

Minimum radius for inner curves = 14.0 m

Minimum length of transition = 15.0 m

Minimum gradient = 0.5 % (1 in 200) Maximum gradient = 2.5 % (1 in 40)

Maximum super elevation = 7%

Inner and outer edges of the roadway should be concentric with respect to the centre line of the pavement and preferably the full roadway width should be surfaced.

7.4 FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN STANDARD

DESIGN OF NEW FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT

Design of new pavement has been carried out based on IRC 37-2012 "Guidelines for the Design of Flexible Pavements" for design life of 15 years. Procedure for the same is given below:

Step 1: To find out initial traffic in the year of completion of construction in terms of the number of the number of commercial vehicles per day (CVPD)

Step 2: To determine traffic growth rate factor by studying the past trends of traffic growth

Step 3: Design life of Pavement

Step 4: To find out Vehicle Damage Factor to convert the number of commercial vehicles of different axle loads and axle configuration to the number of standard axle load repetition. It may be

Final Detailed Project Report Indicative Design Standard Package-IIIA

obtained by conducting axle load survey at site.

Step 5: To find out lane distribution factor of traffic over the carriageway

Step6: To determine design traffic in cumulative number of standard axles (msa) by the following formula mentioned below:

$$N = [365 \times {(1+r)^{n}-1}/r] \times A \times D \times F$$

Where,

N = Cumulative number of standard axles to be catered for in the design in terms of msa

A = Initial traffic in the year of completion of construction in terms of number of commercial vehicles per day

D = Lane Distribution Factor

n = Design life in years

r = Annual growth rate of commercial vehicles

F = Vehicle damage factor

Step 7: To determine total pavement thickness and crust composition by charts/graphs with respect to CBR and cumulative number of standard axles.

Methodology flow chart for the design of new Flexible pavement has been shown in Figure 7.3

April, 2020 Page: 288 Asc. 808

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0




Figure 7.3: Methodology Flow Chart for Design of New Flexible Pavement

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

7.5 GEOMETRIC DESIGN STANDARDS FOR BRIDGES AND CROSS-DRAINAGE STRUCTURE

7.5.1 SPECIFICATIONS

The project will use the MORT&H specifications for Road and Bridge Works (Fifth Revision). Where there are no appropriate standards within the MORT&H guidelines, AASHTO specifications may be utilized.

The following Indian standards will be incorporated wherever appropriate:

Ordinary Portland cement IS: 269
High Strength Ordinary Portland cement IS: 8112

Admixtures (where permitted) IS: 6925 and IS: 9103

Thermo mechanically treated deformed

Bars (TMT/H.Y.S.D) IS: 1789

Prestressing Steel:

Uncoated stress relieved low relaxation

Strands for 19 T 13 cables IS: 14268

Sheathing: "Drossbatch" 0.4 mm thick IS: 18-1985, appendix: 1

 Water
 IRC: SP33-1989, Clause 5.1 (ii)

 Bearings
 IRC: 83-1987 (Part II) or BS: 5400

 Foundations
 IRC: 78-2000 and IRC-SP: 33-1989

7.5.2 DESIGN STANDARDS

GEOMETRIC DESIGN

- i) The overall width (out to out of kerb) of the deck slab will be kept equal to the top width of the approach embankment.
- ii) The span arrangement and span lengths provided will be such that piers/abutments are in line with those of the existing bridges/culverts and ensure smooth flow of water. The new spans are either equal to or a multiple of the spans of old structure.
- iii) The linear water way provided will be determined from the consideration of design discharge, effective and adequate drainage.

7.5.3 LOADING STANDARD

- i) All structures will be designed for 3 lanes of IRC class A with due consideration to reduction allowed for a multi-lane bridge and single lane of class 70-R +single lane of class A whichever produces worst effect. IRC Class Special vehicle will also be considered in design of structures.
- ii) LL on footpath will be taken as 5 KN/m²
- iii) Environmental loadings such as earth pressure, water current, seismic forces and temperature effect will be taken as per IRC/BIS Codes. 15-1893 will be followed in evaluating dynamic increment of earth pressure.

7.5.4 GUIDING STANDARDS FOR STRUCTURES

The Structural planning of new bridges or culverts will be guided by the layout of existing structures.

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

oril, 2020 Page

The preliminary designs of proposed structures will be carried out in accordance with the provisions of the following IRC Codes/guidelines.

*	IRC:5-2015	-	Section I, General Features of Design
*	IRC:6-2014	-	Section II, loads and Stresses
*	IRC:112-2011	-	Code for Concrete Road Bridges
*	IRC:22-2015	-	Section VI, Composite Construction
*	IRC:40-2002	-	Section IV, Brick, stone & Block Masonry
*	IRC:45-1972	-	Recommendations for estimating the Resistance of soil
			Below Maximum scour level in the Design of Well
*	IRC:SP:84-2014	-	Four Lane Highway with Paved Shoulder Manual
*	IRC:SP:73-2015	-	Two Lane Highway with Paved Shoulder Manual
*	IRC:SP:13-2004	-	Guidelines for design of small bridges and culverts
Foundatio	ns of Bridges		
*	IRC:78-2014	-	Section VII, Foundations and Structure
*	IRC:83-2015	-	Section IX,(Part I), Metallic Bearings
*	IRC:83-2015	-	Section IX,(Part II), Elastomeric Bearings
*	IRC:83-2002	-	Section IX,(Part III), POT Bearings
*	IRC:87-2011	-	Guidelines for the Design & Erection of False work for
			Road Bridges
*	IRC:SP-33-1989	-	Guidelines on Supplemental Measures for Design,
			Detailing and Durability of Important Bridge Structures
*	IRC:89-1997	-	Guidelines for design and construction of river training
			and control works for road bridges (1st Revision)

Where IRC Codes are silent relevant BIS Codes will be followed. And where even BIS codes are silent, international codes / MOST, MORTH guidelines will be adopted.

7.5.5 SEISMIC DESIGN

The project road falls in Seismic Zone V, as per the classification specified in IRC: 7. All bridges will be designed for Seismic forces as per clause 219 of the said code.

7.5.6 SOIL PARAMETERS

The Soil parameters used in the preliminary design of foundations for Bridges will be taken from the report of soil investigation and information obtained from local authorities / existing bridge design data.

The following soil parameters will be used for material for back fill behind abutment of bridges and culverts and the abutment structure will be designed accordingly.

A 600 mm thick granular material filter behind abutment and adequate weep holes in abutment walls will be provided for proper drainage.

April, 2020 Page: 291 ASC 808

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR F

Revision: R0

7.5.7 HYDRAULIC DESIGN:

The relevant hydraulic data for fixing linear water way of bridges will be taken from the river hydraulic survey done by us and hydraulic data obtained from PWD / Flood Control and Irrigation Department.

For the cross: drainage structures, both the empirical and rational analytical approach as detailed out in IRC-SP-13-2004 will be used to check the adequacy of the waterways provided. Synthetic unit hydrograph method as developed by CWC will be used if relevant subzone flood estimation reports are available in time.

7.5.8 FOUNDATIONS:

For major bridges, either well or pile foundation and for minor bridges well, pile or open foundation will be adopted based on the soil boring data for those bridges.

7.5.9 SUBSTRUCTURE:

RC wall type piers and wall type / spill through type abutment will be provided in the bridges, matching the requirements, site conditions and constraints. Their design will be carried out in conformity with IRC-78-2000. The shape, size and alignment will be matching with the existing structure from aesthetic and hydraulic considerations.

7.5.10 SUPERSTRUCTURE:

I) MORT&H standard drawings of RC Beam and slab and PSC super-structure will be adopted wherever applicable. RC slab will form the deck for all new culverts, Multi-cell box structure may be adopted in the minor bridges and culverts, if found appropriate.

II) BEARINGS:

Neoprene/metallic/POT bearings will be used in the bridges as required for specific span, loads etc. and tar paper will be used in the culverts.

III) RAILINGS:

Reinforced concrete railings in M-30 grade concrete following MOST standard will be provided.

IV) **EXPANSION JOINTS**:

Buried type expansion/strip seal joints as per MORT&H standard will be used.

V) WEARING COURSE:

65mm thick asphaltic concrete wearing course will be adopted.

vi) APPROACH SLAB:

R.C. approach slabs, 3.50 m long and 300 mm thick in M-30 concrete will be used at either end of the bridges and culverts to ensure riding comfort and to reduce vehicular surcharge on the abutment walls. One end of the approach slab is supported on R.C. bracket projecting out, from dirt wall while the rest of the slab is placed on compacted soil as per the guidelines issued by MORT&H. A leveling course, 10 cm thick in M-20 / M-15 grade concrete will be used under the approach slab.

VI) DRAINAGE SPOUTS

100mm ~ drainage spout will be used for deck drainage at least one on both sides of carriageway per span.

Revision: R0 April, 2020

Page: 292 ASC 805

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

viii) TMT REINFORCEMENT AND PRESTRESSING CABLES:

Fe-500 high yield strength deformed bars conforming to IS-1786 will be used as reinforcement in all R.C. works. Uncoated stress relieved low relaxation strands conforming to IS-14268 will be used in PSC works.

7.5.11 PROTECTION WORKS

Protection works in the major bridge will be provided matching the protection work used in the existing bridges. IRC-89-1997 will be followed in detailing the protection works.

- i) Return walls of appropriate length will be provided in all bridges and culverts to stop the spilling of earth into the waterway,
- ii) Flooring will be provided over the base raft of culverts to guard against deterioration of the base raft
- iii) Perimetral cut-off walls around the base raft of culverts and boulder apron on both upstream and downstream sides will be provided to reduce chances of scouring,
- iv) The perimetral cut-off walls will also increase the effective depth of foundation in addition to their protective functions.

April, 2020 Page: 293 ASC. 805

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Revision: R0

CHAPTER – 8 COST ESTIMATES

8.1 GENERAL

Cost estimates is an important component of the study as it provides vital input to economic & financial evaluation and insights for proper planning of project execution. Over and above construction costs, provision has been made for social and environmental mitigation measures. Cost estimates are based on the detailed engineering designs and detailed drawings presented in drawing volume.

8.2 QUANTIFICATION

The construction items covered in cost estimates includes twelve different heads as:

- ✓ Site Clearance and Dismantling
- ✓ Earth work, Sub grade & Erosion Control
- ✓ Sub-Base & Base Courses
- ✓ Bituminous Courses
- ✓ Junction Improvement (Major & Minor)
- ✓ Traffic signs, Road marking & other road appurtenances
- ✓ Bus bay & Passenger Shelter
- ✓ Drainage and Protective Works
- ✓ Bridges & Culverts

8.3 UNIT RATES

For arriving at the unit rate, Schedule of Rate-2018 of Manipur Public Work Department with 5% escalation per annum for 2 years has been adopted. The analysis of rate based on "Standard Data Book", published by ministry of road transport and highways, Government of India (MoRTH).

On this Schedule of Rate the basic rates of materials and labours were finalized after careful consideration of data collected from the market.

Leads for various materials for both the corridors, considered for the rate analysis, are given in Table below:

TABLE 8.1: LEADS FOR VARIOUS MATERIALS (Package – IIIA)


SI. No.	Name of Material	Name of Source	Distance from Source to Project Road (Km)	Half of length of Project Road (Km)	Total Lead (Km)
1	Sand (Fine)	Tuivai River (Near Khuanggin Village)	2.5	10	12.05
2	Filling Material	Local	-	-	10.00
3	Stone Metal	Tuivai River (Near Khuanggin Village)	2.5	10	12.05
4	Stone Boulder	Tuivai River (Near Khuanggin Village)	2.5	10	12.05
5	Stone Chips, Aggregate	Tuivai River (Near Khuanggin Village)	2.5	10	12.05
6	Coarse Sand	Tuivai River (Near Khuanggin Village)	2.5	10	12.05
7	Cement	Imphal	140	10	149.55

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Final Detailed Project Report Cost Estimates Package-IIIA

SI.	Name of	Name of Source	Distance from	Half of length of	Total Lead
8	Steel	Imphal	140	10	149.55
9	Bitumen	Imphal	140	10	149.55
10	Bitumen	Imphal	140	10	149.55
10	Emulsion	imphai	140	10	149.55
11	Structural Steel	Imphal	140	10	149.55
12	RCC Pipe	Imphal	140	10	149.55

Lead Chart for Material







8.4 PROJECT COSTING

The cost of the road portion has been worked out based on the cross-sections, plan and profile and other drawings for widening and strengthening of the project road.

It is proposed that the excavated earth available from the cutting and reconstructed road sections would be used for the embankment construction. Adjustments have accordingly been made in the quantification of fill materials.

Locations of pavement reconstruction as identified in the pavement design and suitably quantified for dismantling and new crust composition. Extent of improvements to the cross roads at junctions has been considered up to the limits of proposed right of way. Quantification for road drainage has been in accordance with the recommendations of designs and drawings.

8.5 BRIDGES AND CULVERTS

The quantification of various items of work has been detailed out from the drawings.

8.6 ROAD INTERSECTIONS

Quantification for major intersections along the corridor has been done for each intersection based on the preliminary designs and drawings. Quantification for minor intersections and different kind of improvement option considered for each intersection has been worked out on the typical designs and drawings.

8.7 BUS BAYS AND BUS SHELTERS

6 nos. of Bus Bays are provided at 3 nos. of locations of the project road. Quantification for construction of pavement at these locations has been done along with road construction and quantification for providing shelter, footpath, markings etc. have been separately made.

8.8 SAFETY BARRIERS

Crash Barriers have been provided on the outer side of carriageway on deep valley side and bridge approaches.

8.9 TRAFFIC SIGNS, MARKINGS AND OTHER APPURTENANCES

Road Signs: Traffic signs are important features of traffic control devices and transmit visually vital information to drivers and ensure increased safety and efficiency in free flow of traffic. All these signs shall be of informatory nature. All signs shall be retro-refectories type. Quantification for road signs have been done based on the locations of intersections and other features along the corridor.

Road Markings would be done with thermoplastic paints with reflective bands. It will consist of lane line and edge line. The details of Lane markings are shown Drawings volume.

The other items covered under this sub-head are road furniture like km stones, 5th km stones, Hectometer stones, delineators, and boundary stones. They are to be laid as per IRC specifications over the entire length of the road

Delineators have been proposed depending upon the proposed radii of the horizontal alignment and height of embankment or valley site. The delineator posts have been proposed near all curves of radii less than 1000 m, with spacing given as per IRC. The delineators, guard posts/pillars will be painted with alternate black and white paint bands and reflectors will be provided in each post.

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0 A

April, 2020 Page: 29 V S.C. 80

8.10 ABSTRACT OF COST

Package – IIIA (Ch. 69.875 km to Ch. 88.980 km)

Length of Road (KM)

19.105

ı	DESCRIPTION OF WORKS	TOTAL COST	COST PER KM. OF TOTAL	% of Cost of
A.	ROAD WORKS	(IN Cr.)	ROAD LENGTH (IN Cr.)	(% of C)
1	Site Clearance and Dismantling	0.76	0.04	0.54%
2	Earth work , Subgrade and Erosion control	26.61	1.39	18.87%
3	Sub-Base & Base	35.79	1.87	25.38%
4	Bituminous Courses	20.78	1.09	14.74%
5	Junction Improvement (Major & Minor)	0.10	0.01	0.07%
6	Traffic signs, Road marking & other road appurtenances	2.34	0.12	1.66%
7	Passenger Shelter	0.12	0.01	0.09%
8	Bus bay	0.82	0.04	0.58%
	Drainage and Protective Works			
9	Longitudinal Drains	9.06	0.47	6.43%
10	Retaining wall	6.27	0.33	4.45%
11	Breast wall	23.38	1.22	16.58%
12	Toe Wall	0.41	0.02	0.29%
В.	BRIDGES & CULVERTS			
13	Culvert	14.56	0.76	10.33%
C.	COST OF CIVIL WORKS IN LAKHS (AS PER SOR 2018)	141.00	7.38	
D.	Maintenance for 5 years, i.e 2.5% on civil cost (C	3.53		
E.	GST @ 12% of (C)	16.92		
F.	Contingencies @ 2.8% over Civil Cost (C)	3.95		
G.	Supervision Charges @ 3% of (C)	4.23		
H.	Agency Charges @3% of (C)	4.23		
ı.	Escalation Cost @ 10% during Construction	14.10		
J.	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST	187.96	9.84	
K.	DEPARTMENTAL COST			
a.	LA Cost	14.49		
b.	R&R Cost	10.45		
c.	Utility Shifting(Electrical+PHE)	0.71		
d.	Environmental Budget +FC Clearance	3.07		
e.	Cost of Dumping site for Muck Disposal	11.94		
L.	Sub Total (K)	40.66		
M.	TOTAL PROJECT COST (K+L)=M	228.62	11.97	

April, 2020 Page: 298 ASC. 8058

Revision: R0

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Final Detailed Project Report Environmental Screening & Preliminary Environmental Assessment Package-IIIA

Page: 299

CHAPTER – 9 ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING & PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

9.1 ENVIRONMENTAL SCREENING

Environmental screening of the project is aimed to (i) recognize the applicability of relevant environmental legislations for the project (ii) identify the environmental issues that should be taken into account due to project interventions (ii) provide input to the engineering design team to consider various alternatives in the critical areas to (iv) determine the magnitude of potential environmental at planning/design stage (iii) identify need for further environmental studies like Initial Environmental Examination and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and iv) suggest enhancement measures, if any.

9.2 RECONNAISSANCE SURVEY

Reconnaissance survey was done to determine the extent of environmental study, design the nature of the environmental survey to be carried out along the road alignment. This will facilitate to identify valued environment components, key stakeholders and key informants. Reconnaissance survey and initial consultations also recognized the need to conduct any additional study like bio-diversity assessment and wild-life movement etc.

9.3 APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY FOR IEE/EIA

- Review of Country's Legal Framework: India has a well-defined policy/legal framework for safeguard of environment. Prior to initiation of any civil work, it is essential to analyze the various permissions/clearances required for any developmental project. Same has been presented in later section of this chapter.
- Primary Data Collection: Environmental resource inventory will be prepared for all environmental features viz. terrain, land-use, landslide and erosion prone stretches, waterways/water bodies, road side vegetation, sensitive receptors, common property resources, utilities, drainage, flooding/water logging, industries, accident prone areas etc. within the area of interest/core zone. Information about this will be done by trained persons under the supervision of an expert team comprised of university researchers. Similarly, floral survey was also carried out. Baseline monitoring was conducted at the locations for which data was not available in environmental assessment report conducted by detailed design team.
- Secondary Data Collection: Secondary sources include published government reports, environmental impact assessments conducted in the similar region, government websites, recognized institutions and relevant government departments (forest, irrigation, pollution control board, fisheries, statistics, Indian Meteorological Department (IMD) and Nagaland Space Application Centre (NSAC) etc. Recent Google images has been captured to view environmental features at regional scale.
- Public Consultation: Meaningful consultations were organized with the PWD, local people/beneficiary population to know the level of project acceptability, understand their concerns, apprehensions, and overall opinion. Information were gathered about existing baseline environmental condition viz. ambient levels and its effects on health, water resources, water logging/flooding, flora and fauna, socio-economic standing of local people.

- impact due to loss of land other assets and common property resources, accident risk during construction and operation stage, perceived benefits and losses, etc. Information thus gathered was used to integrate it in project design and formulate mitigation measures and environmental management plan.
- Other Tools, Surveys and Studies: Assessment of land use/land cover map of larger area beyond the project site will be prepared for better planning and decision-making before creating any physical infrastructure in the region. Remote sensing and Geographic Information System (GIS) based land use map of the study area (10 km buffer) will be prepared through recent satellite imagery. A rapid bio-diversity assessment will be carried out to generate baseline on floral and faunal elements in the project area. The survey will also help in assessing impact on any rare threatened or endangered species of floral species in the project area. Rapid bio-diversity assessment will also recognize wild life movement along across and impact due to improvement work.
- Assessment of Potential Impacts: The assessment of the type, nature, direct, indirect, cumulative or induced impacts and their significance to the physical, biological, and socio-economic components of the environment will be done to ascertain whether the project is environmentally sustainable or not. Nature of impacts will be classified as significant, insignificant, short-term, long-term, reversible, irreversible etc. After identification of nature and extent of impacts, mitigation measures will be suggested.
- Preparation of the Environment Management Plan: The project specific Environment Management plan will be formulated with an aim to avoid, reduce, mitigate, or compensate for adverse environmental impacts/risks and propose enhancement measures. This includes
 - a. Mitigation of potentially adverse impacts
 - b. Monitoring of impacts and mitigation measures during project implementation and operation
 - c. Institutional capacity building and training
 - d. Compliance to statutory requirements
 - e. Integration of EMP with Project planning, design, construction and operation.
- Environment Monitoring Plan: The monitoring and evaluation are critical activities in implementation of the project. Monitoring involves periodic checking to ascertain whether activities are going according to plan or not. It provides the necessary feedback for project management to ensure project objectives are met and on schedule. The reporting system is based on accountability to ensure that the environmental mitigation measures are implemented. Environmental monitoring program has the underlying objective to ensure that the intended environmental mitigations are realized and these results in desired benefits to the target population causing minimal deterioration to the environmental parameters. Such program targets proper implementation of the EMOP. The broad objectives are:
 - To evaluate the performance of mitigation measures proposed in the EMP.
 - To evaluate the adequacy of environmental assessment.
 - To suggest ongoing improvements in management plan based on the monitoring

Rage: 300 RSC 8058

- To enhance environmental quality through proper implementation of mitigation measures.
- To meet existing environmental regulatory framework and community obligations.
- Performance Indicators: The significant physical, biological and social components affecting the environment at critical locations serve as wider/overall performance Indicators. However, the following specific environmental parameters can be quantitatively measured and compared over a period of time and are, therefore, selected as specific Performance Indicators (PIs) for monitoring because of their regulatory importance and the availability of standardized procedures and relevant expertise. Performance indicators requiring quantitative measurements are:
 - Air quality with respect to PM2.5, PM10, CO, NOx and SO2 at selected location.
 - Water quality as per CPCB prescribed Standards
 - Noise levels at sensitive receptors (schools, hospitals, community/religious places).
 - Survival rates of trees planted as compensatory plantation.
- Assessment of EA Capacity to address the environmental concern of the project: EIA will assess the capacity of the executing agency for effective implementation of EMP. Accordingly, if needed, a training and awareness program will be formulated to enhance the capacity of officials for implementing proposed mitigation measures and monitoring the resultant effects, as well as create awareness amongst workers and public. The institutions/agencies like regional office of MoEF, SPCB/CPCB, and Indian Institute of Technologies can be consulted for such trainings. Independent subject's experts/consultants (e.g., for the environmental awareness program, impact assessment specialist will be the resource person) can also be the resource persons to impart trainings. These experts /agencies shall be appointed based on specific need for the training. A separate budget for training will be allocated under the Construction Supervision Consultant budget.

9.4 COUNTRY'S LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

The Government of India has laid out various policy guidelines, acts and regulations for the safeguard and conservation environment. The Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 provides umbrella legislation for the protection of environment. As per this Act, the responsibility to administer the legislation has been jointly entrusted to the Ministry of Environment and Forests (MoEF) and the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB)/Manipur State Pollution Control Board in the present context. Table below presents all relevant policies/acts/rules and regulations and its applicability to the project.

Applicable National Laws and Regulations for the Project

SI.	Act / Rules	Purpose	Applic able	Reason for Applicability	Authority
1	Environment	To protect and improve	Yes	It is umbrella legislation and	MoEF.
	Protection	overall environment		notifications, rules and	(Govt. of
	Act-1986			schedules are promulgated	Manipur)
				under this act.	State Gov.
					SPCB

SI.	Act / Rules	Purpose	Applic able	Reason for Applicability	Authority
2	Environmental Impact Assessment Notification,1 4th Sep-2006¹ and its amendments	To accord environmental clearance to new development activities listed in schedule of EIA notification.	No	Project road is >100km but does not involve additional right of way greater than 40m in existing alignment and 60 m in bypass and realignment section.	MoEF. SEIAA
3	Fly Ash Notification, 1999 as amended upto 17th August 2003:	Reuse large quantity of fly ash discharged from thermal power plant to minimize land use for disposal	No	No thermal power plants within 100 km	MoEF
4	Office memorandum dated 18.05.12,by MoEF in view of Apex Court order dated 27.2.2012	Conserve top soil, aquatic biodiversity, hydrological regime etc. by haphazard and unscientific mining of minor minerals	Yes	In case of renewal of quarries and opening of new borrow areas	SEIAA
5	National Environment Appellate Authority Act (NEAA) 1997	Address Grievances regarding the process of environmental clearance.	Yes	Grievances if any will be dealt with, within this act.	NEAA

Note: A general condition applies to both of the above category: "Any project or activity specified in Category 'B' will be treated as Category A, if located in whole or in part within 5 km from the boundary of: (i) Protected Areas notified under the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972, (ii) Critically Polluted areas as notified by the Central Pollution Control Board from time to time, (iii) Notified Ecosensitive areas, (iv) inter-State boundaries and international boundaries".

¹Category A-i) New National High ways; and ii) Expansion of National High ways greater than 100 KM, involving additional right of way greater than 40m in existing alignment and 60 m in bypass and realignment section.

Category B-i) All new state High ways; and ii) Expansion projects in hilly terrain (above 1000 m above mean sea level and/or ecologically sensitive areas.

Final Detailed Project Report Environmental Screening & Preliminary Environmental Assessment Package-IIIA

Consultancy Services for Carrying out Feasibility Study, Preparation of Detailed Project Report and providing pre-construction services in respect of 2 laning of Churachandpur -Tuivai road section (length- 162Km) on NH-102B in the State of Manipur.

SI.	Act / Rules	Purpose	Applic able	Reason for Applicability	Authority
6	Forest Conservation Act (1980) ²	To check deforestation by restricting conversion of forested areas into non- forested areas	Yes	Road widening and improvement work requires diversion of significant forest land	Tree removal will be guided as per state government rules.
7	Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981	To control air pollution by & Transport controlling emission of air Department. Pollutants as per the prescribed standards.	Yes	For construction; for obtaining NOC for establishment of hot mix plant, workers' camp, construction camp, etc.	SPCB
8	Water Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act1974	To control water pollution by controlling discharge of pollutants as per the prescribed standards	Yes	This act will be applicable during construction for (establishments of hot mix plant, construction camp, workers' camp, etc.	SPCB
9	Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control Act) 1990	The standards for noise for day and night have been promulgated by the MoEF for various land uses.	Yes	This act will be applicable as vehicular noise on project routes required to assess for future years and necessary protection measure need to be considered in design.	SPCB
10	Public Liability and Insurance Act 1991	Protection form hazardous materials and accidents.	Yes	Contractor need to stock hazardous material like diesel, Bitumen, Emulsions etc.	
11	Explosive Act 1984	Safe transportation, storage and use of explosive material	Yes	Blasting may be involved in some locations	Chief Controller of Explosives
12	Minor Mineral and concession Rules	For opening new quarry.	Yes	Regulate use of minor minerals like stone, soil, river sand etc.	District Collector

Rage: 303

SI.	Act / Rules	Purpose	Applic able	Reason for Applicability	Authority
13	Central Motor Vehicle Act 1988 and Central Motor Vehicle Rules1989	To check vehicular air and noise pollution.	Yes	These rules will be applicable to road users and construction Machinery.	Motor Vehicle Department
14	National Forest Policy1952 National Forest Policy(Revised) 1988	To maintain ecological stability through conservation and restoration of biological diversity.	Yes	This policy will be applicable as project intervention requires forest land to be acquired.	Forest Department, Gol and Govt. of Manipur
15	The Mining Act	The mining act has been notified for safe and sound mining activity.	Yes	The construction of project road will require aggregate through mining from riverbeds and quarries	Department of mining. State Govt. of Manipur
16	The Building and Other Construction Workers (regulation of employment and conditions of service) Act, 1996	To regulate the employment and conditions of construction workers and to provide for their safety, health and welfare measure and for other matter incidental thereto	Yes	A large number of construction workers skilled, semiskilled or unskilled will be employed temporarily during Construction Phase of the project	Ministry of Labor and Employment

Recent Policy Initiatives: Ministry of Environment & Forest (MoEF) vide O.M. No. L-11011/47/2011-IA.II(M) dated 18th May, 2012 in view of the Order of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 27.2.2012 in I.A. no. 12-13 of 2011 in SLP (C) no. 19628-19629 of 2009 in the matter of: Deepak Kumar etc. Vs State of Haryana and others has informed that it has been decided in the MoEF that: (i) All mining projects of minor minerals including their renewal, irrespective of the size of the lease would henceforth require prior environment clearance. (ii) Mining projects with lease area up to less than 50 ha including projects of minor mineral with lease area less than 5 ha would be treated as category "B" as defined in the EIA Notification, 2006 and will be considered by the respective State/ UT Level Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAAs). (iii) All the respective SEIAAs in dealing with the applications regarding environment clearance should be disposed within ten days from the date of receipt of the applications in accordance with law. All State Governments should take action as per the decision of the MoEF.

Final Detailed Project Report Environmental Screening & Preliminary Environmental Assessment Package-IIIA


Procedure for Forest Clearance: MOEFCC has initiated online submission and disposal of forest clearance cases. The detail procedure is available on ministry website http://forestsclearance.nic.in/ However, the work-flow is unchanged which has been illustrated in Figure 1.

The proposed road from Churachandpur -Tuivai is divided into four packages. Out of four packages, Package-III is passing through Kailam Wildlife Sanctuary and its eco-sensitive zone. Hence, wildlife clearance is required for this project.

Procedure and workflow for wild life clearance is enclosed below:



Figure 1: Procedure and Work Flow for Forest Clearance



STEPS AND PROCEDURE FOR OBTAINING BORROW AREA PERMIT

Steps	Activities
1	Contractor identifies the Borrow Area (BA) quantity based on prospective BA identified in F/S/DPR
2	Contractor identifies the Borrow pits with quantity and raise Request for Inspection (RFI) to IE/CSC.
3	IE/CSC inspects borrow pit in the presence of Environmental Engineer of contractor and land owner with his lease document.
4	Contractor takes the sampling of soil in identified pit and test in lab. IE/CSC approves the pit based on the test report (Moisture contents, particle size etc.)
5	Contractor makes the agreement with land owner and get NOC from Gram Panchayat if necessary
6	If BA is more than 5Ha (B1 category), contractor submit application for clearance to State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA) the project is treated as B1 EIA and Public Hearing needs to be carried out.
7	If BA is < 5Ha (B2 category), contractor submit application in Form 1M, Prefeasibility report and approved mine plan to District Environment Impact Assessment Authority (DEISAA). DEIAA gives clearance base on the recommendation of District Environment Appraisal Committee (DEAC).
8	Contractor pays Royalty amount to state government at the prescribed rate.
9	Contractor submit Borrow Area Redevelopment plan to IE/CSC.
10	Contractor raise RFI to IE/CSC for Borrow pit excavation
11	Contractor fulfils the compliance of EC agency observations if any.
12	Contractor will maintain haul road and ensure for fugitive dust suppression
13	Contractor does sampling of each pit at the time of excavation test and gets approval of IE/CSC.
14	Contractor raises RFI to IE/CSC before closing the pit.
15	Contract reclaims borrow pit as per owner agreement and gets clearance from him.

Key Considerations prior to selection of Borrow Areas

- Cluster shall be formed if the distance between peripheries of one lease to the other and is less than 500m in homogenous mineral area.
- Minimum distance between two clusters is 500 meters.
- Maximum depth of excavation 2000mm from existing ground level.
- In case of fertile land; 15 cm top soil is stock piled, further up to max.30 cm depth.
- Maintain 5m distance from the toe of the final section of the road/Embankment.
- BA should not be dug within 1500 m of village. If unavoidable should not exceed 30 cm in depth.
- Ridges not less than 8m width shall be left an interval of not exceeding 300m.

Page: 307 NSC 8095

April, 2020

8.5 MUCK DUMPING PLAN

Selection of Dumping Sites

The muck from hill cutting and construction activities will be safely disposed at suitable locations. Principle adopted for selecting muck dumping areas was to avoid sensitive areas like dense vegetation, natural water courses and areas prone to landslides. During the selection of the dumping sites preference was given on the following aspects.

- The muck does not fall/ flow into stream/river.
- Dumping sites should be at least 30 m (horizontal) away from the High Flood Level of the River/ stream.
- The sites are free from active landslides or creeps.
- The sites should not fall within pristine forest nor are these habitats of threatened species of flora and fauna.
- The sites are located close to its source in order to avoid long distance haulage.

Details of Proposed Muck disposal area has given below:

				Muck Dis	posal Estimat	te				
Package	Quantity of Muck/Debris generated in Cum	Quantity of Muck with 30% swell factor in Cum	Total Quantity of Muck/Debris including swell factor in Cum	Estimated Quantity of Muck/Debris proposed to be utilized for Filling in cum	Estimated quantity of muck/debris proposed to be dumped in cum.	Estimated quantity of muck/debris dumped in Valley Side within our Proposed ROW in Cum	Estimated quantity of muck/debris dumped in other location in Cum	Avg. Dumping Height in M.	Avg. Dumping Area (Sqm.)	Avg. Dumping Area (Ha.)
IIIA	1229627.38	368888.21	1598515.59	200755.88	1397759.71	43737.00	1354022.71	20	67701.14	6.77

Muck Disposal Plan

The loosely held muck can lead to the rise in SPM levels, sedimentation load in the river body and phyto-retardation of the nearby vegetation. Therefore, it requires stability with appropriate methods to avoid the subsequent ecological problems. The muck disposal plan involves both engineering and biological measures that depend on the eco-climatic conditions. A considerable amount of muck can be used as filling material at various project components, area/ bench development works and also as aggregates/boulders. Rest of the muck to be dumped and rehabilitated at designated dumping sites. For retaining the dumped material along the hill slopes, crated boulder toe walls will be constructed. Excavated muck would be dumped and compacted at these dumping areas with stable slopes. Planting/grass turf should be done for additional safety of slopes. The toe walls shall be kept at least 30 m away from the high flood level.

Muck Rehabilitation Plan

The muck rehabilitation plan involves both engineering and biological measures that depend on the terrain and eco-climatic conditions. Stability of the loosely held muck requires appropriate method of consolidation and biological measures so that the muck is not easily eroded leading to subsequent ecological problems.

Page: 308 NSC. 8088

Final Detailed Project Report Environmental Screening & Preliminary Environmental Assessment Package-IIIA

Engineering measures

Crated boulder walls should be provided at the toe of each Muck Dumping Area and a minimum distance of 30m (horizontal) will be maintained from the High Flood Level (in case of Muck Dumping Yard near any water body). These toe walls will provide stability to the slope of dumped muck besides arresting spread of muck beyond the designated area.

Biological measure

Vegetation cover controls the hydrological and mechanical effects on soils and slopes. Therefore, biological measures to stabilize the loose slope are essential. However, such measures are dependent on the local environmental conditions. The stages in implementation of such measures are discussed below.

Selection of Plant Species

Different plant species may be utilized for different ecological and engineering functions. Grasses are more suited for armoring the loose soil surface and shrubs or trees hold the soil up to the deeper level. The selection of plant species used for rehabilitation of soil/muck must take into account the climatic, soil and drainage conditions of the site. The dumping sites of project road are located in the altitudinal range of 1300 to 1600 m. The area has sub-tropical climate and major part of the precipitation is received during April to September. The period from November to February is relatively dry. Considering all these factors as well as the existing natural vegetation in the area, the species recommended for plantation are Chir pine, alder, broom grass, bamboo etc.

Planting of Trees

- Assessment of the nutrient status of the soil and evaluation of the physical and chemical properties of the dumped material.
- Formulation of the appropriate blend of organic waste and soil to enhance the nutrient status of the rhizosphere.
- Isolation and screening of specialized strains of mycorrhizal fungi, rhizobium, azobacter and phosphate solubilizer (bio-fertilizer inoculums) which can be best suited for the dumped material.
- Mass culture of plant specific bio-fertilizer and mycorrhizal fungi.
- Plantation of dumping sites/areas using identified blend and bio-fertilizer inoculums.

The rich soil and farmyard manure requirement for nearly 100 pits will be about 1 cubic meter with approximate weight of 200 kg. The saplings will be planted at 3 m intervals along the contour and 5 m across it. Wherever terracing shall be prescribed, the same will be done on terraces at 3m intervals leaving one-meter space from the edge of the terrace. About 1100 seedlings shall be planted per Ha depending on the space available at the site. Shrubs and herbs will be planted in the interspaces. The required saplings will be locally procured from State Forest Department/ private nurseries on the prevalent rates.

Fencing

After rehabilitation of muck the dumping areas need to be protected for some time, from grazing by domestic animals, livestock, sheep and goats. For this reason fencing over the muck deposits is required. Barbed wire

strands with two diagonal strands, clamped to wooden/concrete posts placed 3 m apart is proposed for this purpose. Both the ends of the wooden fence posts should be coated with coal tar to ensure longevity of the intervention.

Applicable Indian Road Congress (IRC) Codes to the Project Road: Key IRC guidelines have been summarized that have a direct/indirect bearing on the environmental management during design and construction stages.

Applicable Indian Road Congress (IRC) Codes

S. No	Code Title/Theme	Code
1	Guidelines on requirement of environmental clearance for road projects	IRC:SP:93-2011
2	Guidelines on Landscaping and tree plantation	IRC:SP: 21-2009
3.	Guidelines for EIA of Highway projects	IRC:104-1988
4.	Guidelines for Borrow area identification, use and its rehabilitation	IRC:10-1961
5	Guidelines for Pedestrian Facilities	IRC: 103 -1988
6.	Ribbon developments on highways and its prevention	IRC: SP: 1996
7.	Manual on Landscaping of road	IRC: SP: 21-1979
8.	Report on recommendations of IRC Regional workshops on highway safety	IRC: SP: 27-1984
9.	Road safety for Children (5-12 years old)	IRC: SP: 32-1988
10.	Guidelines on road drainage	IRC: SP: 42-1994
11	Highway safety code	IRC: SP: 44-1994
12	Guidelines for safety in construction zones	IRC: SP: 55-2001
13	Hill road manual	IRC: SP-48-1998
14	Recommended practice For treatment of embankment slopes and erosion control	IRC: 56-1974

9.6 EXISTING BASELINE ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

Manipur has a total geographical area of 22,327 Sq. Km. of which 90% are hilly regions, largely, characterised by dense forests and inaccessible terrains. The valley (Plain area) at the centre surrounded by the hills claims 10% only. The valley area is cadastrally surveyed while only some parts of the hills are surveyed. As part of peculiarity of this physical feature of the area, 61.54 of the total population is in the valley while 38.45 per cent in the hill districts. Besides the state is surrounded by equally backward states on the north and west; Nagaland and Mizoram while on the south there is Myanmar, a very less developed country. The positive "spill-over" effects of development are visibly limited. The length of international border shared by the state is 352 kms accounting for 41.21% of the total length of the border. This peculiar location has been a visible handicap on the perceptible process of development of the state.

April, 2020 Page: 310

Rainfall: The rainfall in the state is around 1435 mm. Monsoon confers upon Manipur a very handsome rain as seen below: -

- South-West monsoon (June-Sept.) 825 mm
- Post monsoon period (Oct. to Dec.) 151 mm
- Winter monsoon (Jan. to Feb.) 52 mm
- Pre monsoon (March May) 407 mm
- Total 1435 mm

Geology: Geologically, Manipur belong to the young folded mountains of the Himalayan system. The rocks in the state vary from upper Cretaceous to the present alluvium. The oldest rocks found in the state are mainly confined in the eastern part of the state close to Indo-Myanmar border and the rocks are grouped as cretaceous rocks consisting of chromites (Epilates), serpentine etc. Availability of Asbestos, Chromite, Copper ore, Coal, Big iron, Lignite, Lime stone, Nickel ore and petroleum is reported in some parts of the state.

Soil: The soil of the state is of two major types – residual and transported, which cover both the hill and plain of the State. The residual soils are either laterized or non-laterized. The laterized red soils covering an area of 2,500 sq. km. in the Barak drainage on the Western slope of Manipur. It contains rich portion of nitrogen and phosphate, a medium acidity and lesser amount of Potash. The old alluvial is brought down by river Barak basin and Jiri river and their tributaries from their lateritic water ship hills. The compact and less permeable soils contain higher quantity of potash, fair amount of nitrogen and phosphorus with medium acidity. The transported soils are of two types – alluvial and organic. The alluvial soils cover 1600 sq. km. in the valley. This soils have general clayey warm texture and grey to pale brown colour. They contain a good proportion of potash and phosphate, a fair quantity of nitrogen and organic matter and are less acidic. The organic soils cover the low lying areas of the valley. With dark grey colour and clayey loam texture, these peaty soils have high acidity, abundance of organic matter, a good amount of nitrogen and phosphorus but are poor in potash. The hill soils are more or less rich in organic carbon (1 to 3%) in the top soil, but poor in available phosphorus and potash. They are acidic in nature.

Following figures are enclosed in GIS Environment to visualize the following features in the surrounding region of Churachanpur-Tuivai road

Fig. 9.1: Land use and Land Cover Information

Fig. 9.2: Drainage Information

Fig. 9.3: Elevation Map

Fig. 9.4: Digital Terrain Model

Fig. 9.5: Slope Map

9.7 GENERIC AND SITE-SPECIFIC KEY IMPACTS IDENTIFIED DURING SCREENING

Pre-construction Impacts:

There will be diversion of forest cover for widening the existing road. Private Land also needs to be acquired for widening since the existing road is intermediate/two lane configuration. Road design has considered all major preconstruction impacts and taken avoidance measures at an early stage of planning.

- (i) Alignment: Final alignment has been determined to minimize land acquisition, impact on structures, archaeological/cultural sites, interference with water sources, shifting of existing utilities etc.
- (ii) Water bodies: construction of culverts and bridges in during lean flow period. If technically not feasible toe walls/retaining walls have been proposed. Aggregate will be procured from existing licensed quarries.
- (iii) **Tree Cutting:** Proposed to restrict tree cutting to formation width...
- (iv) **Construction material Sourcing:** Borrow areas have been identified at non-agricultural land. Quarrying is not proposed.
- (v) Dust and air pollution: No new borrow areas/quarry sites to be opened for the project. Aggregates will be sourced from existing licensed quarries. Waste disposal sites and asphalt mixing sites have been sited away from populated areas.
- (vi) **Noise and Vibration:** Time regulation for blasting and construction near sensitive receptors and residential areas. There are two existing crusher plant in adjacent to proposed road.
- (vii) **Soil Erosion, Cut and fill:** The design attempted to equalise cut and fill. Adequate erosion control measures included in design.
- (viii) **Construction Camp and Waste Disposal:** No such facility is sited near any water bodies, forest area and settlements.
- (ix) **Natural Hazards:** The project area is located in seismic zone V which is very high damage risk zone. Relevant IS codes shall be adopted while designing the civil structures to sustain the earthquake of highest magnitude in Seismic zone V. Retaining walls and breast walls need to be provided at all potential landslide locations.

Generic impacts attributable to any road up-gradation projects are:

- (i) Increase of local air pollution and noise level due to construction and site clearance activities, earthworks, borrowing and quarrying, operation of hot mix plants etc;
- (ii) Deterioration of surface water quality due to silt run-off, spillage from vehicles and discharge from labour camps;
- (iii) Health impacts from labour camps;
- (iv) Disruption to access/traffic;
- (v) Occupational health and community safety. Operation stage impacts anticipated are road accidents, accidental spillage, submergence/overtopping of CD structures, water logging due to blockade of side drains, increased air pollution and noise level, survival of compensatory afforestation and avenue plantation etc.

All these are mainly associated with maintenance and monitor of effectiveness of mitigation measures taken during design and construction stage. Executing agency is mandated to undertake regular maintenance of the road conditions and its appurtenances.

Besides above, since the project is located in a mountainous terrain, following site-specific impacts achieves greater attention need to be addressed in detail during further stages of study.

Page: 312 NSC 805

Page: 313

Site Specific Potential Impacts due to Road up-gradation and widening

- Landslides: The lithology of the project area combined with high rainfall makes the hill slopes unstable. Destabilization of slopes due to hill cutting may cause extensive erosion resulting to siltation in nearby water bodies may invite impact on properties. Hence, suitable protection measures are recommended viz.
- (i) Retaining walls for stabilization of uphill, (ii) Breast walls for down slopes and (iii) Parapet walls/guard posts/railings/edge stones. Some Bio-engineering measures like bamboo terracing, bamboo crib walls, and bamboo knitting a slope, (ii) contour trenching, (iii) series of check dams on hill slopes etc. may also be recommended for slope stabilization. In addition to controlling soil erosion, this will generate employment to local people, manifold saving against masonry structures, increase productivity of hill slopes and reduce carbon emissions.
- Soil Erosion/Silt Runoff: Soil erosion may take place near cutting areas, at mountainous and uncompacted embankment slope, and wherever vegetation is cleared. Soil erosion may have cumulative effect viz. siltation, embankment damage, drainage problem etc. Loss of soil due to run off from earth stock-piles may also lead to siltation. Need for opening borrows areas and quarries are not anticipated since abundant material will be available from hill cutting. However, if requirement emerged, it may cause some adverse impacts if left un-rehabilitated. It may pose risk to people, particularly children and animals of accidentally falling into it as well as become potential breeding ground for mosquitoes and vector born disease. Illegal quarrying may lead to unstable soil condition; destroy the landscape of the terrain, air and noise pollution. Opening of new quarries is not envisaged due to the proposed project. Quarry material will be sourced from existing licensed quarries. The dredging and use of dredged material, if involved, may have its impact in terms of localised sedimentation level increase and dispersion of pollutants present in the dredged material in the river water.
- Blasting: In case if blasting is required the blasting operation may cause noise and vibration, destabilization of rock units and safety hazard and physical damage to downhill inhabitants, assets and properties. In forest areas, it may pose adverse impacts on faunal elements. Blasting, if required shall be restricted to daytime only. Blasting should be carried out as per "The Explosive Act, 1884 and the rules, 1983" pertaining to procurement, transport, storage, handling and use of explosives. Blasting schedules shall be carried out as per pre announced scheduled which shall be also displayed in advance in areas where residents may be affected by the blasting operations. Red danger flags shall be displayed prominently in all directions during the blasting operations. The flags shall be planted 200 m and 500 m from the blasting site in all directions for blasting at. People, except those who actually light the fuse, shall be prohibited from entering this area, and all persons including workmen shall be excluded from the flagged area at least 10 minutes before the firing, a warning siren being sounded for the purpose. The Contractor shall notify each public utility body having services in proximity to the site of the work of his intention to use explosives. The Contractor shall adequately compensate in a timely manner for any damage to property/services and life caused by their blasting"
- Debris Disposal: Cutting the hillside to widen a road invariably generates debris. Disposing of
 this debris in the hilly areas is a challenging task as loose debris can potentially cause landsides,
 lead to unsightly scarring, and cause the hill slopes where it is dumped to lose their productivity.
 Although the road's technical design had reduced the generation of debris significantly by filling
 the valley portions with the debris however significant amount would still need to be disposed.

Final Detailed Project Report Environmental Screening & Preliminary Environmental Assessment Package-IIIA


Dumping sites have been tentatively identified keeping in mind requisite environmental consideration viz. distance from water body, distance from forest and vegetated areas etc. during preliminary survey which needs further examinations viz its ownership and their consent and other technical considerations.


A fairly large proportion of people use hill slopes for agriculture where they still follow the traditional practice of 'jhum', or shifting cultivation, a 'slash and burn' method of cultivation that requires large tracts of land. The productivity of these slopes would therefore need to be retained and any land acquisition for project work or the disposal of debris would need to be done in close consultation with the local people since significant part of the land is jointly owned and administered by the community.


- Alteration of Surface Water Hydrology/Drainage: Diversion of rivers and major streams
 construction is not envisaged. Reconstruction/new construction of culverts will be done during
 lean flow period. Diversion of some nallahs may be required for a very short period. Their
 courses will be brought back to original within no time once construction is finished.
- Ecological Resources: There are no national parks, wildlife sanctuaries or any other similar ecosensitive areas in the project area. Major portion of the project road is passing through unclassified/open mixed jungle. Wildlife movement is not reported along/across the project road. A rapid bio-diversity assessment will be carried out to generate baseline on floral and faunal elements in the project area. The survey will also help in assessing impact on any rare threatened or endangered species of floral species in the project area. Rapid bio-diversity assessment will also recognize wild life movement along across and impact due to improvement work. Tree enumeration will be conducted to identify no of trees likely to be affected. The list will include Girth size and species of all affected trees. Risk of forest fire cannot be ruled out due to uncontrolled burning of grasses/shrubs for clearance of ROW, fuel accumulation due to accidental spillage or improper storage of explosives.


Based on the above findings and valued environmental components identified, the EIA report during later stage of submission will addressed all key concerns/issues adequately and accordingly an EMP will be formulated which may form part of the BOQ as desired by NHIDCL.














Final Detailed Project Report Road Safety Audit Package-IIIA

CHAPTER-10 ROAD SAFETY AUDIT

10.1 INTRODUCTION

Road Safety Audit (RSA) is a formal procedure for assessing accident potential and safety performance in the provision of new road schemes and schemes for the improvement and maintenance of existing roads.

However, its systematic application can also ensure that a growing awareness about good road safety principles is achieved throughout in highway planning, design, construction and maintenance organisation. The essential elements of the definition are that it is:

- a) A formal process and not an informal check,
- b) Carried out by persons who are independent of the design and construction, IRC: SP: 88-2010
- c) Carried out by persons with appropriate expertise, experience and training, and
- d) Restricted to road safety issues.

Road engineers will apply quality assurance techniques by established procedures and regularly check the details of their own work. This regular checking includes checking safety aspects. This type of assessment, however, is not 'road safety auditing' because it is not done with a 'fresh pair of eyes' and it probably is not applying road safety engineering skills and experience required for the task. Road safety audit, on the other hand, is a 'step-by-step' process, performed at all stages. An independent road safety audit of the design is sought, to permit independent road safety engineering advice to be input, for the benefit of the future road users. Presently, it has become practice of involving safety engineers during the life of project, liaising informally with professionals of all disciplines at all stages, from feasibility/concept stage to completion. In turn, quality assurance can be applied to the providers of road safety audit services.

Road safety is now recognized as a major socio-economic concern in India. Increasing traffic volumes, the rapid growth in two and three wheeled traffic, higher speeds due to construction improvement / rehabilitation of roads has increased safety problem. A Road Safety Audit (RSA) is the safety performance examination of a road section through experienced road safety expert. It qualitatively estimates and reports on potential road safety issues and identifies opportunities for improvements in safety for all road users. The road safety audit investigates general safety conditions, focuses on specific concerns or users. This also includes pedestrian safety as well as safety of transport use.

10.2 ROAD SAFETY AUDIT AND QUALITY ASSURANCE

Road safety audit is an important aspect of Quality Assurance (QA), applied to the implementation of a road project. It is a management process in which the provider of goods or services assures the customer or client of the quality of those goods or services, without the customer or client having to check each time.

Quality assurance is done by the implementation, in the organization, of a set of procedures designed to ensure that agreed standards are met. Quality assurance procedures for the design and implementation



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

of new road or traffic projects are to input road safety engineering expertise into the design. Often the client and the customer are the same person or organization. In case of roads, the client for whom the road is designed and built is usually the highway authority, whereas the customer is the road user. A road safety audit is undertaken for the highway authority to ensure that the customer is afforded a level of protection from unsafe design and construction.

'Getting it right the first time' is the underlying theme of quality assurance. Road safety audits seek to ensure the road operates 'right the first time' once it opens and that the road users make fewer mistakes. Quality assurance is a continuous process. So far as the safe design of roads is concerned, quality assurance starts with a safety culture in an organization. While designing a road, engineers will apply quality assurance techniques by established procedures and regularly check the details of their own work. This regular checking includes checking safety aspects. This type of assessment, however, is not 'road safety auditing' because it is not done with a 'fresh pair of eyes' and it probably is not applying road safety engineering skills and experience required for the task. Road safety audit, on the other hand, is a 'step-by-step' process, performed at all stages. An independent road safety audit of the design is sought, to permit independent road safety engineering advice to be input, for the benefit of the future road users. Presently, it has become practice of involving safety engineers during the life of project, liaising informally with professionals of all disciplines at all stages, from feasibility/concept stage to completion. In turn, quality assurance can be applied to the providers of road safety audit services.

10.3 OBJECTIVE OF ROAD SAFETY AUDIT

Road safety audit must assess projects on the basis of road user knowledge, attributes and skills, day/night and wet and dry road conditions. Safety audit is only a study of safety aspects and an auditor may indicate road safety problems inherent in designs that conform to our road standards. This is due to the fact that our road standards are an expression of a socio-economic balance between road safety, accessibility, environment and economy.

The goal of road safety audit is to ensure that all new road projects — and major operating and maintenance activities on existing roads-are assessed from the standpoint of road safety, so that any parameters of the project that are unsuitable from the standpoint of road safety are Corrected in time. The benefits of conducting road safety audit are that:

- The likelihood of accidents on the road network can be reduced,
- The severity of accidents can be reduced,
- Road safety is given greater prominence in the minds of road designers and traffic engineers,
- The need for costly remedial work is reduced, and
- The total cost of a project to the community, including accidents, disruption and trauma, is minimized.

The cost of road safety audit and the consequent cost of changing a design are significantly less than the cost of remedial treatments after works are constructed. It is easier to change the lines/alignment or so on a plan than to move concrete structures. With less remedial work included in a highway authority work program, budgets can be kept down or the same money can be utilized more effectively.



Revision: R0

10.4 ROAD SAFETY AUDIT: WHAT IS DONE AND NOT DONE

ROAD SAFETY AUDIT IS:

- a) Minimizing the likelihood of crashes occurring through safety-conscious planning and design;
- b) Ensuring that, if a crash occurs, then the likelihood of the injury is minimized (such as provision of anti-skid surfacing and crash barriers);
- c) Ensuring that safety related design criteria (e.g. critical sight distances) have been met;
- d) Managing risks, such that the risk of major safety problems occurring is less than the risk of minor problems occurring;
- e) Reducing the whole-life cycle costs of a design (unsatisfactory designs are expensive to correct after they are built);
- f) Minimizing the risk of crashes on the adjacent road network (particularly at intersections) as well as on the new road scheme;
- g) Enhancing the importance and relevance of road safety engineering in highway design work and to enhance consideration for the safety of all categories of road users in all new and existing schemes.

ROAD SAFETY AUDIT IS NOT:

- a) A way of assessing or rating a project as good or poor;
- b) A means of ranking or justifying one project against others in a works program;
- c) A way of rating one option against another;
- d) An accident investigation;
- e) A redesign of a project;
- f) Something to be applied only to high cost projects or only to projects involving safety problems;
- g) The Name you use to describe informal checks, inspections or consultations;
- h) An opportunity to raise subjective concerns.

10.5 SAFETY AUDITORS

To be effective, the safety audit needs to be carried out by specialists, who are independent of the design process. In this way auditors will be taking a fresh look at the project without the distraction of having been involved in their design. Road safety audit involves one set of professionals checking the work of other professionals. Crucial factor is that auditors should be independent and impartial. Road safety auditor must not question the justification for a project but must bring to light its consequences on road safety and endeavor to ensure that the project as presented in the brief is as safe as possible. Auditors need to be objective in their assessments, yet sensitive to the fact that no one likes criticism. Designers and clients need to consider audit recommendations objectively as brought out from the audit outcome.

Expertise and experience in road safety engineering are the essential ingredients in any road safety audit team. This should be linked to an understanding of:

- Traffic engineering and traffic management, and
- Road design and road construction techniques





A person who has an understanding of road user behavior and human perception is also likely to be able to develop road safety audit skills. This understanding is in fact a desirable skill because of the interactive nature of road user behavior with the road environment. An audit team leader must not only have knowledge and skills in road safety engineering, but also should have received training and participated in a number of audits. It is expected that the safety auditors will apply due diligence in identifying the deficiencies and evolving audit recommendations which should be supported with reasons.

The Authority, which engage safety auditors should ensure that the team leader has:

- Adequate road safety engineering experience for the stage of the audit,
- Successfully completed a recognized audit training course,
- At least five years' experience in a relevant road design, road construction or traffic engineering field, and
- Undertaken at least three road safety audits including design stage, etc.

It is not practical or necessary to have a multi-member team conducting an audit. An audit of a low budget project, a road safety audit by more than two persons may not be justified. For large projects, three persons are needed whereas for small projects two persons will be required.

10.6 ORGANISATIONS INVOLVED IN ROAD SAFETY AUDIT

Road Safety Audit Road Safety Audit is based on the principle of an independent review. Road safety audit process reveals that three parties will be involved in this process-Client, Designer and Auditor. For the Public Private Partnership projects (PPP) the client would be both the Govt, and the Concessionaire with their respective obligations as provided in the Concession Agreement. One fundamental idea is that disagreements between the designer and the auditor are resolved not by the designer but by the client. So it is an interaction between different parties, whose roles are predefined at specific stages. In India, for large and small projects client may be National Highways Authority of India (NHAI)/Ministry of Road Transport and Highways/NHIDCL/concerned Public Works Departments (PWD). Designer may be one consultant and Auditor may be another consultant/Govt. institution approved by the Authority. Main functions of the key players in road safety audit are shown in Table 11.1.

Role of designer

Designer is responsible for planning/designing the project. Designer bears the responsibility for ensuring that a road safety audit is conducted and that the necessary measures are agreed on the basis of the auditor's recommendations and/or the client's decisions. The designer is also responsible for ensuring that the audit input information is unambiguously defined and that all circumstances are described in an easily understandable manner. For existing roads, it is the responsibility of operating organisation of the relevant highway authority which requests the auditor to prepare accident analysis of the project and which arranges for the road operator to be notified about the results of the audit. The project manager, or design engineer should be responsible for initiating the safety audit process for each scheme and for responding to the audit. The role of the designer is thus to:

- Attend commencement and completion meetings.
- •Bring out the action proposed in response to the audit report and its recommendations and to document these proposed actions.



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

- •Implement the decisions given by the client on the proposed action by amending the original design
- •Feed the experience back into the designer's organization and to avoid similar design problems recurring.

TABLE 10.1 MAIN FUNCTIONS OF THE KEY PLAYERS IN ROAD SAFETY AUDIT

Key Player	Main Functions
	Expresses a commitment to road safety Provides funding and resources
Project Owner	 Considers safety audits and reviews as an essential quality control requirement
(Govt. and/or	 Commissions audits and reviews at appropriate times
Concessionaire)	Selects road safety audit team
	 Facilitates the response to the recommendations of audits and reviews and arranges implementation of recommendations that are accepted
	Attends commencement and completion meetings
	Attends commencement and completion meetings
Design Team	Provides relevant information to safety team
	Acts upon and documents response to recommendations of audit
	Identifies safety issues in the proposed design
Safety Audit Team	Makes constructive recommendations to enhance safety
1.5	 Documents safety issues and recommendations
	 Holds commencement and completion meetings with the client and design team

Role of client

Client is one who allots the project to the designer and owns the project. As the party responsible for the basic conditions of the project, it is the task of the client to decide in cases where the designer and auditor disagree. Disagreements are presented to the client who conveys its decision to the designer and the auditor. Road operator assumes this responsibility in case of existing roads. The client should be responsible for ensuring that clear terms of reference are laid down to cover the whole range and scope of audit and for commissioning audits at appropriate stages. The role of the client is thus to:

- Select an appropriate auditor,
- Provide all the relevant and necessary documents, and
- Hold a commencement meeting with the auditor and the client.

Role of auditor

Auditor's responsibility is to carefully review the presented project material in its entirety, in the light of best road safety expertise and from the viewpoints of all relevant road users. Auditor also indicates all circumstances that cause misgivings concerning road safety. Persons designated as Road Safety Auditors work with, and have experience of, road accident analyses and road accident reduction. Auditors must



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR Revision: R0

April, 2020

be familiar with road planning, design and construction work and must undertake to keep their expertise up-to-date.

Auditors should comply with the terms of reference. They should comment only on the safety implications of schemes and provide constructive recommendations as to how any potential difficulties can be resolved. The role of the auditor is thus to:

- Review all the documents and audit the drawings and designs,
- Inspect the site (including during night time),
- Repeat these two steps,
- Prepare a report,
- Hold a completion meeting with the designer or client or both,
- Participate in the meeting organized by the client sequel to designers reactions in the Auditor's Report.

10.7 WAYS OF ORGANIZING A ROAD SAFETY AUDIT

There are many ways of organizing a road safety audit. However, the two essential attributes of road safety auditor are that the person should be skilled and independent. Practically, two options are there for conducting a road safety audit:

- Audit by specialist auditors,
- Audit by those within the original design team or by other road designers.

In case of audit by specialist auditors, team needs to be a separate entity from the normal road design functions of an organization and team members should not, except for the purpose of an audit, be involved with the design of the project. There needs to be a clear understanding, prior to commencement of an audit, about how the audit findings and recommendations will be dealt with. Someone has to consider the safety recommendations and resolve the inevitable trade-offs i.e., project cost, road capacity, likelihood of severity of accidents, etc. In every case where an audit recommendation is rejected, the reasons must be stated and documented. Other ways of dealing with audit recommendations can include:

- •A requirement that each recommendation must be formally considered by the client with a view to its acceptance in a normal course and the work cannot proceed to the next stage until formal written approval has been issued by the client based on the recommendations of the audit team.
- •The audit recommendations are considered by the designers, or by the project manager. This has the risk that the safety concerns may be rationalized away, in the atmosphere of keeping the project moving with minimal changes.

Instead of using specialist auditors, another designer or design team could undertake the audit. This approach may be applicable in organisations, which have sufficient road design work to have two or more separate design teams. This separation provides a level of independence. But this arrangement does not provide for the one essential ingredient in any road safety audit experience in road safety engineering. Using auditors from within the same organisation also has its limitations. It may be used.



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

considered that the original designer can audit his or her own designs, on the basis that this is better than nothing. However, this option does not meet requirement of independence. Experience shows that no matter how concerned a designer or design team is about road safety, it is almost inevitable that they will be too close to the issues in the design to apply the 'fresh pair of eyes' needed to inquire into design policies, approaches or details. A more effective way to organize a road safety audit is to engage specialist auditor(s) who is(are) independent and possess requisite road safety engineering skills and experience. The independence of the RSA Team is vital to ensure that the design team does not influence the recommendations of the Safety Audit and, therefore, compromise safety at the expense of other issues. This, however, does not mean that there should not be any interaction between the Design Team and the Safety Auditors. A meeting between Safety Auditors at the start and at the end of the audit process would be useful and Safety Auditors could be asked to provide advice on safety issues during the design. However, the independence of the Audit Team is critical and should not be compromised.

10.8 STAGES OF ROAD SAFETY AUDIT (RSA)

As per the scope of works RSA needs to be performed in the following stages:

- During Feasibility Study Stage (planning stage)
- On completion of Preliminary Design Stage
- On completion of Detailed Design Stage

This report is related to safety audit report at feasibility study stage (planning stage). The audit team reviewed proposed design from road safety perspective and checked the following aspects. RSA related to construction stage and monitoring existing road stage is not discussed here.

10.9 ASPECTS TO BE CHECKED

Broadly following items have been checked or reviewed during the feasibility study stage based on site data, existing road and proposed designs.

- Safety and operational implications of proposed alignment and junction strategy with particular references to expected road users and vehicle types likely to use the road.
- Width options considered for various sections.
- Departures from standards, if any and accordingly actions taken.
- Provisions of pedestrians, cyclists and intermediate transport.
- Safety implications of the schemes beyond its physical limits, i.e., how the scheme fits into its environs and road hierarchy

Road Safety Audit is a formal procedure that uses extensive safety engineering knowledge to identify safety deficiencies in road sections. A broad experience in road, traffic and safety engineering needs to be acquired to ensure that a Road Safety Auditor has the knowledge and ability to refer back to the basic principles in road safety, and propose appropriate mitigation measures. Following points are generally adequately clarified during a road safety audit.

- Confusion or ambiguity due to design layout for road users that could lead to potential road traffic accidents
- Insufficient information for road users



April, 2020

- Improper visibility, or an obstruction to road view s of road users
- Hazards in layout create or obstacles to road users that could contribute to an increased risk of injuries

In the above cases safety of the scheme may be compromised and remedial measures may be required to remove this potential or actual deficiency. Road users need to perceive and process vast amounts of sensory and visual information to negotiate a road layout. On the other hand role of designer is to provide a safe road environment that should:

- provide adequate information for road users of the layout and conditions ahead;
- provide adequate warning of hazards or unusual layouts ahead;
- provide positive control of road users passage through conflict points or unusual sections;
- provide a road performance that can nullify road user's errors or inappropriate behavior;
- provides clear, concise and phased release of road user information;
- provides a consistent standard of road design and traffic control;
- Provides adequate warning of hazards.

Desirable minimum Design Standards should be used wherever possible and advance information and warning should be used to inform road users of the layout ahead. However, driver overload must be avoided as it may cause road users to focus too much on the unimportant data and shed vital information. Conflicting information, an over abundance of road signs or a lack of delineation can cause overload. Therefore a "safer" road environment can be defined as a layout that:

It is important that a road improvement caters for all road users. Often the needs of the motorist are incorporated within a scheme whilst the needs of the vulnerable user are ignored. The vulnerable road users that need to be considered are: pedestrians – the old, young and those with mobility or sight impairment; cyclists – children, commuters and leisure users and motorcyclists.

Each vulnerable road user has different needs from the road network. In the habitation environment the pedestrian is likely to be the principal user and designs must incorporate safe crossing locations, adequate visibility to and from the crossings and appropriate lighting. In addition to the needs of vulnerable road users, particular attention should be paid to the needs of trucks, buses or other specialist vehicles.

Safe road design varies from the urban to the rural road network; and a number of external factors can create a situation in which a safe road in one location becomes unsafe due to external factors. These factors can include traffic volumes, population density, noise, or road user familiarity. The function of a road should be clear to all road users, and a well planned and defined road hierarchy can assist in providing a safe road network. The design speed can also be an important factor in influencing the safety of a road and should be appropriate to the location, local road users and level of private access control.

One important aspect to the safety of junctions is that layout as well as control method need to be simple and clear, with defined priorities for all road users. The assumption that 'straight on' traffic has priority is widely accepted and it needs to be remembered that alterations to this, despite reinforcement with signs and lines can still be confusing if visual clues such as fences, kerbing or lighting remain unchanged. It is important to attempt to make any minor approach perpendicular to the main coad. Y-junctions with acute angles should be avoided. These angled junctions pose problem for road water



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR


Revision: RO Ap

users, including restriction of forward and side visibility. Similarly, it is advisable to avoid intersections on the inside of bends as foliage often encroaches into sight lines after several years. Roundabouts used as a form of junction control have their own rules and design requirements. One of the primary requirements in good roundabout design is that the radius is tighter on the entry than the exit. This ensures a slow entry and lower circulating speed. Visibility is a key requirement for all junction types, all road users need to see and be seen by others. Care should be taken with fixing street furniture and vegetation within visibility splays. Vulnerable road users often experience difficulties during crossing at junctions. It is important that their needs are provided for and that safe crossing places are implemented where required.

The relationship between cross-sectional elements (carriageway, shoulders, etc.) and safety is affected by the type and volume of traffic, and also by the surrounding environment. Lane widths can be critical in affecting safety, where they are too narrow vehicles may collide on horizontal curves, and there may also be inadequate space for two wheeled vehicles. Where lane widths are too wide the alignment may encourage excess speed. On high speed links there is a safety benefit to be gained by the provision of a hard shoulder and central reserve gaps should be of adequate width, depending on the size of vehicles turning. Vehicles parked on the carriageway affect the road environment, layout and consequently safety. Safety problems experienced with parked vehicles are:

- Parked vehicles causing physical obstructions which are sideswiped or run into
- Parked vehicles causing sudden braking or nose-to-tail shunts
- Parked vehicles which deflect oncoming vehicles into adjacent vehicle paths
- Parked vehicles blocking visibility for any road user
- Parked vehicles between which pedestrians emerge

To reduce the risk of parked vehicles contributing to an accident it is important that designs should minimize parking in main traffic lanes. Trees and foliage can greatly enhance the environmental impact of the street scene. However, left un-maintained, they can also restrict visibility considerably. In addition to this, saplings grow into large trees, which can provide an unforgiving road hazard in the event of a road traffic accident. With the above discussions and study / analysis of the project road sections safety issues that have been conceived are presented below in Table 10.2.





Final Detailed Project Report Road Safety Audit Package-IIIA

TABLE 10.2: ROAD SAFETY ISSUES

Content	Items	Observation with respect to Safety		Remarks
Content	items	Existing Situation	Proposed Situation	Remarks
A1.	Departure	Average journey speed of	Proposed alignment has been designed	Considering
General	from	the corridor is 25kmph	based on the design speed adopted for	mountainous and
	Standards	with presence of sharp,	mountainous terrain as per standard	plain terrain in
		zigzag curves and hairpin	specified in IRC SP 73-2015 and hill road	general design speed
		bends.	manual	has been adopted
			(Refer Plan & Profile Drawing	as:-
			CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-	for Plain Terrain
			102B/CT/FDPR/PKG-IIIA/PP	Design Speed
			and Horizontal Alignment Report	Ruling = 100 kmph,
			CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-	Limiting = 80 Kmph,
			102B/CT/FDPR/PKG-IIIA/HAR)	For Mountainous
				Terrain
				Design Speed
				Ruling = 60 kmph,
				Limiting = 40 Kmph
				However, speed has
				been reduced upto
				20 kmph in case of
				hair pin bends



			0	bservati	on with respect to Safety	
Content	Items	Exis	ting Situati	on	Proposed Situation	Remarks
	Cross	Chai	nage	Avg.	For Plain terrain	Extra widening has
	sectional	<u> </u>		Crw.	(1) In Built Up Area	been provided on the
	Variation	From	To (km)	Width	Carriageway = 7.0 m	curves having radius
		(km)		(m)	Hard Shoulder = 2 x 1.5 m	less or equal to
		73.000	82.000	4.0	_Covered Drain cum Footpath = 2 x 1.0 m _Fotal Roadway Width = 12.0 m	300m.
		82.000	93.280	3.5	(2) In Rural Area	
				l .	= 7.0m	
		Earthen	Shoulder/	Gravel	Hard Shoulder = 2 x 1.5m	
			r: 0.50 m –		Earthen Shoulder = 2 x1.0m	
		Total F	ormation	width:	Total Roadway Width = 12.00m	
		4.0 m to			For Mountainous terrain	
			•		(3) In Built Up Area Carriageway = 7.0 m	
					Hard Shoulder = 2 x 1.5 m	
					Covered Drain cum Footpath = 2 x 1.0 m	
					Total Roadway Width = 12.0 m	
					(4) In Rural Area (Both Side Valley)	
					Carriageway = 7.0m	
					Hard Shoulder = 2 x 1.5m	
					Earthen Shoulder in Valley Side = 2 x1.0m	
					Total Roadway Width = 12.00m (5) In Rural Area (One Side Hill & Other	
					Side Valley)	
					Carriageway = 7.0m	
					Hard Shoulder = 2 x 1.5m	
					Earthen Shoulder in Valley Side =1 x1.0m	
					Total Roadway Width = 11.00m	
					(6) In Through Cutting section	
					Carriageway = 7.0m Hard Shoulder = 2 x 1.5m	
					Drain = 2 x 1.0 m	
					Total Roadway Width = 10.00m	
					For cross-sectional parameters refer TCS	
					Drawing	
					CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-	
					102B/CT/FDPR/PKG-IIIA/TCS	





Content	Items	Observation with respect to Safety		Pon	narks	
Content	items	Existing Situation	Proposed Situation	Ken	iarks	
	Drainage	Existing drainage condition is poor with improper camber and longitudinal gradient of carriageway and shoulder.	Efficient drainage system is provided along the project road including structure and outfall facility. For quick disposal of precipitations, carriageway and shoulder have the requisite camber and longitudinal gradient. The water from road and adjacent areas to be intercepted and carried through roadside drains to natural outfall. RCC Covered Drain has been provided for effective drainage in built up area. RR Masonry trapezoidal open drain has been provided in hill side for effective surface drainage. Length of covered drain and trapezoidal drain provided is given below: Package - IIIA Length of Covered Drain = 2907 m Length of Open Drain = 13857 m For drainage details refer drawing no. CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH- 102B/CT/FDPR/PKG-IIIA/TCS And CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH- 102B/CT/FDPR/PKG-IIIA/MISC-07			
	Climatic	Generally Temperature va	ries from 5°C to 35°C. The average annual	HFL ha	as l	oeen
	Conditions	rainfall is 1036 mm.		considered road top		fix I at
				bridge loca		



Revision: R0



Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

		Observati	ion with respect to Safety	
Content	Items	Existing Situation	Proposed Situation	Remarks
	Landscaping	Landscaping on the	Proper Road side Plantation is being	Trees and
		existing road is not	provided.	vegetations on the
		proper due to irregular	Protection work shall be given on Hill as	site should be
		spacing of trees &	well as Valley side.	properly trimmed
		absence of proper	The details are given below:	and removed if
		protection work in hill	Package - IIIA	required so that
		and valley side.	Length of Retaining Wall=1173.8m	these should not
			Length of 1.5m Retaining Wall=47.3m	interfere with the
			Length of 2.0m Retaining	overhead services,
			Wall=292.0m	clear view of signs
			Length of 3.0m Retaining	and efficiency of
			Wall=239.8m	roadway lighting. A
			Length of 4.0m Retaining Wall=150m	regular program of
			Length of 5.0m Retaining Wall=47.4m	pruning of the
			• Length of 6.0m Retaining	offending trees shall
			Wall=397.3m Length of Breast Wall = 7921 m	be under-taken as a
			Length of Toe Wall = 449.84 m	part of the
			Metal Beam Crash Barrier = 1626.38 m	maintenance
			For details of Retaining Wall & Breast	operation. Trees shall
			wall, refer drawing no.	be selected based on
			CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-	the soil,
			102B/CT/FDPR/PKG-IIIA/ MISC- 06	temperature, rainfall,
				water level and
			Application of Hydro-seeding has been	should be deep
			calculated on cut slope of hill section and	rooted to avoid any
			the area comes out as:	damage to the
			• Package – IIIA: 63765 Sq m	pavement crust.,
				rainfall, water level and should be deep
				rooted to avoid any
				damage to the
				pavement crust.
	Service	Existing utilities like	Existing utilities affected due to widening	It will be safe during
	Apparatus	Electric poles,	of road shall be relocated at proposed	maintenance
	pparatas	Transformer, High	utility corridor within the proposed ROW.	ac.iaiic
		Tension Line, and	Utility corridor is shown in TCS drawing.	
		Telephone Pole etc. are	(Refer drawing no.	
		found along the existing	CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH- 102B/CT/FDPR/PKG-IIIA/TCS	
		road.	102B/C1/FDFR/FRG-IIIA/1C3	
		<u> </u>		





Combout	14	Observati	ion with respect to Safety	Dama da
Content	Items	Existing Situation	Proposed Situation	Remarks
	Lay-byes	No Bus bay and truck lay- byes have been observed along the project road.	Bus bays (6 nos.) at 3 locations are proposed near built-up location for smooth movement of traffic. For typical	
			details of bus-bay and passenger shelter, refer drawing no. CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH- 102B/CT/FDPR/PKG-IIIA/ MISC- 08 & 09	
	Footpaths	No footpaths are observed along the existing road.	Footpaths cum drains of width 1.0 m are considered in the built-up location. The length of footpath is mentioned below: Package - IIIA Length of Footpath = 2907 m (For details, refer drawing no. CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH- 102B/CT/FDPR/PKG-IIIA/TCS)	Footpaths are provided for smooth and safe movement of pedestrian.
	Pedestrian Crossings	No pedestrian crossings are observed along the existing road.	Pedestrian crossings are provided at major intersections and other locations like schools, religious structure etc. where substantial conflicts exist between Vehicular and Pedestrian movement	Installation of proper traffic sign/ signal near pedestrian crossings is mandatory. Pedestrian guard rails may be required to guide people
	Access	Existing situation shows maximum access to the private property.	Private access should be minimized directly from the proposed carriageway by providing footpath at built up locations	Private access needs be minimized to maintain the design speed of the corridor as well safe passage to traffic and persons
	Emergency vehicles	Emergency vehicle have not been found along the existing corridor.	It is proposed to provide Emergency vehicles to operate within a certain time frame along the project road.	
	Public Transport	Existing traffic survey shows that 2 wheeler and car/Jeep/Van are the major public transport compare to bus and minibus along the existing road.	After improvement of road to 2-lane with hard shoulder trucks and public transport like bus and minibus etc. will ply on the proposed road along with motorized and non-motorized other vehicles.	Refer Chapter 6: Traffic Survey and Analysis



Content	Items	Observati	on with respect to Safety	Remarks
Content	items	Existing Situation	Proposed Situation	Remarks
	Future	Varies from 7m to 13 m	Proposed ROW required for	
	Widening		Rural area = 18.00 to 24.00 m	
			Built-up area = 14.00 m	
			Therefore, land acquisition is required to	
			accommodate future 2 lane proposal.	
	Staging of	Total project will be	Design Length of PKG-IIIA of the project	
	Contracts	executed in nine packages.	road will be 19.105 km.	
	Adjacent	Major portion of the	Proposed shoulder on both sides of the	(Refer TCS drawing
	Development	alignment consists of	carriageway can be used for the	no.
		single lane bituminous	movement of slow moving vehicle during	CET/4047/NHIDCL/N
		road.	emergency as well as parking for stalled	H- 102B/CT/FDPR/PKG-
			vehicle.	IIIA/TCS)
A2 Local	Vicibility	Visibility is not proper in	In general Intermediate Sight distance is	In stratabos whore
A2. Local	Visibility	Visibility is not proper in	In general Intermediate Sight distance is	In stretches where
Alignment		many places as the existing profile of the	being followed for proposed 2-lane road.	intermediate sight distance is not
		route does not follow		available the profile
		required sight distances		shall be designed
		(horizontal as well as		with stopping sight
		vertical).		distance and
		,		overtaking prohibited
				traffic sign shall be
				installed in that
				location.
	New/Existing	Interface with other	New/Existing road interface shall be	
	Road	roads are not smooth	designed with proper geometry and	
	Interface	with improper horizontal	vertical profile as per codal provision so	
		and vertical profile.	that the vehicle can moved smoothly &	
			safely.	
	Safety Aids	Existing alignment shows	Requirement of Retaining wall, Breast	
	on Steep Hills	there is no proper safety	wall, Toe wall have been considered as	
		in hill and valley section	protective structures for traffic as the	
		along the project route.	proposed road is passing mostly through	
			mountainous terrain.	






		Observati	ion with respect to Safety	
Content	Items	Existing Situation	Proposed Situation	Remarks
A3. Junction	Minimize potential conflicts	Existing junctions are not properly developed with insufficient turning radius and absence of road signage as well as markings. Layout of the junctions	Major and minor junctions to be developed with proper turning radius, signage and markings to minimize potential conflict between pedestrians and vehicles Layout of the proposed junctions are to	Junctions should be developed with proper traffic sign and markings. These are designed
		are not proper	be made with proper turning radius, acceleration/deceleration lane, island and median etc.	as per respective IRC guidelines and land acquisition to be kept absolute minimum.
	Visibility	Visibility of the existing junctions are not proper	To improve the visibility of the proposed junction's vertical profile of the road shall be designed with intermediate sight distance. If it is not found it should be taken care that at least stopping sight distance should be available throughout.	Traffic Sign at junctions should informative enough.
A4. Non- Motorized road users provisions	Adjacent Land Pedestrians Cyclists Non motorized vehicles	Major portion of the alignment consists of single lane road	For smooth movement of non motorized road users, pedestrians and cyclist paved shoulder has been proposed on both side of the carriageway. Also in built up stretches 1.0 m wide footpath cum drain has been proposed for less conflict between fast moving vehicle and pedestrians, cyclists etc.	Refer TCS drawing no. CET/4047/NHIDCL/N H- 102B/CT/FDPR/PKG- IIIA/TCS
	Lighting	Insufficient Lighting shall be found in built up areas.	Lighting shall be provided on major junctions, built-up stretches and bus bays locations.	
A5. Signs and Lighting	Signs/ Markings	Insufficient signs found on existing road. Markings are not found in the existing road.	Traffic Signs and Road Markings are provided on the proposed road for safe guidance of traffic.	For typical details of road sign and marking. Refer TCS drawing no. CET/4047/NHIDCL/N H- 102B/CT/DDPR/PKG- IIIA/ MISC- 02 & 03 respectively
A6. Constructi	Build-ability	,	g construction need to be followed as per ol devices have to be provided as per	





Final Detailed Project Report Road Safety Audit Package-IIIA

Content	Items	Observation	Remarks		
Content	items	Existing Situation	Proposed Situation	Remarks	
on and		requirements during constr			
Operation	Operational	signs and delineators.	signs and delineators.		
	Network				
	Management				





CHAPTER - 11 FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

11.1 General

The financial viability analysis for the proposed road forms the basis for assessing whether the project is attractive enough for private sector participation. The analysis ascertains the viability of the investment proposal on BOT format. A provision of maximum 40% government grant is also considered if the project fails to achieve the desire result on BOT format. The financial analysis covers aspects like financing through debt and equity, loan repayment, debt servicing, taxation, depreciation, etc. The viability is evaluated in terms of the Project IRR [Financial Internal Rate of Return (FIRR) on total investment] and the Equity IRR (FIRR on equity investment), using discounted cash flow analysis, where both costs and revenues have been indexed to take account of inflation.

Tollable Traffic 11.2

All motorised vehicles (other than two and three wheelers) on the road would be tolled except certain categories of toll-exempt vehicles such as certain government vehicles, ambulances, fire brigades, etc. Leakage of vehicles from toll plaza has taken as 0% of the total traffic on the road, since the volume is low. According to the guidelines of the MCA, growth rate of traffic is considered as 5% per annum.

11.3 **Capital Cost and Phasing**

The capital cost of the project relates to construction cost and includes civil works cost for Road, Bridges / CD Structures & Approach roads, Junction Improvement, Project Facilities, Traffic Sign & Road Appurtenances etc. Under BOT format, the government has to provide ROW land free of cost and encumbrances to the concessionaire. The cost for environmental mitigation measures would be borne by the concessionaire. Hence, costs other than for civil works cost and environmental mitigation cost, viz. LA, R&R, afforestation and utility shifting are taken to be borne by executing authority. In order to arrive at the cost for financial analysis, various other components have to be considered, which are discussed in the following paragraphs.

Base Cost

The base cost for financial analysis comprises the cost to the BOT concessionaire and excludes the cost to executing authorities. It includes civil construction cost and pre-operative expenses. The base cost is at 2019 prices.

Other Cost

With a view to account for inflation, Financial Cost comprising processing fee, sponsor's contingency etc, Interest during construction, the base costs have been escalated at a rate of 25

Revision: R0



April, 2020



percent on Civil Cost as per MCA guidelines.

Landed Project Cost

The total landed cost of the project is the cost at the time of commissioning and includes aggregates of civil cost, financial overheads, escalation costs and interest during construction (IDC).

Project Cost Summary


SI.	Items	Rs. Cr.	
Α	Total civil cost	1407	
В	25% of Civil cost for contingency, supervision, financing cost and		227
	interest during construction	IDC	125
С	Total Project Cost		1759

The construction is phased over three years (2020-2022). Cost Phasing would be 30%, 40% & 30% over 3 years.

Under BOT arrangement the permissible maximum limit of viability gap funding is 40% of capital investment.

Grant, Loan & Equity Requirement - during construction (Rs Crore)

	Total
Equity	294
Government Grant	654
Loan / Debt	686
IDC	125
Total Project Cost	1759





11.4 **Operations and Maintenance Cost**

Operation and maintenance cost as considered in the financial analysis is as follows:

- o Annual Maintenance @ 0.5% of the Civil Cost on every year
- Periodic Maintenance @ 2% of the Civil Cost at every 5 years
- o Toll Collection Expenses @ Rs 5.00 Lacs/year per Toll Plaza
- o Office Expenses @ Rs 5.00 Lacs/year per Toll Plaza
- Patrolling, Electricity Expenses @ Rs. 0.40 Lacs/km/year

Basic Assumptions of Financial Model 11.5

Financial viability analysis has been done using a financial model. The model projects the key financial statements of the private investor over the concession period. A concession period of 20 years has been considered. Depreciation of capital items is calculated by using two methods, viz. the Written Down Value (WDV) Method and the Straight Line Method (SLM). The WDV method favours income shielding and is, therefore, used only to calculate taxes payable by the concessionaire. A tax holiday (i.e. 100 percent tax exemption on profits) for a block of 10 years has been assumed as per the Government's latest incentives for encouraging investments in the road sector. These tax incentives must be availed within the first 20 assessment years of operation. The corporate tax rate, at 33.23% is adopted for the analysis. Minimum Alternative Tax (MAT) rate of 19.93% on the book profit is also considered where there is no corporate tax. Summary of assumptions are as below

Operating Year to Traffic	2024 Start
Operating Period	20 Years
PPP Finishes at the end of	2044 End
Construction Start Year	2021 Start
Construction Time	3 Years
Construction End Year	2023 End
Expected Inflation	5%
Year of Accounting	2019
Corporate Tax Rate	33.23%
Minimum Alternate Tax (MAT)	19.93%
Tax Exemption Start	2022
Tax Exemption Length	10 Years
Cost of Equity	15%
No. of Lanes	2 Lane
No. of Toll Plaza	1 No.
Loan Term	20 Years
Moratorium	5 Years
Long Term Loan Rate	12%



VGF as % of Capex	40%
Debt/Equity Ratio	70%

11.6 Project Revenue

The toll revenue has been calculated for all categories of fast moving vehicles using the project road viz. Car, Bus, LCV, HCV and MAV. The toll rates considered for the analysis are based on existing practices for National Highways.

The fees structure / toll rate has been taken from National Highways Fee (Determination of Rates and Collection) Rules, 2008 and Amendment Rules, 2014 (dated 16th January 2014) –

For structures more than 60m, 10 times of the length has been considered For Bypasses, 1.5 times of the length considered

Toll Rate for 2007-08 base year for 4-Lane National Highway,

Vehicle Type	Base Rate of Fee per Km (In Rs.)
Car, Jeep, Van & LMV	0.65
LCV, LGV, Mini Bus	1.05
Bus, Truck	2.20
HCM, EME, MAV	3.45
Oversized	4.20


Toll Rate for 2-Lane National Highway is 60% of that of 4-Lane National Highway (refer sub rule 3 of Principal Rule 4)


Toll Rates are revised based on WPI as per Rule 5 of (Determination of Rates and Collection) Rules, 2008 and modified subsequently.



April, 2020







11.7 Financial Viability on BOT – Toll Mode

To assess whether the project is commercially viable, the returns to investors, in terms of Project IRR and the Equity IRR, are compared with the target IRRs. The target IRR for the present investment proposal is considered as 15% on equity investment. Since the investment proposal without any government proposal does not yield any result, a 40% grant is considered in the analysis. The entire grant will be provided as equity support.

Results of Financial Analysis on BOT - Toll Basis





2020



Project NPV	Rs cr.	-953				
Project IRR	%	Low (Can't be calculated)				
Equity NPV	Rs cr.	-240				
Equity IRR	%	Low (Can't be calculated)				

The return on the proposed investment is not enough even after a VGF of 40% on the TPC as equity support. Thus the project fails on the financial viability.







April, 2020



Final Detailed Project Report **Financial Analysis** Package - IIIA

11.8 Conclusion

The project fails to generate the desired level of return, even with a grant of 40% on TPC. Thus the project does not qualify to be implemented on the BOT - Toll mode. It's recommended that the project to be implemented through EPC mode.







CHAPTER 12 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

12.1 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The project road of Churachandpur-Tuivai Section starts from 3-Legged junction with NH 150 (Old NH 2) at New Lamka town at Churachandpur and ends near Manipur and Mizoram state border at Tuivai in the state of Manipur. The entire corridor falls under Churachandpur district in the State of Manipur. The objective of the project is to upgrade existing single lane road to 2 lanes with hard shoulder configuration. Total Design Length of PKG - IIIA of the project road comes out to be 19.105 km. For proper cross - drainage purpose along the road total 81 nos. culverts have been proposed. All are RCC Box Culverts. 3 Nos. Minor junction will be improved as at-grade junction. Bus bays with passenger shelter shall be proposed at 3 locations (Both side). Following Flexible Pavement thickness shall be considered on the existing as well as new/ widening portion.

Design Chainage (Km)		Length (km)	MSA for 20 years design	Design CBR	Type of Pavement	Pavement Thickness over Existing and New/Widening Portion (mm)			Sub-grade in new/widening portion	
From	То		period			ВС	DBM	WMM	GSB	(CBR=10%)
69.875	88.980	19.105	20	10%	Flexible	40	70	250	200	500

Retaining Wall of length 1173.8 m, Breast wall of length 7921 m shall be considered as protective works on valley and hill side. Application of hydro seeding has been considered on the cut portion of hill side slope. Provision of Traffic Guidance and Safety (like road signs, marking, metal beam crash barrier, landscaping, etc.) has been kept for improvement of the project road.

Project will be implemented in nine packages. The package details are:

Doc No: CET/4047/NHIDCL/NH-102B/FDPR

Package IA: From km 0+000 to km 13+747 Package IB: From km 13+747 to km 32+835

Package IIA: km 32+835 to 48+587 Package IIB: km 48+587 to 69+875 Package IIIA: km 69+875 to km 88+980 Package IIIB: km 88+980 to km 103+525 Package IIIC: km 103+525 to km 121+769 Package IVA: km 121+769 to km 134+955 Package IVB: km 134+955 to km 145+984

Package-IIIA Civil Cost with per km cost is given below:

Package: IIIA

Rs. 141.00 Cr. (Rs. 7.38 Cr. / Km)







Final Detailed Project Report **Conclusion & Recommendation** Package-IIIA

Following charges are added over Civil Cost to derive Total cost of Construction of the project as follows:

- (a) Maintenance for 5 years i.e 2.5% on civil cost
- (b) GST @ 12% of civil cost
- (c) Contingencies @ 2.8% over civil cost
- (d) Supervision charges @ 3% of civil cost
- (e) Agency Charges @ 3% of civil cost
- (f) Escalation Cost @ 10% during Construction Period

Therefore, total Construction Cost for package-IIIA comes out as

Package: IIIA

Rs. 187.96 Cr. (Rs. 9.84 Cr. / Km)

The project will be implemented in nine packages on EPC mode.





